

Question on Notice

No. 429

Asked on Tuesday, 1 May 2018

MR J LISTER ASKED MINISTER FOR TRANSPORT AND MAIN ROADS (HON M BAILEY)

QUESTION:

With reference to the Department of Transport and Main Roads' handling of a claim for compensation by the previous owners of land at Ipswich-Boonah Road/Cunningham Highway at Yamanto (then described as Lot 229 on RP202963) (the Land) which was downzoned from Future Urban to Rural in 1999 before being resumed in part for a road/rail corridor constructed between 2007-2009—

Will the Minister advise (a) if SEQ Regional Plans in 1995 and 1998 proposed a Centenary Highway extension linking Springfield with the Cunningham Highway and Ipswich, (b) was the Land, then zoned Future Urban, shown outside the proposed Urban areas in the Indicative Growth Pattern (Map 1) in the 1995 and 1998 Regional Plans, (c) were local and state governments committed to implementing the Pattern of Development in the Indicative Growth Pattern (Map 1) of the 1995 and 1998 Regional Plans, (d) was it a Regional Planning requirement that the Springfield-Ripley-Ipswich Corridor be protected through planning schemes and (e) was the Land down zoned from Future Urban to Rural in order to protect the Land for road/rail infrastructure pending construction?

ANSWER:

I thank the Member for Southern Downs for the question.

As parts (a), (b), (c) and (d) fall outside my portfolio, it would be appropriate for the Member to direct these parts of the question to the Honourable Cameron Dick MP, Minister for State Development, Manufacturing, Infrastructure and Planning.

In response to part (e), the land was downzoned by Ipswich City Council in 1999. I am advised that this matter has been before the courts which determined that there was no connection between the downzoning of the land to 'Rural', and planning for the South West Transport Corridor.