




Speech By
David Janetzki

MEMBER FOR TOOWOOMBA SOUTH

Record of Proceedings, 22 February 2022

MOTION

Business Program

 **Mr JANETZKI** (Toowoomba South—LNP) (Deputy Leader of the Opposition) (11.29 am): I start by saying that I did hear the mild ‘Moby’ insult from the member for Miller during question time. I have gone away to have a look. I think I ought to be personally insulted, but I do not think Moby will ever catch on like mangocube, Minister. I am happy to be called Moby.

Mr BAILEY: Mr Speaker, I rise to a point of order. I claim to be misrepresented. It was a compliment.

Mr DEPUTY SPEAKER (Mr Krause): There is no point of order.

Mrs D’ATH: Mr Speaker, I rise to a point of order. I would ask that you remind members to refer to members by their proper title.

Mr DEPUTY SPEAKER: Thank you for your assistance, Leader of the House. There has only been 18 seconds gone so I will take that as a reminder to members.

Mr JANETZKI: I am sure the member took no personal offence, as I did not. I do want to spend some time, unlike the member for Kawana, who ended up winding the clock down anyway, to reflect on a couple of things in relation to this motion. Outside the House we see the integrity inferno rage. The Integrity Commissioner, the former state archivist, Whitton and Dickie—and even Peter Beattie is back—are talking about integrity. We will be pursuing those integrity issues all week.

Inside this House this motion is emblematic of the integrity and honesty challenges that this government fails every sitting week. Every sitting week over the last five years, as I have said and the member for Kawana has said repeatedly, whether it be the family-friendly hours, which disadvantages regional members of parliament to be able to get home to their electorates, whether it be the curtailing of private members’ statements before question time that we saw years ago, whether it is the constant guillotining of consideration in detail—

Mrs D’ATH: Mr Speaker, I rise to a point of order. This motion is about the program that has been put forward to the House this week, not to re-prosecute procedural motions around standing orders for how the House deals with matters. I ask that he be brought back to the substance of the motion.

Mr DEPUTY SPEAKER: The Deputy Leader of the Opposition is referring to the motion specifically and what could or could not be in there. I consider it relevant. Deputy Leader of the Opposition, please resume.

Mr JANETZKI: Again from the Leader of the House we see every tactic to try to shut down our time for debate in this House. This motion remains vitally important with the integrity inferno raging outside the House with all the independent whistleblowers—

Mr Bailey: You’re wasting time now.

Mr JANETZKI: And those opposite will hear more about it this week.

Mr Bailey: You're wasting a lot of time right now.

Mr JANETZKI: Member for Miller, you will hear a lot more about integrity issues this week, but in this motion I want to make it clear that this side of the House continues to be offended by the lack of integrity, honesty and accountability in the House in relation to bills under debate.

Mr BAILEY: Mr Speaker, I rise to a point of order. The deputy leader is clearly misusing this business program motion to make extraneous points, just as the member for Kawana did. He is using exactly the same tactics. It is a matter for debate about the business of parliament this week. It is a silly tactic. It is against standing orders.

Mr DEPUTY SPEAKER: It is a relevance point of order, I assume, Minister for Transport and Main Roads. Deputy Leader of the Opposition, could you keep your comments to the proposed business resolution and any alternatives that you would like to see in there which are relevant to the debate.

Mr JANETZKI: Thank you, because I did want to turn to the *Notice Paper* next.

Mrs D'ATH: Mr Speaker, I rise to a point of order. In relation to alternatives, they have to be put forward as amendments, not simply by arguing and speculating on what they could be debating this week.

Mr BLEIJIE: Mr Deputy Speaker, I rise to a point of order. I believe the Leader of the House has deliberately reflected on your ruling given 10 seconds ago and I ask that you consider that.

Mr DEPUTY SPEAKER: I will take some advice. Leader of the House, I will caution you about reflecting on rulings by the chair in relation to any standing order and in particular in relation to the ruling I just made in relation to relevance. Deputy Leader of the Opposition, I encourage you to please remain relevant to the motion before the House. I am sure you can do it.

Mr JANETZKI: With pleasure, Mr Deputy Speaker. I now would like to turn to the *Notice Paper* and the bills that could have been debated this week. All summer the *Notice Paper* had rested on the website. I had a bit of a look. It was quite clear that there were a couple of bills that would have been debated this week. In the *Notice Paper* that stood over the summer the first bill was the Police Legislation (Efficiencies and Effectiveness) Amendment Bill and then the fourth bill on the *Notice Paper* was the Child Protection Reform and Other Legislation Amendment Bill. When the revised *Notice Paper* came out last night, those bills had been pushed to No. 5 and No. 6 on the *Notice Paper*. I would have thought that given the events of the last three months across Queensland we would have wanted to be debating a bill relating to the police. I would have thought we would have wanted to be debating a bill relating to child protection. In my travels over the last month or two we have seen time and again a crime issue right across Queensland. From Cairns to Coolangatta our communities are calling out for the crime crisis to be addressed.

Mr BAILEY: Mr Deputy Speaker, I rise to a point of order. I again draw the attention of the chamber to the fact that the member is using the business item to make extraneous broader points against the government. This is not the time and place to do it under the standing orders. It is a deliberate flouting of the standing orders by both himself and, previously, the member for Kawana.

Mr DEPUTY SPEAKER: The Deputy Leader of the Opposition is obviously speaking against the motion, and in that respect he has a wide ambit in speaking against the business program motion. The Deputy Leader of the Opposition is being relevant, and I would ask him to remain relevant to the motion.

Mr JANETZKI: Another bill that this House should be debating this week, the bill that was No. 4 on the *Notice Paper* all summer but now it has dropped to No. 6 and will not be debated this week and ought to be, is about child protection. I will not be silenced in this House when it comes time to talk about children who have died in my electorate. I came here this week expecting the Child Protection Reform and Other Legislation Amendment Bill to be debated in the House. Then I see the business program motion come out and the child protection bill is now at No. 6. We will not now be debating this child protection bill. Over the last six weeks we have seen the deaths of Elizabeth Rose Struhs and Jaylen Priest, young children who died under the child protection system in this state. We could have discussed protection and reforms in the Child Protection Reform and Other Legislation Amendment Bill. I can think of no greater cause than the protection of our children.