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MEETING OF THE ASSEMBLY
The Assembly met at 9.30 am, pursuant to adjournment. The Speaker (Honourable M F Reynolds) read prayers.

PROCEDURE – SPEAKER’S RULING – TABLING OF DOCUMENTS
Mr Speaker made the following ruling—

‘Honourable Members—

With regard to the tabling of documents the Queensland Legislative Assembly is very liberal compared to other houses of parliament. The particular distinction in this House is that Members have an almost unfettered right to table documents, at least in the first instance. In most other houses of parliament, the tabling of documents is limited to particular classes of documents or tablings by Ministers of the Crown or otherwise only unless the leave of the House or the chair is first sought and given. For example, in the United Kingdom House of Commons, the Canadian House of Commons and the Australian House of Representatives, private Members have no right to table papers without the specific consent of the House.

It must be remembered that the same privilege that Members enjoy in their speeches in the House is effectively also transferred to documents tabled by them in the House. This very great privilege to table documents in a relatively unfettered manner must be balanced by the right of the chair, assisted by officers at the table, principally the Clerk, to ensure that the standing orders of the House and rulings of the chair are not transgressed or subverted by the tabling of documents or the contents of documents.

The general principles underlying the tabling of documents must, therefore, in this House be as follows. Members have an unfettered right, in the first instance, to table documents. A document is tabled when received by the Clerk or the Clerk’s delegate and noted as being received. Once a document is tabled, it is in the possession of the House, and generally is at the pleasure of the House. The tabling of a document must not infringe the Standing Orders or rulings of the chair in any way and nor should the content of any document tabled be offensive to the rules of the House. The Clerk and other officers at the table have a responsibility to take possession of documents and, on behalf of the chair, peruse those documents to ensure they are not offensive to the rules of the House and bring any offensive matter to the chair for their consideration. Objection can be taken to the tabling of documents at any time. If taken at an early stage it may result in the document not being tabled, but in other instances it may require the action of the House or the chair to deal with the objection.

Can I indicate that in the parliamentary sitting two weeks ago I objected to a particular tabling by the Member for Moggill. Later in that week, I objected to a tabling by the Premier. Both of those were discussed and not tabled in accordance with that particular ruling.

The Speaker has the right, indeed the duty, to ensure that no tabling or tabled document offends the rules of the House and to take such action as is expedient in all the circumstances. For example, as Speaker, I may embargo release of the document for a period to satisfy myself that no breach of the rules of the House has actually occurred.

Although the tabling of documents in the New Zealand’s House of Representatives is more restrictive than in this House, McGee in Parliamentary Practice in New Zealand is one of the few parliamentary texts that discusses the issue of tabling documents offensive to law, the principles of which must also apply to tablings contrary to standing orders and rules of the House. At page 539, McGee states—

Presentation of a paper to the House is communication of it to all Members of Parliament. The Clerk will thus make it available to Members as of right and normally to other interested persons too, such as members of the Press Gallery. But if the document contains material that it would be unlawful to publish, the Clerk may restrict access to Members only. In these circumstances, requests for access to the material are referred to the Member who presented it.

Members, in presenting a document, are not required to give any personal warranty about its contents, but the Speaker and the Clerk are entitled to take such steps as they consider proper.
to ensure that in handling the document they do not break the law. Thus, access to documents subject to a court order protecting their confiden
tiality was denied (other than to Members) until the House ordered their publication.

I have determined that in this House, if the Chair determines that a breach of standing orders or rules of the House has occurred and that a
tabled document offends the rules of the House or the ruling of the chair, the chair has the following broad options available. Firstly, attempt to have
the Member who tabled the document or documents seek leave of the House to withdraw the documents. Members may remember that that is what
the Premier did on that occasion a couple of weeks ago. I think it was through mutual agreement with the Member for Moggill that I indicated
the document was not able to be tabled or it was my ruling that it would not be tabled. Secondly, report to the House that the document breaches
the rules of the House and seek consent of the House to discharge the paper. Thirdly, rule the tabling or the documents as out of order.

Yesterday evening the Premier made a ministerial statement concerning certain Executive Council decisions made in 2002. The Premier during the course of that ministerial statement tabled two sets of Executive Council minutes. Shortly after the statement, concerns were raised that the
contents of the Premier’s statement, the tabling of the documents and the tabled documents may have contravened the sub judice principle contained in standing orders and rulings in recent weeks. A decision was made in accordance with precedence to hold the documents until a
determination could be made by myself on the matter. The Clerk and I were immediately contacted. I directed certain urgent inquiries to be made before I made any rulings.

Members of the House were, in effect, denied access to the documents for a short period—and I have already gone over the law as it stands in this parliament and other parliaments—whilst the documents were examined to ensure compliance with standing orders and rulings of the chair and
by myself. A short period later, Members were permitted access, but access was wholly restricted to Members. Later, as Speaker of this parliament I determined to allow the normal course of action run in respect of the documents and any embargo was lifted. I have a right, as the Clerk has, to deliberate on these matters carefully and sensitively, and that was what was done last night.

I now turn to the heart of the matter of sub judice. Was the statement by the Premier or any part of the statement sub judice or was the tabling of tabled documents sub judice? I think listening to the statement a bystander—I am not saying Members—in a vacuum without context such as media reports would not necessarily relate the statement to any matter before the courts. Apart from a
judge’s name, no person’s name was mentioned nor was any case or charge. Can I say to all Members today that the mentioning of a judge’s name should not be tolerated in this House. But,
overall, I am satisfied that only one small portion of the statement was sub judice. Unfortunately, that part of the statement connected the entire statement to a matter before the courts. The documents tabled in isolation were inoffensive.

Standing order 233 provides for the sub judice rule. However, there must be a discretion in the chair to determine where, in any particular matter, debate, questions, answers and tabled documents may offend the rule. Sometimes determining where the line as to offensive and inoffensive matters lay is extremely difficult. In relation to current matters before the courts, I will
continue to inform myself of details of specific matters before the courts to ensure that I and temporary chairs are able to reach informed decisions. I note public reports of judicial comments
made in court in relation to directing the release of material not prejudicial pending a case before the court; a matter which may be determined by a properly instructed jury in some months. In
these circumstances, I would emphasise to Members not to refer to a specific case, a specific charge or debate evidence in relation to the matter.

I will as Speaker be taking a considered and reasonable approach to rulings of sub judice. I would again emphasise to Members to be careful and if in doubt seek advice prior to raising matters in the House from the Clerk or the chair. I would inform the parliament today that I have had discussions with the Leader of the House on this matter. I have had discussions with the
opposition leader and the Leader of the Liberal Party to indicate what my rulings would be today. I think we need to take a balanced approach, and I note that the Leader of Opposition Business has had his hand on that button to rise to a point of order. I want to deal with this in a considered way.

That is my ruling. I am indicating that I have listened to the judicial comments that have been made and publicly made, and I think we will go down that track.”
MINISTERIAL PAPERS TABLED BY THE CLERK
The following ministerial papers were tabled by The Clerk—

Minister for Police and Corrective Services (Ms Spence)—
Response from the Minister for Police and Corrective Services (Ms Spence) to a paper petition (757-06) presented by Mr Springborg from 226 petitioners regarding a potential prison development in the Warwick area

Minister for Environment and Multiculturalism (Ms Nelson-Carr)—

MINISTERIAL STATEMENTS (Record of Proceedings p.391)
Ministerial statements were made.
Papers: Premier and Minister for Trade (Mr Beattie), during his statements, tabled the following papers—
- Memo (undated) by Cathy Newman, Correspondence Coordinator, Office of the Premier

Statements continued.
Papers: Minister for Natural Resources and Water and Minister Assisting the Premier in North Queensland (Mr Wallace), during his statement, tabled the following papers—
- Six (6) Department of Natural Resources and Water Information Sheets on Water Efficient Gardening

Statements continued.
Statements concluded.

REPORTS
The following report was tabled—

Mr Springborg—

Leader of the Opposition (Mr Seeney) —

VOLUNTARY CARBON CREDIT TRADING BILL (Record of Proceedings p.400)
Leader of the Liberal Party (Dr Flegg) presented a Bill for an Act to establish Carbon Credit Trading Corporation as a government owned corporation to manage a scheme for marketing carbon credit certificates, and for related purposes and Explanatory Notes and moved – That the Bill be now read a first time.
Question put and agreed to.
Dr Flegg moved – That the Bill be now read a second time.
Debate ensued.
Minister for Mines and Energy (Mr Wilson) moved – That the debate be now adjourned.
Question put and agreed to.

NOTICE OF MOTION – BEATTIE LABOR GOVERNMENT
Leader of the Opposition (Mr Seeney) gave notice that he will move – That this House calls on the Beattie Government to focus on delivering the infrastructure and services needed to address—
- the water crisis;
- the long running health crisis; and
- the infrastructure crisis;
rather than focusing on diversions and distractions aimed at diverting attention from these crises and the string of high profile court cases involving former Beattie Government Ministers.

**QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE** *(Record of Proceedings p.403)*
Questions without notice were asked.

And the Premier and Minister for Trade (Mr Beattie) having exhausted the time allocated for answering a question without notice—

**MOTION – PREMIER BE FURTHER HEARD**
And the Leader of the House (Mr Schwarten) moved – That the Premier be further heard. Question put and agreed to.

Questions resumed.

*Papers*: Minister for Transport and Main Roads (Mr Lucas) tabled the following papers—
- Maps, numbered 1 to 6, showing final alignment of Eastern Busway.

Questions continued.

**TRANSPORT LEGISLATION AND ANOTHER ACT AMENDMENT BILL** *(Record of Proceedings p.414)*
Order of the day read for the adjourned debate on the motion of the Minister for Transport and Main Roads (Mr Lucas) – That the Bill be now read a second time. Debate ensued.

Debate adjourned on the motion of Mr Messenger.

**MINISTERIAL STATEMENT** *(Record of Proceedings p.428)*
A ministerial statement, by leave, was made.

*Paper*: Premier and Minister for Trade (Mr Beattie), during his statement, tabled the following paper—
- Copy of a letter, dated 21 February 2007, from Mr Beattie and the Premier of South Australia (Mr Rann) to the Prime Minister of Australia (Mr Howard) relating to the management of the Murray-Darling Basin

**TRANSPORT LEGISLATION AND ANOTHER ACT AMENDMENT BILL** *(Record of Proceedings p.430)*
Order of the day read for the adjourned debate on the motion of the Minister for Transport and Main Roads (Mr Lucas) – That the Bill be now read a second time. Debate ensued.

*Paper*: Mr Messenger, during his speech, tabled the following paper—
- Copy of report titled *Edmonton Police Service Helicopter Final Report – August 1, 2001 to July 31, 2002*

Debate continued.

Question put and agreed to.

Bill read a second time.

**Consideration in detail**—

Clauses 1 and 2, as read, agreed to.

New Clauses 2A and 2B—
- The following amendment was proposed by Mr Lucas—
- At page 8, after line 13—
  - insert—
    - *‘Part 1A Amendment of Criminal Code***
‘2A  Code amended in part 1A
   ‘This part amends the Criminal Code.

‘2B  Amendment of s 328A (Dangerous operation of a vehicle)
   ‘Section 328A(5), definition prescribed offence, paragraph (c), after ‘(2),’—
   insert—
   ‘(2AA),’.

Debate ensued.

Question – That Mr Lucas’ amendment be agreed to – put and agreed to.

Clauses 3 to 52, as read, agreed to.

Debate adjourned on the motion of Mr Lucas.

MOTION – BEATTIE LABOR GOVERNMENT

Leader of the Opposition (Mr Seeney) moved – That this House calls on the Beattie Government to focus on delivering the infrastructure and services needed to address—

• the water crisis;
• the long running health crisis; and
• the infrastructure crisis;

rather than focusing on diversions and distractions aimed at diverting attention from these crises and the string of high profile court cases.

Motion seconded by the Leader of the Liberal Party (Dr Flegg).

Debate ensued.

The following amendment was proposed by the Premier and Minister for Trade (Mr Beattie)—

That the following words after ‘House’ are deleted and the following words inserted:

‘notes the Beattie Government’s focus on delivering infrastructure and services.’.

Papers: Mr Beattie, during his speech, tabled the following papers—


Copy of Queensland Government paper titled Building tomorrow’s Queensland today

Document titled Private Members Debate Wednesday February 21 2007

Amendment seconded by the Deputy Premier, Treasurer and Minister for Infrastructure (Ms Bligh).

Debate ensued.

Papers: Minister for Police and Corrective Services (Ms Spence), during her speech, tabled the following papers—

Document titled 42 Police Stations Beats and Watchhouses in total – Future capital works projects over next 4 years

Document titled Upgraded police Stations & watchhouses

Debate continued.

Question put – That Mr Beattie’s amendment be agreed to.

The House divided.

AYES 54—

Atwood  Barry  Beattie  Bligh  Bombohas  Boyle  Choi  Croft  Darling
English  Fenlon  Finn *  Fraser  Gray  Hinchliffe  Hoolihan  Jarratt  Mickel
Jones  Keech  Kierman  Lavarch  Lawlor  Male *  McNamara  Miller  Purcell
Miller  Mulherin  Nelson–Carr  Nolan  Palaszczuk  Pearce  Pitt  Purcell  Reeves
Reilly  Roberts  Schwarten  Scott  Shine  Smith  Spence  Stone  Sullivan
Van Litsenburg  Wallace  Weightman  Welford  Wells  Wettenhall  Wilson

NOES 30—

Copeland
Cripps
Cunningham
Dempsey
Dickson *

Elmes
Flegg
Foley
Gibson
Hobbs

Hopper
Horan
Johnson
Knuth
Langbroek

Lee Long
Lingard
Malone
McArdle
Menkens

Messenger
Nicholls
Pratt
Rickuss *
Seeney

Simpson
Springborg
Stevens
Stuckey
Wellington

Tellers *

Question agreed to.

Question put – That the motion, as amended, be agreed to.

The House divided.

AYES 54—

Attwood
Barry
Beattie
Bligh
Bombolas
Boyle
Choi
Croft
Darling
English
Fenlon
Finn *
Fraser
Hayward
Hinchcliffe
Hoolihan
Jarratt

Jones
Keech
Kiernan
Lavarch
Lucas
Male *
McNamara
Mickel

Miller
Mulherin
Nelson–Carr
Nolan
Palaszczuk
Pearce
Pitt
Purcell

Reeves
Reilly
Roberts
O’Brien
Schwarten
Smith
Spence
Stone

Sullivan
Van Litsenburg
Wallace
Scott
Weightman
Wendt
Wettenhall
Wilson

NOES 30—

Copeland
Cripps
Cunningham
Dempsey
Dickson *

Elmes
Flegg
Foley
Gibson
Hobbs

Hopper
Horan
Johnson
Knuth
Langbroek

Lee Long
Lingard
Malone
McArdle
Menkens

Messenger
Nicholls
Pratt
Rickuss *
Seeney

Simpson
Springborg
Stevens
Stuckey
Wellington

Tellers *

Question agreed to.

Motion, as agreed—

That this House notes the Beattie Government's focus on delivering infrastructure and services.

POSTPONED ORDER – GOVERNMENT BUSINESS


Question put and agreed to.

PARLIAMENT OF QUEENSLAND AMENDMENT BILL  

Order of the day read for the adjourned debate on the motion of the Premier and Minister for Trade (Mr Beattie) – That the Bill be now read a second time.

Debate ensued.

Question put and agreed to.

Bill read a second time.

Consideration in detail—

Clauses 1 to 4, as read, agreed to.

Consideration in detail completed—

Mr Beattie moved – That the Bill be now read a third time.

Question put and agreed to.

Bill read a third time.

Mr Beattie moved – That the long title of the Bill be agreed to.

Question put and agreed to.

TRANSPORT LEGISLATION AND ANOTHER ACT AMENDMENT BILL  

Order of the day read for the further consideration of the Bill in detail.
Consideration in detail—

Clause 53 (Amendment of sch 4 (Dictionary))—
The following amendment was proposed by Mr Lucas—
At page 35, lines 30 and 31 and page 36, line 1—
m, insert—
‘issued under this Act that is subject to conditions, including restrictions, imposed’.

Debate ensued.
Question – That Mr Lucas’ amendment be agreed to – put and agreed to.
Clause 53, as amended, agreed to.

Clause 54, as read, agreed to.

Clause 55 (Amendment of s 79 (Driving etc. whilst under influence of liquor or drugs or with prescribed concentration of alcohol in blood or breath))—
The following amendment was proposed by Mr Lucas—
At page 37, after line 12—
insert—
‘(3A) After section 79(2A)—
insert—
‘(2BB) Definition for subsection (2A)’.

In subsection (2A)—
learner, probationary or provisional licence includes a licence, permit, certificate or other authority issued under a law of another State, the Commonwealth or another country that corresponds to a learner licence, probationary licence or provisional licence.’.

(3B) Section 79(2J), from ‘to whom’ to ‘79F’—
m, insert—
‘is a section 79E driver’.

Debate ensued.
Question – That Mr Lucas’ amendment be agreed to – put and agreed to.
Clause 55, as amended, agreed to.

Clause 56 (Amendment of s 79B (Immediate suspension or disqualification))—
The following amendments were proposed by Mr Lucas—
At page 38, after line 13—
insert—
‘(1A) Section 79B(1)(a)—
m, insert—
‘(a) charged under section 79(1) with an offence committed while under the influence of liquor or a drug; or’.

At page 38, after line 19—
insert—
‘(3) Section 79B—
insert—
‘(1A) However, this section only applies in the circumstances mentioned in subsection (1)(a) to (ca) if the person is charged under a provision mentioned in subsection (1)(a) to (ca) with an offence relating to—
(a) driving a motor vehicle; or
(b) attempting to put in motion a motor vehicle; or
(c) being in charge of a motor vehicle.’.

(4) Section 79B(5)(a), ‘an order under section 79E’—
m, insert—
‘a section 79E order’.

(5) Section 79B(7), definition section 79E order—
m.

Debate ensued.
Question – That Mr Lucas’ amendments be agreed to – put and agreed to.
Clause 56, as amended, agreed to.

Paper: Mr Lucas, during his speech, tabled the following paper—
Explanatory Notes to Mr Lucas’ amendments to the Transport Legislation and Another Act Amendment Bill
New Clauses 56A to 56C—

The following amendment was proposed by Mr Lucas—

At page 38, after line 19—

\textit{insert—}

56A Amendment of s 79E (Court may allow particular person whose licence is suspended under section 79B to drive)

Section 79E(2), ‘the licence, including a renewal of the licence,’—

\textit{omit, insert—}

‘a Queensland driver licence’.

56B Amendment of s 79F (Replacement licence if there is an order under section 79E)

(1) Section 79F(1), from ‘an order’—

\textit{omit, insert—}

‘a section 79E order.’.

(2) Section 79F(2), ‘an order under section 79E’—

\textit{omit, insert—}

‘a section 79E order’.

56C Insertion of new s 79G

After section 79F—

\textit{insert—}

79G When person is disqualified while s 79E order applies

(1) This section applies if—

(a) a person in relation to whom a section 79E order applies is, for any reason, disqualified by a court for a period from holding or obtaining a Queensland driver licence; and

(b) the period of disqualification ends before the relevant charge for the person’s suspended licence, in relation to which the section 79E order was made, is dealt with by a court or is withdrawn or is otherwise discontinued.

(2) The person is, by operation of law and without a specific order, disqualified from holding or obtaining a Queensland driver licence until the relevant charge is dealt with by a court or is withdrawn or is otherwise discontinued.

(3) In this section—

relevant charge, for a person’s suspended licence, means the charge that resulted in the licence being suspended under section 79B(2) of the Act.

suspended licence, of a person, means the person’s Queensland driver licence that has been suspended under section 79B(2) of the Act because the person has been charged as mentioned in section 79B(1)(a), (b) or (d).’.’.

Debate ensued.

Question—That Mr Lucas’ amendment be agreed to—put and agreed to.

Clause 57 (Amendment of s 80 (Provisions with respect to breath tests and laboratory tests))—

The following amendments were proposed by Mr Lucas—

At page 44, line 23, ‘doctor or’—

\textit{omit}.

At page 44, line 28, ‘doctor or’—

\textit{omit}.

At page 48, line 15, ‘doctor or’—

\textit{omit}.

At page 49, line 10, after ‘or’—

\textit{insert—}

‘the police officer operating or to operate’.

At page 53, line 6, ‘or heath care professional’—

\textit{omit}.

At page 53, line 8, ‘part of the’—

\textit{omit}.

At page 53, lines 9 to 12—

\textit{omit, insert—}

‘(73) Section 80(20A), from ‘blood’ to ‘such person’—

\textit{omit, insert—}

‘blood or saliva, the health care professional must give the second specimen of blood, or the police officer must give the second specimen of saliva, to the person’. 
At page 53, lines 24 to 32 and page 54, line 1—
*omit.*
At page 54, lines 22 to 24—
*omit.*

Debate ensued.

Question – That Mr Lucas’ amendments be agreed to – put and agreed to.

Clause 57, as amended, agreed to.

Clause 58, as read, agreed to.

Clause 59 (Amendment of s 86 (Disqualification of drivers of motor vehicles for certain offences))—

The following amendment was proposed by Mr Lucas—

At page 56, after line 11—

*insert—*

‘(1A) Section 86(2)(e), from ‘person to’ to ‘79F’—
*omit, insert—*

‘section 79E driver’.

Debate ensued.

Question – That Mr Lucas’ amendment be agreed to – put and agreed to.

Clause 59, as amended, agreed to.

Clause 60 (Amendment of s 87 (Issue of restricted licence to disqualified person))—

The following amendment was proposed by Mr Lucas —

At page 56, after line 28—

*insert—*

‘(2) Section 87(5B)—

*insert—*

‘(f) a suspension, if a court has, on application made in relation to the suspension, made a special hardship order.’.

Debate ensued.

Question – That Mr Lucas’ amendment be agreed to – put and agreed to.

Clause 60, as amended, agreed to.

Clauses 61 and 62, as read, agreed to.

New Clause 62A—

The following amendment was proposed by Mr Lucas—

At page 58, after line 30—

*insert—*

‘62A Amendment of s 131 (Appeals with respect to issue of licences etc.)

‘(1) Section 131(1C), ‘subsection (1)’—
*omit, insert—*

‘subsection (1AA)’.

‘(2) Section 131(1C)—

*insert—*

‘(d) in respect of the suspension of a Queensland driver licence of a person because of the allocation of demerit points; or

(e) in respect of the suspension of a Queensland driver licence of a person who has been convicted of an offence against a regulation for driving more than 40km/h over the speed limit.’.

Debate ensued.

Question – That Mr Lucas’ amendment be agreed to – put and agreed to.

Clause 63, as read, agreed to.

New Clauses 63A and 63B—

The following amendment was proposed by Mr Lucas—

At page 59, after line 13—

*insert—*

‘63A Amendment of s 150 (Regulating driver management)

‘(1) Section 150(1A), from ‘the licences’ to ‘circumstances’—
*omit, insert—*

‘Queensland driver licences in stated circumstances (special hardship orders)’.

‘(2) Section 150(1A)(a)—
*omit, insert—*
‘(a) the persons who are eligible, and who are not eligible, to apply for the orders; and
(aa) how and when applications for the orders are to be made; and’.

‘(3) Section 150(1A)(c)—
omit, insert—
‘(c) the types of restrictions the court may or must apply to licences; and
(ca) the periods for which orders are effective; and’.

‘63B Insertion of new s 150AB
Before section 150A—
insert—
‘150AB Driver licensing regulation prevails over rules of court
‘(1) This section applies if the driver licensing regulation provides for how and when an application is to be made to a court.
‘(2) If there is an inconsistency between the driver licensing regulation and any rules of court, the regulation prevails to the extent of the inconsistency.
‘(3) In this section—
   driver licensing regulation means the Transport Operations (Road Use Management—Driver Licensing) Regulation 1999.’.

Debate ensued.

Question – That Mr Lucas’ amendment be agreed to – put and agreed to.

Clause 64, as read, agreed to.

Clause 65 (Amendment of sch 4 (Dictionary))—
The following amendment was proposed by Mr Lucas—
At page 60, after line 19—
insert—
‘section 79E driver means a person—
(a) who holds a Queensland driver licence; and
(b) in relation to whom a section 79E order applies; and
(c) to whom a replacement licence under section 79F has been issued.
section 79E order means an order under section 79E.
special hardship orders see section 150(1A).’.

Debate ensued.

Question – That Mr Lucas’ amendment be agreed to – put and agreed to.

Clauses 66 to 68, as read, agreed to.

Schedules 1 to 3, as read, agreed to.

Consideration in detail completed—

Mr Lucas moved – That the Bill, as amended, be now read a third time.

Question put and agreed to.

Bill, as amended, read a third time.

Mr Lucas moved – That the long title of the Bill be agreed to.

Question put and agreed to.

ADJOURNMENT (Record of Proceedings p.477)

Acting Leader of the House (Ms Nelson–Carr) moved – That the House do now adjourn.

Debate ensued.

Question put and agreed to.

The House adjourned at 8.46 pm.
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dempsey</td>
<td>Hopper</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Pearce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dickson</td>
<td>Horan</td>
<td>Malone</td>
<td>Pitt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elmes</td>
<td>Jarratt</td>
<td>Mc Ardle</td>
<td>Pratt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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N J LAURIE
CLERK OF THE PARLIAMENT