

Inquiry into the Animal Care and Protection Amendment Bill 2022

Submission No: 354
Submitted by: [REDACTED]
Publication: Make my submission public but keep my name confidential
Attachments: No attachment

Submitter Comments:

In reference to the new 37A Possession or use of prohibited devices, I believe the wording is ambiguous. The examples of a prohibited restraining devices mentions halters, implying every horse owner and horse service provider will be required to provide a reasonable excuse to use a halter. I believe a halter can be dangerous or perhaps cruel to use when a horse is not under constant supervision e.g. foal out growing the halter he is wearing, tied to a patience pole, tethered for a long period of time without food/water.