

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SDNRAIDC](#)
Subject: Submission on the Vegetation Management and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2018
Date: Wednesday, 21 March 2018 6:46:20 PM

[REDACTED] writes:

To Queensland Parliamentary Committee Members,

I live in the city but still visit the family property and other family properties.

I feel so sick everytime I see the total clearing of quite large areas in the city where obviously there is no effort made to save animals. Money obviously talks here and it's sickening.

I also know there is widespread clearing in the regional areas too that I think is so wrong but don't know enough to comment on.

However the small farms with the aging families struggling to keep going are being unfairly affected by existing laws. Regrowth that gets away because of the lack of machinery, or labour to do the job should not be subject to protection when obviously it will interfere with land use eg. middle of the paddock but fence lines do have trees.

Also the fire breaks or clearing of the dead trees that are risking people's lives. I get that animals need nests etc, but so many farmers do actively keep timbered areas - they need to be supported to be safe at the same time.

These rules need to be balanced - there can't be one rule fits all. The big business can afford to flout the rules because it's just money - small land owners, whether in the city or regional, can't - and they need to be acknowledged and supported in these submissions.

The total

To: Queensland Parliamentary Committee Members

Dear Committee members,

Thank you for the opportunity to make a submission on the Vegetation Management and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2018.

Queensland is in the midst of an escalating deforestation and land clearing crisis, with a Gabba-sized area of forests and bushlands destroyed every three minutes. It is critically important that this new law ends this crisis and protects our beautiful forests and bushland, as well as our native wildlife.

I welcome the improvements that are in this law: the end of permits for so-called High Value Agriculture, the removal of the main self-assessable code for thinning, the modification of the fodder self-assessable code, and the redefinition of High Value Regrowth to include regrowing forest and

bushland that is 15 years of age and within 50 metres of watercourses in Great Barrier Reef catchments.

However, the test for these laws is: will they bring down the out-of-control rate of bulldozing in Queensland? Will they protect the state's wildlife?

These laws must end broadscale clearing and protect all remnant and High Conservation Value regrowth forest and bushland in Queensland, as outlined in the election commitment. I call on the government to make publicly available data that shows that these laws will achieve those commitments.

In addition, the following improvements to the law must be made:

- Ensure that no threatened species habitat is able to be bulldozed, and no “of concern” regional ecosystems are able to be bulldozed.
- End all bulldozing of mature forest and bushland for ‘thinning’ (which will still be allowed under a Development Approval, existing Area Management Plans and self-assessable regrowth codes).
- End bulldozing of mature forest and bushland for so-called ‘fodder harvesting’ unless it is lopping individual branches. At the very least, it should be proven to be necessary due to being a drought, and proven to be ‘low ecological impact.’
- Protect all regrowing forest and bushland that is threatened species habitat and that surrounds watercourses (riparian areas)—as was promised in the election commitment.
- Protect the regrown remnant and High Conservation Value Regrowth that is currently marked ‘exempt’ and is not protected in Category X on PMAVs.

Regards,

– Jessie Scott [REDACTED] postcode 4114