SUBMISSION

I provide my submission in respect of the proposed Vegetation Management and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2018 to be included in the SDNRAIDC's detailed consideration.

In providing this submission I refer directly to the Vegetation Management and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2018, the Introductory Speech of the Hon Dr Anthony Lynham MP, Minister for Natural Resources, Mines and Energy, of 8 March 2018, and the Explanatory Notes that encompass the proposed changes to the above Acts and a range of commentary and issues.

In my opinion the Vegetation Management and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2018 proposed changes are oppressive, restrictive and onerous and do not reflect the expert knowledge and understanding that landholders hold after decades of sustainable land management.

I do not in any way support broad scale land clearing or land degradation however I do not support and cannot operate with our industry being heavily regulated and debilitated by new oppressive vegetation management laws.

My opinion is set out below:-

HIGH-VALUE REGROWTH

Clause 38 of the Bill (proposed new definition of 'high-value regrowth' (a) and (b) in Schedule (Dictionary) of the Vegetation Management Act 1999) and Clause 16 (omission of s22A(2)(k) and (l) to delete high-value agriculture clearing and irrigated high-value agriculture clearing as relevant purposes).

- Changing the definition of high-value regrowth vegetation this term will now apply to vegetation not cleared in the last 15 years – rather than since 31 December 1989 (28 year old trees).
- Regulating regrowth on freehold land, Indigenous land and occupational licences in addition to leasehold land for agriculture and grazing.
- Removal of high value agriculture and irrigated high value agriculture as a relevant purpose under the Vegetation Management Act 1999. This will remove the ability to apply for a development approval for clearing for high-value and irrigated high value agriculture.

 or 47 per cent of this is within the Great Barrier Reef catchments."

*NB: A landholder could previously apply for a development approval to broadscale clear remnant vegetation for high value agriculture (clearing carried out to establish, cultivate and harvest crops) or irrigated high value agriculture (clearing carried out to establish, cultivate and harvest crops, or pasture, that will be supplied with water by artificial means).

We understand the concerns in protecting native species and animals but the direct impact on leaving standing timber and the soil erosion that results protects nor preserves the sustainable future of any native species. There needs to be less decisions made from air conditioned offices and more on farm visitation to witness directly the improvements in the soil health, grassland growth and hence forth the health of the country.

NEAR-THREATENED SPECIES

Clause 37 of the Bill (new Part 6, Division 13 – s141 'Proposed map showing essential habitat' and s142 'Provision about essential habitat').

 A map showing areas of proposed essential habitat for protected wildlife and near threatened wildlife will be published and land will be covered by an area management plan.

Introductory Speech - Dr LYNHAM: "Importantly, our government will be providing better protections under the vegetation management framework for near-threatened species. These are species that are listed under the Nature Conservation Act 1994, where our scientists have evidence that the population size or distribution of the wildlife is small, may become smaller or has declined and there is concern for their survival. Our near-threatened plants and animals were dismissed by the LNP government as not worthy of protection. On the other hand, the Labor party is of the firm belief that these species need our protection, otherwise we face the regretful prospect of their decline. Near-threatened species were removed from the essential habitat mapping layer in 2013. When we compared the high conservation values' methodology to the existing statutory framework, it showed that near-threatened species have limited regulatory protection. The essential habitat mapping layer used in the Vegetation Management Act will be updated, protecting endangered, vulnerable and near-threatened species. The essential habitat of our valued animals and plants will be protected in both remnant and high-value regrowth vegetation. Offsets will apply to approvals for any significant residual impact on near-threatened species where the clearing of remnant vegetation cannot be reasonably avoided and minimised."

Farmers are taking more responsibility than ever to ensure we are educated properly and adequately on what and how we can manage our farms within the laws and regulations however we need a government that wants to work with us. The relationships need to be mutually respectful and productive. We are faced with more red tape, more stringent rules and regulations that make very little sense without first hand seeing the devastation such changes can make to productive and proactive farming.

REGROWTH VEGETATION IN WATERCOURSE AREAS

Clause 37 of the Bill (new Part 6, Division 13 – s133 'How definition regrowth watercourse and drainage

feature area applies during and after the interim period') and addition to regrowth watercourse and drainage feature area definition in the Schedule (Dictionary) of the Vegetation Management Act 1999

- Extension of Category R areas (from the Burdekin, Mackay Whitsunday and Wet Tropics Great Barrier Reef catchments) to include new catchments to encompass all Great Barrier Reef catchments
- Addition of three catchments the Burnett-Mary, eastern Cape York and Fitzroy catchments –
 affecting regrowth vegetation in areas located within 50m of a watercourse or drainage feature
 located in these additional catchments.
- This regulation applies across freehold, indigenous and leasehold land.

Introductory Speech - Dr LYNHAM: "This bill will also extend protection to regrowth vegetation in watercourse areas for the Burnett-Mary, eastern Cape York and Fitzroy catchments, providing consistent protection to regrowth vegetation in all Great Barrier Reef catchments. This builds on the measures introduced in 2009 which regulate the clearing of vegetation within 50 meters of a watercourse in the Burdekin, Mackay-Whitsunday and Wet Tropics. The bill will also amend the Water Act to re-regulate the removal of vegetation in a watercourse under a riverine protection permit."

<u>Explanatory Notes:</u> Expanding the regulation of riverine regrowth to include these catchments will increase the protection for the Great Barrier Reef from sediment run-off and other impacts of clearing.

It's beyond me how farmers can be held so directly responsible for the reef management when daily chemicals from industries closer to the coast are dumped in water ways without second thought. Think of a car wash — are you expecting us to believe the chemicals from car after car, day after day washing down storm water drains has no effect on the health of the ocean but thousands of kilometres away a farmer does?!

LOW-RISK ACTIVITIES

Clause 17 of the Bill (new s22B 'Requirements for vegetation clearing application for managing thickened vegetation' of the Vegetation Management Act 1999) and Clause 37 (new Part 6, Division 13 – s136 'Area management plans that are to remain in force for 2 years').

- Thinning redefined as 'managing thickened vegetation' s22A(2)(g).
- Withdrawal of Code for clearing of vegetation for thinning. Managing thickened vegetation now requires notification under the new interim Code until the Bill has passed when a development application will be required.
- Requirements to be demonstrated in a development application for managing thickened vegetation – location and extent of clearing, clearing methods, evidence restricted to prescribed regional ecosystems and restrictions and evidence that the regional ecosystem has thickened in comparison to the same regional ecosystem in the bioregion.
- New s136 phases out landholder-driven area management plans as a mechanism for managing low-risk clearing that is or may be managed by the accepted development vegetation clearing codes. This new section provides that an area management plan relating to the clearing for encroachment or thinning continues but only remains in force until 8 March 2020.
- Notification of an intention to clear vegetation made under the plan before 8 March 2018 may continue while the plan remains in force however an entity may not give notification under the plan after 8 March 2018.

Introductory Speech - Dr LYNHAM: "The government is committed to retaining accepted development codes

for low-risk activities, while ensuring they deliver appropriate protections........Following a review by the Queensland Herbarium, and subsequent review by the CSIRO, a decision was reached that thinning is not a low-risk activity. Therefore I intend to withdraw this accepted development code from the regulation once this bill commences. In the interim, I am remaking the code to include the best scientific advice on how to minimise the risks until the code can be withdrawn. I will retain an assessment pathway in the legislation for those landholders who need to manage thickened vegetation. It will remain a relevant purpose in the Vegetation Management Act for which development applications can be made."

We are constantly monitored – constantly questioned but how many extra government staff is it going to take to manage these changes? And who financially picks up the pieces to that costing? The financial burden on farmers to redo PMAVs will be enormous and difficult to accommodate.

FODDER CODE

Clause 37 (new Part 6, Division 13 – s139 'Revocation of particular area management plan')

- s139(1) the 'Managing Fodder Harvesting Mulga Lands Fodder Area Management Plan' is revoked. A new revised Code is in place – 'Managing fodder harvesting accepted development clearing code'.
- s139(2) A notice of intended clearing under the Plan ceases to have effect on 8 March 2018, and no further clearing can be carried out under the Plan from 8 March 2018. Landholders need to lodge a new notification under the new Code and follow the requirements of the new Code.
- New s136 phases out landholder-driven area management plans as a mechanism for managing low-risk clearing that is or may be managed by the accepted development vegetation clearing codes. This new section provides that an area management plan relating to the clearing for fodder harvesting continues but only remains in force until 8 March 2020.
- Landholders need to lodge a new notification under the new Code.

Introductory Speech - Dr LYNHAM: "In conjunction with this bill, I asked my department to progress the review of the revised fodder code on which we consulted in 2016 and commence a rolling program to revise and implement the other acceptable development codes throughout 2018. The revised managing fodder harvesting code has been developed by my department based on scientific input from the Queensland Herbarium and the CSIRO. The immediate remake of the managing fodder harvesting and the managing thickened vegetation codes will invalidate all previous clearing notifications and introduce for the first time size and time limits on the areas able to be notified for clearing under an accepted development code. My department will be consulting throughout 2018 with stakeholders to finalise the remaining codes."

<u>Explanatory Notes</u>: Revoking the Mulga Lands Fodder Area Management Plan reinforces the role and function of the accepted development vegetation clearing code for fodder harvesting being the supported mechanism in which low-risk clearing activities are undertaken. Landholders can continue to undertake self-assessable clearing under the accepted development vegetation clearing code for fodder harvesting, or alternatively, apply for a development permit under the Planning Act 2016.

The two year period recognises that, in some instances, the clearing requirements for encroachment, thinning and fodder harvesting under current area management plans may not be consistent with the best available science.

PENALTY UNIT INCREASES

Clauses 19, 22-23 and 25-33

 Various amendments to Penalty Units for Maximum Penalty. Eg. s54B(5) 'Non-compliance with Restoration notice' - penalty increasing from 1665 to 4500 penalty units and s58(1) (false or misleading statement) – increasing from 50 to 500 penalty points.

Persons who do not follow a PMAV should be punished without a doubt. However with so much confusion and constant changes surely there is provisions for errors and mistakes.

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS

Introductory Speech - Dr LYNHAM: "I believe this bill and the complementary measures that I have outlined will deliver on the election commitment to deliver a more sustainable vegetation management framework for Queensland. This government will continue to work with our vital agricultural sector so that together we can care for the environment and ensure that their farms can pass, in good condition and in safe hands, from generation to generation."

"The amendments that I bring into the parliament are necessary to protect Queensland's remnant and high-value regrowth vegetation. It is all about restoring a sustainable vegetation management framework for managing a valuable resource on behalf of the people of Queensland."

"Within three years in Queensland clearing rates of remnant native vegetation increased from 59,800 hectares in 2012-13 to 138,000 in 2015-16. This amendment bill seeks to end the levels of broadscale clearing that the LNP legislation created."

We have three small children all of who show a deep passion for the land they have be born on. Their lust for the industry is something we hold cautiously on to. How can we possibly raise them to believe in what we have taught them, to yearn to continue the tradition that farming has become when there is so much uncertainty in our industry. How can we ask them to love the land they have established firm family roots on if they are unable to manage it properly? To uphold the integrity of the country using practices that encourage growth and health of the soils and surrounds. Instead expected to watch as the unmanageable timber creates soil erosion and tears away the very essence of the land. Where is the common sense?!

Signed:	Physix	
Date:	22/3/18	