SUBMISSION

In providing this submission I refer directly to the key provisions of the legislation which may be amended.

Removing High Value Agriculture and Irrigated High Value Agriculture from the Vegetation
Management Framework

This submission relates to the application to DRNM; reference NO NVSA101958 "managing category C regrowth vegetation" which was denied.

Our future development and succession planning are out of the question If we cannot develop this lease as a whole.

The drought proofing of this farm will fail as this area is useless as it is in its present state.

We the small producer contribute a lot towards feeding Australia and the ever growing world population.

2. Retaining Self-Assessable Codes

We have notified DNRM of plans and the self assessable code was working well and reducing the costs to government. Add up food produced and export dollars earned by the small producers and you will find the contribution to the state is massive. Take us out of the equation and you have a third world economy like Zimbabwe

3. Including High Value Regrowth as an additional layer of regulation under the Vegetation Management Framework on leasehold, freehold and indigenous land

Have not seen maps

 Increasing Category R regrowth watercourse vegetation to include additional catchments in the Burnett Mary, Eastern Cape York and Fitzroy Great Barrier Reef Catchments.

Making these rules retrospective and a knee jerk reaction is typical of the Trump regime and who wants that.

NQ needs a new state where officers leave the computer and air conditioning to develop relationships with farmers and actually see what the farmer has proposed.

5. That no compensation will be payable to landholders subject to added layers of regulation – high value regrowth, regrowth watercourses and essential habitat during transitional arrangements

NC

6. Increasing compliance measures and penalties under vegetation management laws.

no

7. Other matters relevant to the Vegetation Management and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2018 that the review committee should consider appropriate and worth some consideration

Most producers look after their land and realise that by grassing the country, erosion is kept at a minimum. At present this area is just a haven for feral pigs, deer and wild dogs and we are paying taxes (local and state) for non productive land. In its present state, when mustering, cattle run into this scrub and it is impossible to retrieve them.

We want to drought proof our properties and not call for government assistance and become another burden on the ever decreasing tax payers of this state.

0.22		
Signed:	Khilo	
Address:		
Date:	22-3-18	