

**Subject:**

Proposed Amendments to the Sanctuary Cove Resort Act 1985

Date:

Wednesday, 10 October 2018 4:14:20 PM

Dear Committee Members

I wish to record my objection to the proposed changes to the SCRA based on the following observations;

An on-line petition to Parliament in 2015 showed at least 50% of property owners who are major stake holders in Sanctuary Cove voted against any changes meaning Aveo could NOT raise the 75% majority required by the Act to make any changes. *Aveo had already polled the residents individually and received less than 50% votes in favour of change, undeterred they ignored this result and camouflaged it with a block vote by Residual Bodies Corporate Representatives and through this claim a majority in favour. **The Act requires a 75% majority vote!***

The proposed changes to the Act does not specify or restrict Mulpha/Aveo to a particular piece of land within the resort which begs the worrying question. Why did Mulpha take an interest in one of the golf courses? Currently a bone of contention elsewhere in Queensland and also NSW and causing great distress to owners who were sold property at inflated prices by developers due to the fact they enjoyed a *golf course view* and now find themselves looking at a block of flats! Will a developers greed expose and condemn Sanctuary Cove owners to a similar fate in future.

Please consider your deliberations carefully.

John Stanley Moderate

