

# Sunshine Coast Waterways Authority Bill 2026

**Submission No:** 009  
**Submission By:** Neville Guttridge  
**Publication:** Making the submission and your name public

---

I believe it will be good to have an independent group of people to help manage and support the Noosa River. Over the years it has been held to ransom by a small but influential group of people who are only there to service their own interests and in some cases profit from it.

The river is there for everyone's use and enjoyment not just a few elite groups.

You only have to look at the state of the neglect boat ramps, lack of trailer parking etc, to see which direction the council has taken.

Would be good to see someone from the area to be part of the authority if possible, but it has to be someone who can look at the big picture, and be not part of or influenced by the current self interest elite groups. This also includes councillors, council staff, past & present, previous members of various council work groups not being eligible.

## My Interest in This Bill

I am a Noosa resident and have used the Noosa River for many years for recreation / fishing / boating/swimming, etc. I am a member of the Noosa Boating & Fishing Alliance, which represents over 3,000 local residents, ratepayers, and business owners who depend on healthy, accessible, and ideally well-managed waterways.

I make this submission because the management of the Noosa River directly affects me and because I have serious concerns about ensuring balanced representation in any new governance structure.

## My Position

I SUPPORT the establishment of the Sunshine Coast Waterways Authority, but with specific conditions regarding board composition, consultation, and accountability.

## Reasons for My Position

1. **The Current System Is Fragmented and Ineffective** For too long, management of the Noosa River has fallen between the cracks of multiple agencies. Council points to the state. The state points to another agency. Everyone points elsewhere, and problems persist. We have seen this most clearly with dumped vessels in the river – an issue our community has raised for two decades. The fundamental reason for inaction was always the same: "too many layers of government involved" and "it's all too hard." The SCWA offers one body accountable for coordinating the work that currently falls through cracks. This is not "another layer of bureaucracy." It is one less layer of excuses.

2. **The Marine Park Threat Remains Real** In 2023, our community defeated a proposal to declare a Conservation Park over the Noosa River and lakes.

This move would have devastated local businesses and locked out recreational users. That proposal was initiated and driven by a well established and organised local conservationist group.

The SCWA bill, as currently drafted, does not prevent a future Marine Park declaration. That power remains with the Environment Minister under separate legislation.

However, a well-functioning SCWA with a board that genuinely represents recreational and commercial users creates a powerful political safeguard. No Environment Minister will easily override a popular, professionally-run Authority trusted by locals. But this depends entirely on who is appointed to the board.

If the board is captured by narrow interests, the river remains at risk.

3. **Board Composition Must Reflect All Users** I note concerns that the bill does not guarantee a Noosa representative on the seven member board.

While I understand that the board is intended to represent waterways interests rather than postcodes, I strongly urge the Committee to ensure that the appointment process delivers genuine balance.

The board must include members who: • Understand the needs of recreational boaters and fishers • Represent tourism operators and small businesses • Bring local knowledge of the Noosa River system.

Can balance environmental protection with public access The 2023 Conservation Park push demonstrated what happens when decision-making is captured by a single agenda. That must not ever be repeated.

4. **Public Consultation Must Be Genuine and Ongoing** The bill mandates public consultation on the waterways management strategy. I welcome this, but urge that consultation be:

- Genuine – not tick-box exercises
- Accessible – held at times and places that allow working people to attend
- Ongoing – not a one-off event
- Representative – actively reaching out to user groups, not just waiting for submissions The Noosa Boating & Fishing Alliance represents thousands of locals who use this river every week. We expect to be at that table.

5. The \$36 Million Investment Must Deliver Local Benefits I support funding for professional waterway management. Hydrologists, navigational experts, environmental scientists, and infrastructure planners are exactly what our river needs – people who will actually do the work, not just study it. But this investment must deliver tangible outcomes for Noosa: • Functional, well-maintained boat ramps • Clear, properly placed navigation markers • Active management of sand movement • Coordinated response to wrecks and hazards • Dredging when the bar closes, not after years of studies The community will be watching closely to ensure this funding translates into on-water results.

#### Specific Recommendations

1. Board Appointments: The Committee recommend the appointment process actively seek candidates who represent recreational and commercial waterway users, with demonstrated local knowledge and a track record of balanced decision-making.
2. Noosa Representation: That the Committee note the importance of Noosa's unique character being understood within the SCWA, and encourages the Minister to ensure Noosa voices are included in the board's composition.
3. Public Consultation: That the waterways management strategy consultation be required to include targeted engagement with recognised user groups, including the Noosa Boating & Fishing Alliance.
4. Accountability: That the three-yearly reviews of the SCWA include public reporting on how community input has been incorporated into decision-making.
5. Marine Park Interface: That the Committee seek clarification on how the SCWA's role interacts with the Marine Parks Act 2004, and whether any mechanism exists for the SCWA to formally advise on or object to proposed marine park declarations affecting its waterways. Conclusion I support the Sunshine Coast Waterways Authority because I believe professional, coordinated management is the best path forward for our river.

But support is not unconditional. It depends on:

- A board that genuinely represents all users
- Consultation that is real, not performative
- Accountability that is transparent and ongoing
- A clear understanding that the 2023 Conservation Park threat must never succeed The Noosa River is in very good health. The science proves it. Our job now is to keep it that way – accessible, sustainable, and managed by people who understand that a healthy river and an accessible river are the same thing.

Thank you for considering my submission.

Yours faithfully