

Inquiry into e-mobility safety and use in Queensland

Submission No: 1022

Submitted by: [REDACTED]

Publication: Making the submission public but withholding your name

Attachments: No attachment

Submitter Comments:

Legislation should support the uptake of active transport, not hinder it, as a way to combat the pollution and destruction of the environment and to promote fitness and healthy lifestyles such as reducing obesity and other self-made illnesses. Government should greatly prioritise and invest in active transport through infrastructure projects, accessible, separated and easy-to-use (wayfinding) travel routes everywhere, especially connecting to public transport systems and community services. As an e-bike user, Brisbane should be easy to get around on a bike, put it on any train (less seats first carriage) and e-bikes are a much better option than a push bike during the hot weather and a better alternative to a car (air-conditioned). E-bikes should be subsidised by the government and made more accessible to citizens, as care of and protecting the environment is crucial everyday and a much better alternative transport to an expensive, polluting, dangerous and road rage society that car culture creates to this day. I think e-bikes are safer than e-scooters as the user has more control over a bike and actually has to pedal and steer it. Therefore, e-bikes should be promoted over e-scooters. E-scooters shouldn't exist, in my opinion, they're too dangerous, for the user and other users on a path or road. E-scooters and e-bikes should not be able to just be parked anywhere, for example, randomly on a footpath, this is a hazard and difficult to move and no owner responsibility. In Japan, there are bike stations, where the bike goes into an elevator and the bike is parked underground.