

Submission Date	2013-04-08 22:24:36
Date	04-09-2013
I, as a Queensland primary producer,	support the changes you have proposed, however, have suggested some further recommendations with the Bill.
My production type is	beef cattle
The land tenure on my property is	freehold
I have Remnant Vegetation found on my property	Yes
I have High Value Regrowth Vegetation found on my property	Yes
My main issue/s with the current vegetation management framework is:	<p>Overly complex process to obtain a development application/permit for vegetation management</p> <p>The length of time taken to obtain a development approval/permit for vegetation management</p> <p>The length of time to utilise a permit/development approval is too short (currently 5 years) and doesn't allow for long-term property planning</p> <p>Incorrect mapping</p> <p>Overregulation of activities that are routine and essential to the management of my property (for example clearing for fence lines, firebreaks, roads, or infrastructure)</p> <p>Over-administration or administration of vegetation management by inexperienced departmental staff</p> <p>see additional notes</p>
If this Bill is passed it will mean the following for my property in terms of improved productive, environmental and/or social aspects:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Being able to control regrowth at the optimum time, this achieving a better result. 2. Regain lost productivity <p>Additional Notes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The problems experienced landholders encountered (issue points above) when dealing with the dept & staff were caused by a dept with its own anti primary producer and can't do attitude with a refusal to admit they are wrong. 2. Staff that are inexperienced with an inappropriate attitude eg confusing Lancewood with Belah, Spinifex with Buffel Grass, incorrectly placing Ironbark in an endangered category. Taking 6 months to issue a development permit, 3 months for a fodder permit in a drought, causing stress to stock and landholders.
If I could propose further changes to the legislation these changes would include:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. permit lif of 10 years. This allows for a planned and orderly control programm. 1. Do away with all restrictions on freehold land, if not pay compensation. <p>ADDITIONAL NOTES & SUGGESTIONS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As a freehold landholder, I hae paid for and own th timber. If the govt wishes to impose restrictions on that asset then they must compensate me. To date that has not happened.

2. It is pointless attempting to change vegetation legislation without re organising and redirecting the dept and staff. The Dept is more focused on penalising and hindering landholders than encouraging and assisting primary production.

STATEMENT: I am a stock owner and landholder and have an affinity with both and endeavour to maintain both in the best of health, my conscience and financial future depend on that fact.

Full Name

Angus Ryrie

Phone Number

Address

E-mail

...

the contact details above.

Name and Signature

Angus Ryrie
see fax. 9/4/17
