

**From:** [Lauren Hewitt](#)  
**To:** [State Development Infrastructure and Industry Committee](#)  
**Subject:** Submission: Raymond Stacey  
**Date:** Friday, 3 August 2012 4:11:04 PM

---

The Research Director

State Development

Infrastructure and Industry Committee

Parliament House

George Street

Brisbane QLD 4000

Wednesday, July 25, 2012

## RE: Inquiry into the future and continued relevance of Government land tenure across Queensland

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the Parliamentary Inquiry into 'the Future and Continued Relevance of Government Land Tenure across Queensland.'

As a primary producer, my future business is predicated on secure tenure and regulation.

Below, I have detailed my concerns and issues associated with my tenure and attempted to provide some suggested methods through which these could be addressed.

### **My Enterprise**

**· I hold the following tenure type/s**

- Pastoral Holding/Term Lease

**· My primary production includes**

- Cattle

### **My Tenure Issues**

**· I believe that the following conditions or actions by Government have affected my ability to manage this land effectively**

- High leasehold rents are rendering my business unprofitable

· **This issue/s have affected my enterprise because:**

Current leasing costs are too high and the current methodology means that we are only paying about 1/3 of what they will be by 2017. This makes the whole operation on our small block uneconomic. Pressure is placed upon business to continually increase production to meet these fixed overheads which has serious negative ecological implications

· **My suggested solutions to rectify this issue/s are:**

A methodology based upon productivity.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment on this significant inquiry.

Best regards,

**My Contact Details**

· **Full Name**

Raymond Stacey

· **E-mail**

[REDACTED]

· **Address**

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]