

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Public Works and Utilities Committee](#)  
**Subject:** Personalised transport Reform Amendment Bill 2017  
**Date:** Monday, 10 April 2017 8:13:07 PM

---

Dear Sir/Madam,

I wish to submit my opinion with regard to amendments to this Bill.

My wife and I purchased 5 licences over 20 years, to secure our retirement income. With the changes to the industry and the Governments handling of Personalised Transport. My capital investment, is 20% of it value of what we paid, and monthly return on my investment is approximately 30% of what it was 10 years ago.

I believe that ride share can not use the word "Limousine" , does that include "Limo" which most people associate with the Limousine vehicles.

The "Niche market " referred to by the government. Doesn't exist, what is to stop an operator running ride share format advertising Chauffeur driven luxury vehicles for hire, saving a large some of money by not having "L" plated vehicle.

Low entry costs of Ride Share disadvantage Limousine owners and operators, not to mention Special Purpose Limousine Licences.

Ride Share should be paying a comparable price as L plated vehicles keeping the industry on a level playing field.

In good times Queensland Transport sold licences for market value, with the changes in the market I believe the fairest way would be for the government purchase back licences at a fair value.

Regards  
Ted Buchanan.