From:
 Public Works and Utilities Committee

 To:
 Public Works and Utilities Committee

 Subject:
 Fwd: Reform 2017 Stage 2, Personalised Transport Amendment

 Date:
 Monday, 10 April 2017 2:39:29 PM

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Date: 10 April 2017 at 2:09:04 PM AEST To: <u>PWUC@paliament.qld.gov.au</u> Subject: Reform 2017 Stage 2, Personalised Transport Amendment

To Committee Secretary Public Works and Utilities Committee Parliament House George Street Brisbane Qld 4000 From Joanne Roche

Personalized Transport Amendment Bill 2017 QLD Ref: PCBU Safety Standards.

I agree Alert button with Cameras should be implemented for all personalize vehicles.

Why?....Their/Persoalised

1. Mobile phone signal drops out

2. Mobile phone are not stable

3. Mobile phone battery dies quickly when used for long periods

of time

4. Mobile phone holders are not stable on windscreen or air vents.

5. When an incident occurs. Driver grabs mobile phone and swipes off current page to FIND the Help Page? And that's if the phone is working.

Not really reassuring!

Thee Alert button attach to the camera computer system is more appropriate. Why?

1.Prior to passengers entering the vehicle. Signs are displayed of security camera is fitted. Making passengers Aware.

2. When Alert is activated thee operator goes into procedure.

3.Operate can hear the conversation.

4.Leaving the driver to take control and try to clam the situation down. As part of the driver training.

Summary:

So I ask the question. Is this risk mandatory for working in small spaces? Thank you for your time

Regards

Joanne Roche

Sent from my iPhone