



Subject:
Date:


Offensive advertising bill
Wednesday, 9 November 2016 6:33:21 PM

Dear Members of the Committee, I completely disagree with any infringement on free speech and freedom of expression. Offensive is purely subjective and should only be a last resort to ban anything. This bill goes against the fundamental principles of liberty. Unless someone sustains some form of injury from another person's behaviour then such actions should be deemed in breach. However, when it comes to speech and advertising we also have the freedom of movement for example, look away, and to complain directly to the person who has affronted our sensibilities and ask them to cease their behaviour. I do not want some rubber stamp department of my elected representatives wasting my tax dollars on how to infringe on my rights. I would further suggest that any further attempt to introduce such legislation be quashed for infringement of freedom of speech.

We could take this one step further and roll back censorship to an advisory service only.

They have grown far too bloated and need to be curtailed severely. If someone is found to have a genuine case of emotional distress they should seek recompense via law suit or another civil tribunal. Please introduce a bill to prevent any further interference from the office of the fun police into mine and my fellow Queenslanders lives.

No further taxation or levying of any kind should be instituted to pay for this nonsense either.

Sincerely,

Alvar Dalton

