

6 June 1999

Research Director
Legal, Constitutional and
Administrative Review Committee
Parliament House
George Street
BRISBANE QLD 4000

Dear Sir/Madam

We submit the attached comments on the proposed Transplantation and Anatomy Amendment Bill.

The opinions are the personal feelings shared by our family members as a donor family. Our views do not necessarily represent the views of other donor families nor donor support groups.

Yours sincerely

Wendy and Dale Nichols

Thickel,

Informed and Definitive Donor Consent

- Whatever decision is made by the adult, in terms of their body tissue after death, and expressed on the driver's licence, should be final and observed -- be it a "Yes" or a "No".
- Although it is hoped that the decision is an "informed" one, this
 cannot be guaranteed. Knowledge and consultation cannot be
 forced upon an adult. However the opportunity should be provided.

Availability of Information to Potential Donors

- To assist the adult make an informed decision a simple explanation
 of the donation process should be made available to the adult before
 they are asked to indicate their decision. This may be when they
 apply for a learner's permit or when notified of renewal of a licence.
- This information could take the form of:
 - a written document and/or
 - a video tape and/or
 - an audio tape, with

ready access to a contact person for clarification or discussion.

Whatever the mode of presentation, it should be simple, short and factual and in multiple languages.

- The content of the document/tapes could include a short explanation of
 - the fact that a donor can specify the body tissues to be donated or not donated;
 - the fact that a potential donor will not be 'let to die' to secure the organs;
 - the process by which a recipient is selected to allay fears that "some no-hoper doesn't deserve my organs"!
- The adult should then be given the opportunity to discuss their decision with their family/friends.
- Then at the time of indicating their decision, the adult should also be required to:

 - confirm that they have discussed their decision with family/friends.
 - nominate a person(s) with whom they have discussed their decision.

Accommodation of Relatives' Wishes

- The adult's decision is final and must be observed.
- If the adult 'dies', then sad as it is, they are dead. It is the surviving family/friends who have to live with the decision. So their feelings have to be taken into account without compromising the 'informed' decision of the deceased.
- Obviously if the donor has discussed the topic with the family/friends then the donation will be made easier for the family/friends.
- If a family/friend has been nominated by the donor, then perhaps the nominee could be invited by the Donor Program C-ordinator to explain the donor's wishes to the other surviving members.

This means the Donor Co-ordinator would require access to records about the donor and may require previous approval by the nominee to be contacted if the need arose.

- The provision of ongoing support for the donor families is essential.
 The support may take various forms:
 - ∇ support groups

 - opportunity to talk with specially trained individuals from donor families
 - ♥ formal recognition of the Gift of Life eg Thanksgiving Services
 - some form of 'contact' with the recipients of the donation.

Central National Donor Register

- This just seems so logical and sensible. Why hasn't it been done before? State politics should not be a consideration.
- The practitioners (medical teams, co-ordinators) in the Transplant Programs should be the ones to determine the format of the register; what aspects need to be addressed by it.
- This may be the database that informs the Donor Program Coordinator of the donor's specific wishes about organ removal and the nominated family/friend to be contacted.

Procedures to be followed by relevant authorities

- Donor Progam Co-ordinators need the approval and financial support to educate the public and the medical staff about the procedures and to support donor families.
- Continuous improvement in the procedures should be facilitated by feedback from all stakeholders; not just theoreticians who sit on the relevant committees. For someone not directed affected by the process, it's often a case of "it only happens to other people". It doesn't!

Signed:

Wendy Nichols

(Geoffrey) Dale Nichols

22 riccios

66100