Submission 003

From: Peter

Sent: Monday, 14 June 2010 1:32 PMTo: Law, Justice and Safety CommitteeSubject: Property Based Voting Comments

Dear MP's.

I refer to the following question:

34) Should people, based on the number of properties they own, be entitled to more than one vote per

division?

in

# LAW, JUSTICE AND SAFETY COMMITTEE

### A NEW LOCAL GOVERNMENT ELECTORAL ACT:

## REVIEW OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ELECTORAL SYSTEM

### (EXCLUDING BCC)

#### Issues Paper June

This question is absolutely outrageous! It should have been dismissed two seconds after it was first mentioned, let alone included in an issues paper.

But, oh I see, it's just a joke, isn't it? Sure, it must be - you know, getting the vote, Labour roots, workers rights, the Tree of Knowledge, the Shearers' Strike, Women's Suffrage, the Magna Carta, our Constitution, and all that stuff ...... ur just having a lend of us all, arnt ya! Good one! Ha, ha!

But, hang on a minute, on the plus side, it could do away with the farce of having to vote for candidates in the two party system who all tell porkies to the voters. Yeah, that would be great! And even better, how about we extend it to:

- · cover, local, state and federal elections; and
- give one vote for each billion dollars each person is worth?

Then only the super rich would have to front the booths and they'd get to run the joint as they do now. And all us poor mugs could just get on with living in the real world! You beauty!

Please let me know the outcome of your serious deliberations. I could do with another good belly laugh.

Enjoyed the joke,

Peter McMahon