



ACTIVE WATCHFUL WAITING Inc.

Community Liasson: Catherine Anderson-Karena

**SUBMISSION ON THE QUEENSLAND BIRTHS, DEATHS, AND MARRIAGES REGISTRATION
REGULATION BILL 2022**

CONTENTS

1. Who we are, page 2.
2. Introduction, page 3
3. Definitions
 - *Gender Identity, page 3*
 - *Yogyakarta Principles, page 4*
 - *Sex, page 5*
 - *Gender and Gender Expression, page 5*
4. Harmful impact of the BDMRR Bill 2022, page 6
5. BDMRR bill is complicit in putting a minor to start on gender transition pathway, page 9
6. The removal of parental rights of care for a fringe ideology
7. Conclusion
8. Appendix – Follow the money

1. WHO WE ARE

Active Watchful Waiting Incorporated Association is a community of parents, teachers, health professionals, detransitioners, transgender people and members of the Lesbian, Gay and Bisexual community, members thus far located in Queensland, Western Australia, New South Wales and Victoria. We are deeply concerned about the experimental “gender affirmative” model of ‘healthcare’ currently promoted by Federal and State governments to children and young people, based on the adoption of gender identity ideology in law and education policies.

We at AWWA reject dangerous and damaging ideologies that tell children that they are born in the wrong body and that they require medical and surgical interventions to treat their gender non-conformity. We do not support any ideologues who tell children that their emotional and psychological problems can be solved with cross-sex hormones, chemical and surgical castration, double-mastectomies, or other brutal surgical procedures.

At AWWA, we see it is our duty as parents, carers and responsible adults to secure the health and well-being of children and young people. Increasingly, however, children are being sold the lie that they are the opposite sex and should medically and surgically alter their bodies if they are gender non-conforming. Puberty is not a medical condition and should not be treated as such. Rather, children and adolescents should be supported through the natural stages of development and should be free to explore their interests, careers, hopes and desires without the demands of sex stereotypes and the spectre of medical and surgical intervention via trans indoctrination.

Many young people in Australia who don’t conform to gender norms have instead become targets of gender identity ideology that puts them on a pathway to becoming life-long medical patients. We now know from evidence from overseas that children who may be autistic, same-sex attracted, victims of sexual or domestic abuse, or victims of online grooming, are much more likely to report feelings of gender dysphoria and seek out off-label medications and body modification surgeries.

The inevitable outcome of the administration of “puberty-blocking” medications; (off-label chemical castration, endometriosis and late-stage cancer medications), cross-sex hormones, and invasive experimental surgeries has been an exponential rise in de-transitioners - those who formally identified as trans or gender diverse (TGD) and who have since desisted. There is currently no support for those who have undergone drastic experimental procedures and who now suffer severe emotional, psychological and physical consequences.

For the purposes of this submission

- We advocate against the Queensland state engaging in the first stage of the gender affirmation model; social transitioning via the legal registration of a change of sex. No one can change sex.
- We work to inform and raise awareness of the general public and in particular politicians, health professionals, parents, teachers, and journalists on the harms of mandatory gender affirmative pathways and gender medicine can cause, **that is based on gender identity ideology which the Queensland state is adopting.**
- We advocate for the human rights of minors and women and girls, which this bill violates.
- We explore and inform on the political, ideological, and financial interests invested in the gender industry, and influencing schools, media, education policies and law in Australia and New Zealand.

INTRODUCTION

This bill if brought into law will mean **anyone**, at any stage in their life, for any reason, will be able to change the sex recorded on their Birth Certificate by completing a simple statutory declaration form. There will no longer be a medical or family court gatekeeping process. A birth certificate will cease to be reliable evidence of sex, in fact it gives considerable concern that this bill goes some way to abolishing the concept and recognition of 'sex' entirely.

Self-identification is harmful to women, children, parental rights, lesbian, gay and bisexual people, and this bill promotes the first stage of transitioning; [social transitioning](#)ⁱ, the replacing of one's sex in documentation with gender identity. It is the stage prior to medical and surgical alterations of youth. Social transitioning is not a neutral act, it is shown to concretise a gender identity in youth, whereas, left to themselves a youth's incongruence with their sex is likely to desists once they are through puberty.

The process of this Bill is undemocratic, all stakeholders representing women were silenced and refused consultation with the Attorney General Shannon Fentiman. It does not go unnoticed that the bill was rushed through over the holiday period with no parliament available to debate and little time for the general public to organise submissions. In addition, this bill is shaped by beliefs that are part of a gender identity ideology, neither religion nor ideology should dominate a secular society in law or be rushed through by the state in supporting an agenda of a fringe group in society.

DEFINITIONS:

Gender Identity

In the [explanatory notes](#)ⁱⁱ on page 15 'Gender identity' is given as "(a) a person's internal and individual experience of gender, whether or not it corresponds with the sex assigned to the person at birth;"

This statement is ideological, not factual. Doctors do not assign a baby's sex, they observe it - either at birth or in utero via ultrasound.

There is no empirical measure of 'gender identity' [or even evidence that 'gender identity' actually exists](#).ⁱⁱⁱ

"According to an international group of over 100 clinicians and researchers, there is currently no biological evidence for "gender identity" and no laboratory test that can distinguish a trans-identified person from a non-trans-identified person. Despite this, the belief in "gender identity" is used as the basis for medically transitioning [thousands](#)^{iv} of children and adolescents.

*"The assumption of the core biological underpinning for 'gender identity' and 'gender dysphoria' remains an unproven theory: while biology likely plays a role in gender nonconformity, currently, **there is no brain, blood, or other objective test that distinguishes a trans-identified from a non-trans identified person once confounding factors such as sexual orientation are controlled for,**" (emphasis original) said the Society for Evidence-Based Gender Medicine (SEGM) in an [article](#)^v debunking false and unproven assumptions used to medically transition children. "*

Sex self-id is based upon the nebulous and vague concept of "gender identity", commonly understood to be a performance of sex-based stereotypes as indicated by mannerisms or dress, or language via "preferred pronouns" and name. This is reflected in part (b) "(i) the person's personal sense of the body; and (ii) if freely chosen—modification of the person's bodily appearance or functions by medical, surgical or

other means; and (iii) other expressions of the person's gender, including name, dress, speech and behaviour."

In other words, one's 'gender identity' is subjective, performative and for the most part is transitory. Allowing people in particular minors to change their birth certificates and legal status when their change of identity is more likely transitory, resolved through the completion of puberty, is not sensible public policy.

Yogyakarta Principles

The explanatory notes cite the Yogyakarta Principles on the Application of International Human Rights Law in relation to Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity as support for these amendments. The Yogyakarta Principles were drawn up by self-selected activists in non-government organisations. The Yogyakarta Principles have never been agreed by the governments of the United Nations and cannot be said to have any formal legal status in international law.

It's interesting as time has gone on one of the signatories Robert Wintemute, Professor of Human Rights Law at King's College, London. [Wintemute is now critical of Yogyakarta Principle 3^{vi}](#) (that governments "fully respect and legally recognise each person's self-defined gender identity") and [Principle 31^{vii}](#) (that governments "end the registration of the sex and gender of the person in identity documents"). He has said **that the conflict with women's rights was not discussed at the time the principles were written**. And he went on to elaborate:

"Men are rarely if ever affected by transgender demands, so it is easy to say yes. We must always try to imagine ourselves in the changing rooms, hospital wards, and prisons of lesbian, bisexual and heterosexual women. Better protection of the human rights of a very small minority must not come at the expense of the human rights of the majority of the population.

Wintemute explained the LGB Alliance conference in November 2021, that he changed his mind as a result of listening to women. His recorded [speech^{viii}](#) begins at minute **10:55 in the video: [The ideological capture of the Welsh Government I: Follow the Money Part 1](#)**.^{ix} The following is a partial transcript of his comments:

"In November 2017, the second version of the Yogyakarta Principles incorporated the fourth transgender demand. Principle 31 claims that under existing international human rights law, every country in the world has an obligation to end the registration of the sex of the person in identity documents such as birth certificates. Until this is done, the third transgender demand, self-identification, must be adopted. No eligibility criteria such as a psychological medical diagnosis or minimum age shall be a prerequisite to change one's legal sex.

With regard to change of legal sex, the Yogyakarta Principles are not a neutral document in human rights law but rather a radical advocacy document. I would argue that the GRA (Gender Recognition Act) is very generous, that parliament should not amend it for England and Wales, or the Scottish government in Scotland especially because the Strasbourg court does not require us to change it.

Proponents of change consider the requirement of a diagnosis of gender dysphoria to be humiliating or stigmatizing, but we must remember that a transgender person seeking a change of legal sex is asking for

an exemption from the general rule that a person's birth sex is their legal sex for life because their birth sex never changes.

Exemptions have conditions. We can justifiably attach conditions to crossing the legal border from male to female or female to male, just as we attach conditions to crossing an international border, acquiring a new citizenship, being granted refugee status, being approved as an adoptive parent, obtaining disability benefits or being granted the status of conscientious objector to military service.

In none of these situations is it sufficient to self-identify as a visitor, citizen, refugee, adoptive parent, disabled person or conscientious objector. The transgender rights movement has gone well beyond seeking equal rights. It seems to liberate women without their consent from the legal protections of their birth sex and even from the recording of their birth sex.

*Better protection of the human rights of a very small minority must not come at the expense of the human rights of the majority of the population. In closing, I would like to say that I have changed my mind with regard to certain transgender demands including access to women only spaces, **after listening to women.**"*

Proponents of gender identity seek to implement punitive laws and policies, for those who fail to believe in it and uphold it, successfully doing so in other states in Australia. **Gender identity is nothing more than a belief or performance, basing laws and policies on such a contentious belief will make for bad and problematic law."**

Though he was not invited to participate, Wintemute commented on the [Yogyakarta Principles 10+^x](#), a later addition to the original guide:

*Instead of changing the person's legal sex, the guide could have simply sought to protect people from harm triggered by the difference between their legal sex and their appearance on the basis of their presentation. This would remove much of the current conflict, as it would **affirm trans people's birth sex as their legal sex, while ensuring their protection from discrimination based on gender non-conforming appearance or behaviour.** Birth sex is less important now, with same-sex marriage and equal state pension ages. But in my view birth sex is not an irrelevant detail and should not be automatically 'trumped' by gender identity in single-sex situations*

Recommendation: We agree with Wintemute, affirm trans people's birth sex as their legal sex, while ensuring their protection from discrimination based on their gender non-conforming appearance or behaviour.

Sex

Sex is determined at fertilisation with 99.98% human beings born unambiguously and observably male or female. Sex is determined on the basis of chromosomes (presence of Y denotes male, absence of Y denotes female), endocrinological system, external genitalia, and internal reproductive organs. The existence of people with disorders or variations of any of those determinants does not negate the male/female sex binary. Human beings cannot change sex, it is wrong to suggest they can and undermines the integrity of a legal document when it cannot be relied upon as evidence of its contents.

Gender and Gender Expression

Feminists traditionally have used the word gender to denote the societal framing of female and male roles. Whereas sex is a biological category, gender is a historical and social category. Sex is why women are oppressed and gender is how women are oppressed. The process of socialisation of members of a society

includes individuals navigating a number of assumptions and stereotypes held in category groups termed Women and Men regarding appearance and behaviour over a lifetime.

Gender expression is acting on these assumptions and stereotypes about how a man or women should behave. It is however insulting and offensive to women that “woman” can be reduced to a boy or man simply adopting sex stereotypes and performing “femininity”. Many women do not adhere to strict sex stereotypes themselves, and it is absurd that “woman” is now defined by how well one performs femininity. It excludes many women from their own sex category.

2. Harmful impact of the BDMRR Bill 2022

The Queensland self-id law advances the interests of one group in the community whilst having a harmful impact upon a much larger groups in the community that will not benefit from this bill. That is women & girls, different cultures, the disabled, parents and homosexuals.

The largest group impacted are Women and girls as they remain an oppressed majority and subject to violence and discrimination on the basis of sex, every day in Queensland, and internationally. To address those harms and discrimination, women and girls need to have specific protections, based upon sex. As humans cannot change sex, and in light of mounting evidence from Australia and overseas that males who self-identify as transgender retain male patterns of entitlement, criminality and performance across a range of domains, there remains an inherent need for women and girls to have their sex class recognised and protected.

Self-Identification will have significant harmful impact on single sex spaces, short-listed spots, single sex sports, diverse cultures, religion, sex realist beliefs, right of association, women’s services, data collection and homosexuality. Please click the links to see evidence in Australia and read personal testimonies at <https://noconflictTheySaid.org> :

- a) *Single sex spaces*^{xi} *prisons, schools, toilets, change rooms and high-security hospital;*
 - o We are now seeing male rapists put in with Australian female prisoners, of whom over 75% have been sexually abused and suffer stress and anxiety from the threat of further abuse. Prison leadership, at the request and direction of institutions like Queensland Human Rights Commission, have spent years trying to make incarceration spaces trauma informed. This is all discarded when males are included in the space, and all parties well know this. Not only that, Australia’s commitment to the Mandela Rules, and arguably, UN rules regarding torture during incarceration, are also contravened by the placement of males in the female prison estate. Why was this not discussed in the ‘Statement of compatibility’ lodged by the Attorney General?
 - o Women, children and the elderly have particular same sex needs around intimate care, due to dignity, dependency and vulnerability. It is essential that same sex care be placed into the Anti-discrimination Act, not all amenities for this removed via self-identification legislation. The Queensland legislature must recognise there are instances where same sex care is essential for safety, privacy and dignity. The privacy requests of some who wish to hide their sex from others must not ever override the bodily autonomy, safety and safeguarding of others.
 - o Disabled people may require same-sex intimate care again due to dependence;

- In practice a fully intact bearded male can be where women and children disrobe, can be legally protected to indecently expose himself.
 - Sex specific medical screening service; is needed for the dignity and privacy of women.
 - People with learning disabilities may struggle to cope with rapidly changing social conventions such as 'misgendering'; in the UK an Autistic boy was arrested for misgendering a trans identified male.
 - High levels of violence and sexual abuse are mitigated with the removal of males, regardless of how they identify from women only spaces.
 - Child protection and safeguarding;
- b) [Short-listed-spots](#)^{xii} *sex-specific awards and bursaries;*
- Sexism and sexist discrimination is a huge problem in Australia, short-listed spots go some way to re-address the balance. We have seen however males take these spots and women are put second, again to males.
 - A recent report into the status of women's equality in Australia: [Australia's gender pay gap is 22.8%](#)^{xiii}. Women, on average earn, \$26,596 less than men each year. Men are twice as likely to be in the top earning bracket and women are 1.5 times more likely to be in the lowest
 - Underrepresentation in political and economic positions is lessened as men further positions of influence and power.
- c) [Single Sex Sports](#)^{xiv}
- Women lose the right to exclude male-bodied people from women's sports, even where including males' risks injury for females. We lose the right for fair competition for women, as women's rights are subsumed beneath the demands of trans-identifying males even where there is overwhelming evidence that male inclusion is in opposition to the interests of women and girls.
 - We impact the health and the benefits of sports for young girls, with the impact of learned helplessness, when they realise no matter how hard they work, the playing field is weighted towards males winning, hence they self-exclude. When girls and women realise, they have no potential for competition, or safety, they will self-select out, leaving the field. This is the opposite of what sports organisations have worked for decades to achieve.
- d) *Race, Religion, Sex Realist beliefs*
- How will the rights of cultural groups, for whom single-sex spaces are particularly important, be upheld? i.e., Aboriginal, Muslim, Indian and Jews for example? We already know that women of some backgrounds will self-exclude. Why does not the Attorney General also know this?

- The implementation of a process of self-declaration of legal sex will disproportionately affect people from religious backgrounds for whom single-sex spaces are particularly important
 - There are people who believe that gender is a social construct, and that gender identity is not innate or real. How will their rights to assert this fact be upheld?
 - There are many who do not believe in 'gender identity ideology' but see it as a regressive, sexist and homophobic set of ideas with no grounding in reality or objectivity. Should there be legislation that enforces belief and coerces speech upon those who do not believe?
- e) [The right of association](#)^{xv}
- Women lose the right for women to meet in order to debate, organise, advocate, and campaign for female specific interests, without risk of harassment, violence, prosecution or loss of income. Examples are Lesfest, Women's circus or the Sapho parties where one trans identifying male closed down events that accommodated the needs of thousands of women.
- f) *Women's services,*
- The commissioning of sexual and domestic violence support services, including those for prostituted women;
 - People with PTSD including women survivors in relation to male violence and their right to a single sex recovery space or counsellor.
- g) [Data collection results in data corruption](#),^{xvi} *especially for health, crime, political participation, and STEM programs.*
- Women lose the right to have male crime recorded as male crime, it means journalists falsely report male committed crimes as female. It also means that male perpetrated crime is recorded and reported as being committed by women, when that is not the case. How can we address male violence when some male violence is mislabelled as 'female'.
 - Elimination of data collection of sex-based inequalities.
 - Elimination of data on the impact of male criminals on women prisoners, hiding the harm and impact of vulnerable women in support of state-sanctioned ideology, that in effect supports state-sanctioned rape.
- h) [Sexual Orientation](#).^{xvii} *In Australia there has been an impact on one's right to express one's sexuality as same sex.*
- There are attacks on lesbians who are asserting their rights as protected group to be same sex attracted;
 - There is ostracization of lesbians from the LGBT community and their demonisation as 'sexual racists';

- The erasure of their sexual identity and nomenclature;
- The demand on lesbians to consider male-bodied people as sexual partners ('stigmatising homosexuality in women);
- The substitution of 'gender' for 'sex' which directly impacts on the protected characteristic of lesbian and gay men and their right to same sex relationships.

Sex based rights exist to address some of the above problems. Any change to the law that effectively changes the definition of who pertains to which sex group, has the potential to undermine efforts to advance women's equality, the recognition of homosexuality and rights of protection and safeguarding of children.

In addition, Australia is a signatory to UN Convention for the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, this Convention pertains to the rights of women (biological females). Allowing men and boys to declare a "female gender identity" and gain access to women's spaces, services and rights is contrary to the obligations Australia has as a signatory to this Convention. In effect, sex self-id laws are abhorrent to the human rights of women and girls and are a human rights violation.

Recommendation:

- a) Sex should in no way be conflated or be replaced with 'gender identity' in law. A person's sex is immutable and unchangeable and needs to record as a matter of fact on a person's birth certificate.
- b) Recognise and put in law, that sex is the paramount protected characteristic and support all funding, services and spaces that are provided for women be on the basis of their sex.
- c) Put a halt to any presumption that 'gender identity' should displace the protected characteristic of sex.

2) THIS BILL IS COMPLICIT IN PUTTING A MINOR TO START ON GENDER TRANSITION PATHWAY at any age with as little as a statement of support from a non-related 18 year old, and guardianship responsibilities of parents can be over-ruled.

Changing birth documentation on the basis of 'gender identity' is part of the gender transitioning process – changing names, pronouns, clothing and bathroom use is not a neutral intervention it is an act of social engineering, it correlates with the persistence of a transgender identity.

Because social transitioning is dependent on gender expression it is usually gender non-conforming children that are targeted as 'trans', in particular lesbian, gay and Autistic children, they are the largest cohort. In just seven years, though there has been a nearly 4,000% increase in children seeking treatment for sexual identity confusion in the United Kingdom. These are most likely to be girls, victims of sexual or domestic abuse, or victims of online grooming. One study showed that when a teen announces a transgender identity to their peer group, the number of friends who also became transgender-identified was 3.5 per group. In other words, a social contagion or fad. Legal registration as a sex other than a minor's natal sex may concretise what would otherwise for many may be a transient & relatively harmless identification beneath the broad transgender umbrella.

Organisations in Australia like LGB Defence, LGB Alliance Australia, Coalition of Activist Lesbians, LGB Tasmania, Gays against Groomers and others call this 'transing the gay away' as it involves confirming gay children to heterosexual norms. "Gender affirming care" is a euphemism for conversion therapy. The Queensland state should not engage in any stage of a conversion therapy process by supporting social transitioning.

Social transition is not a neutral intervention. Many studies have highlighted the risk that, in the short-term, social transition can increase the likelihood of the [persistence^{xxviii}](#) of a young person's unease with their sex, and that it can [interfere^{xxix}](#) with children's natural identity [development^{xx}](#). There is [no quality long-term peer-reviewed evidence^{xxi}](#) about the impact of social transition. As such, as with all new interventions, it is best practice for governing organisations to apply caution. In particular to not make a law based on the unsupported perception that since gender identity ideology is a 'modern trend' it should be adopted. The impact of supporting social transitioning of minors that studies show leads to medical transitioning, particularly in the current culture of 'gender affirming care'.

This will impact a minors [long term physical and psychological well-being^{xxii}](#) and as such should be viewed with caution, given the issues at stake. There is an argument to be made that medical interventions that could result in future loss of reproductive capacity might be viewed rightly as in contravention of the UN Right of the Child, as surely every child deserves the opportunity to make decisions about having children when they are adults with full matured intellectual capacity.

Politicians, health professionals and journalists take note **the consequences of 'gender-affirming care' are serious:**

- Sterilisation of LGB, Autistic and troubled young people with issues of abuse, self-hate, trauma, internalised misogyny, victims of [trans-indoctrination^{xxiii}](#) or [internalised homophobia^{xxiv}](#).
- Surgical removal of breasts; denying women full sexual pleasure in adulthood, as well as the ability to breastfeed should they become mothers.
- Impaired sexual function from [surgeries, puberty blockers and hormones^{xxv}](#)
- Surgical removal of reproductive and sexual organs, and erogenous zones initiated for children as young as 9 to 13 years old arguably not mature enough to give meaningful informed consent.
- Irreversible body modification such as facial hair, male-pattern baldness, permanently deepened voice and enlarged clitorises in women.
- Negative health effects from chest binding that [may not show for years^{xxvi}](#)
- Years spent suffering depression, and mental health problems because [comorbidities^{xxvii}](#) were not accurately assessed or responded to with appropriate therapies
- Female-to-male genital reconstruction surgery that has a [high negative outcome rate^{xxviii}](#), including urethral compromise and worsened mental health.
- A range of negative health outcomes from transition surgeries is outlined [here^{xxix}](#) and [here^{xxx}](#).

3) THE REMOVAL OF PARENTAL RIGHTS OF CARE FOR A FRINGE IDEOLOGY

Australia first saw a child removed from her parents, in Western Australia in November 2020, for the purpose of breast amputation to affirm her “male” gender identity. This was against the will of her parents. Not allowing transitioning was considered ‘child abuse’. They have yet to see their child again and it’s been 3 years. The Australian branch of the Rapid Onset Gender Dysphoria (ROGD) parent support group reported there are nearly 100 other families in the same situation in September 2021.

The rights of minors involves protection of their physical and psycho-social well-being; this Bill works against that when it contributes towards the removal of parental rights of care.

If the state can over-ride a parent or both parents who are against their child starting on the 'gender affirmation pathway of social, medical and lastly surgical transitioning. This interference goes against Articles 3, 5, 9, 17, 19, 33, 34, 35, 36 in the [UN Convention on the rights of the child](#).^{xxxii}

CONCLUSION

This Bill will have long term, wide-reaching negative consequences for women, girls, LGB, families and our democratic rights. Sex should in no way be conflated or be replaced with ‘gender identity’ in law. A person’s sex is immutable and unchangeable and needs to record as a matter of fact on a person’s birth certificate.

We should affirm trans people’s birth sex as their legal sex, while ensuring their protection from discrimination based on their gender non-conforming appearance or behaviour.

The state should not adhere to the beliefs of gender identity ideology, we do not have a state religion in a secular society, in effect this is what gender identity ideology is, a belief system with no scientific backing. Australian society is a democracy, ALL stakeholders be involved in consultations of this matter that impacts more than half the population. It is an act of extreme misogyny that women and same sex attracted people were denied consultation.

REFERENCES:

- ⁱ <https://statsforgender.org/social-transition/>, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cXDgE92iTs&t=229s>
- ⁱⁱ [explanatory notes](#); <https://documents.parliament.qld.gov.au/tp/2022/5722T2053-D145.pdf>
- ⁱⁱⁱ <https://www.dailywire.com/news/no-biological-evidence-for-gender-identity-exists-group-of-scientists-researchers-says>
- ^{iv} <https://www.dailywire.com/news/new-insurance-data-reveals-shocking-number-of-minors-obtained-transgender-surgeries>
- ^v <https://www.segm.org/false-assumptions-gender-affirmation-minors>
- ^{vi} <https://www.genderdissent.com/post/the-man-who-suddenly-heard-women-and-renounced-the-yogyarta-principles?>
- ^{vii} <https://yogyakartaprinciples.org/principle-31-yp10/>
- ^{viii} <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8YdfIWHcZQ0>
- ^{ix} <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8YdfIWHcZQ0>
- ^x <https://yogyakartaprinciples.org/principles-en/yp10/>
- ^{xi} <https://www.lostwomensrights.com/sss>
- ^{xii} <https://www.lostwomensrights.com/sls>
- ^{xiii} <https://www.wgea.gov.au/publications/australias-gender-equality-scorecard>
- ^{xiv} <https://www.lostwomensrights.com/s-s-s>
- ^{xv} <https://www.lostwomensrights.com/roa>
- ^{xvi} <https://www.lostwomensrights.com/rss>
- ^{xvii} <https://www.lostwomensrights.com/law>
- ^{xviii} <https://www.transgendertrend.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/Steensma-Persistence-2013.pdf>
- ^{xix} <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22455322/>

-
- ^{xx} <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/1359104519838591?journalCode=ccpa>
- ^{xxi} <https://statsforgender.org/social-transition/>
- ^{xxii} <https://lostintransition.org>
- ^{xxiii} <https://www.indefenceofchildren.org/>
- ^{xxiv} <https://www.lostintransition.org/new-homophobia>
- ^{xxv} <https://statsforgender.org/sexual-function/>
- ^{xxvi} <https://statsforgender.org/medical-transition/>
- ^{xxvii} <https://statsforgender.org/comorbidity/>
- ^{xxviii} <https://statsforgender.org/mental-health/>
- ^{xxix} <https://statsforgender.org/medical-transition/>
- ^{xxx} <https://www.spectator.co.uk/article/the-nhs-has-quietly-changed-its-trans-guidance-to-reflect-reality/>
- ^{xxxi} <https://www.unicef.org.au/united-nations-convention-on-the-rights-of-the-child>

APPENDIX

We advise the committee to get familiar with the organisations that are backing gender identity ideology in law for money to be made on the subscription model of maintaining gender ideology when it begins in youth. And the money to be made in erasing women in law.

Here are some primers:

- The business model of youth transitioning: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WH1hV0DkA6U>
 - <https://www.the11thhourblog.com/>
 - Concerns of parents <https://indefenceofchildren.org>
 - The medicalisation of children in Australia <https://lostintransition.org>
-