

To: [lasc@parliament.qld.gov.au](mailto:lasc@parliament.qld.gov.au)

From: [REDACTED]  
Phone: [REDACTED]  
email: [REDACTED]

Due to concerns about doxxing and other malicious intent by pro trans activists, I do not consent to my name or contact details being made public. My specific concerns and content of my letter may be published anonymously. Within this document there are HTML links to relevant video material to support my objections.

To Whom it May Concern,

I am writing to raise my concerns and lodge my objections to the Births, Deaths and Marriages Registration Bill 2022, introduced by Shannon Fentiman MP.

In the spirit of what George Orwell referred to as ‘groupthink’, it would seem that due process, sober enquiry, and good governance are being dispensed with in order to satisfy a form of mass hysteria that is geared towards appeasing the delusional and quasi-religious. The attacks on language, reason and reality itself seem to have spilled over from social media incubators and are now dangerously close to eroding some of the foundations of our society, and I cannot remain a silent spectator to the calamity this invites.

In order to fully participate in any discussion regarding transgender issues, it is important to recognise and research the work of Dr [John Money](#) and Dr Alfred Kinsey. Similarly, to fully appreciate the “non-binary” phenomenon, it is important to be familiar with the first “non-binary” citizen of the United States, [Jamie Shupe](#), and his tale of gender confusion and woe.

The late Christopher Hitchens often said “extraordinary claims require extraordinary evidence” and there are few claims more extraordinary than the proposition that human beings can change sex. Cosmetic attempts may have been made with great sincerity and zeal, but the fact remains in every one of our approximately 8-trillion cells that make up the adult human body, we are inherently male or female and this [cannot be changed](#).

In a letter to IWD BM dated 11 November 2021 and signed by Shannon Fentiman, Attorney General and Minister for Women and for the Prevention of Domestic and Family Violence, Fentiman states:

"I am advised that there is no evidence in Australia or internationally to suggest that trans women pose an increased danger to cisgender women."

This quote suggests gross negligence and failure to investigate, dubious curated ‘evidence’, or wilful blindness.

The [statistics](#) regarding PIV rape and pregnancy in women’s prisons in the USA and UK are damning, and the problem is only growing, due to terrible legislative concessions like the ones being proposed by Ms Fentiman MP.

I might ask, what is the acceptable number of pregnancies by rape that should be possible in a female only prison? Furthermore, in pushing this legislation and the pro-trans agenda, other difficult questions need to be asked:

- What safeguards will there be to prevent autogynephiles exploiting this legislation?
- Is [biology](#) real?

- How will accurate statistics be kept for crime or any other area if male and female become meaningless terms?
- If [self-ID](#) is all that's required to become the opposite sex, then why are "puberty blockers" being given to children, and why are irreversible mutilating surgeries called "gender affirming care"?
- Lupron is a chemical that has been used for chemical castration, and is prescribed to children who want to opt-out of puberty because they are being told absolute lies about biology and identity. What is the right number of people who should be sterilised and medicated for life, and what remedies should there be for those who decide later they've made a mistake?
- How many naked male bodies should female children encounter when using female only spaces?
- If a man can "self identify" as a woman, [what is a woman?](#)
- How is this ideology not bordering on the Orwellian when our very language is being hijacked and basic foundational words like man & woman, male & female have increasingly vague and fuzzy meanings among the pro-trans sycophants?
- There is no evidence for "gender identity", and the notion that it's possible to be "born in the wrong body" directly implies a disembodied, gendered "essence" that deserves legal recognition. Given our secular system of government, how does Ms Fentiman reconcile her position in advancing an ideology that makes claims that appear to be metaphysical, religious or cult-like? Are we going to allow this ideology, and its apparent theocracy, to dictate the laws for all Queenslanders?

This entire subject; the sweeping social hysteria, the uncritical 'be kind' mantras, and this ill-conceived legislation fail to even investigate why single sex spaces exist in the first place. The risks associated with this proposed legislation are potentially massive, to the safety of women, the accuracy of statistics, and our collective capacity to speak the truth.

Furthermore, the ramifications of acquiescing to the demands of the pro-trans agenda are far reaching and I see no evidence that Ms Fentiman has done her due-diligence before pushing this proposal. While maintaining respect for the humanity of those who suffer from gender dysphoria, and other mental illnesses, it's critical that we recognise the collateral damage that comes by replacing sex with "gender identity". The results are misogynist, homophobic and Orwellian. There is a term among self-identified "trans-women" called "[the cotton ceiling](#)", which accuses lesbians of "transphobic bigotry" if they do not want sexual relations with a "trans-woman". The overt homophobia and attempted conversion of gay people in this scenario constitutes an irony so extreme it beggars belief.

In the same way that ex-Scientists or ex-Mormons are more reliable sources of truth regarding the inner workings of their respective belief systems, the truth regarding the transgender issue is often most stark when [de-transitioners](#) describe their experiences. Ms Fentiman has failed to consult womens groups, LGB organisations, or anyone outside the bubble of the pro-trans agenda, and for this gross failure of duty she should be held accountable and removed from office.

Sincere and [nuanced arguments have been made](#) to seek reasonable accommodations for trans-identified individuals, yet the legislation put forward by Ms Fentiman seems to completely bypass good faith discussion and consultation with the actual stakeholders.

Until the heat has subsided around this issue, until proper discussions and debates have been resolved, it is unconscionable that Ms Fentiman's Bill should be entertained by Queensland lawmakers.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]

Brisbane.