

## Births, Deaths and Marriages Registration Bill 2022

**Submission No:** 143  
**Submitted by:** Jim Smith  
**Publication:** Making the submission and your name public

### Submitter Comments:

Regarding Part 5, Subdivision 2, Section 39 to 43. I strongly disagree with the above proposal! Being an employer of 20 staff, 14 of whom are female, I do not want to be put into a position where a said female employee with a penis insists she has the right to enter and use the female only toilets while other females are using the same facility. Furthermore, as a father of 2 girls I do not want either of them feeling they are unsafe going into any female only area because our Government is pandering to a very small minority of the public. I believe that for each applicant who takes advantage of these changes there will be many other people adversely affected not related to the applicant at the time of the application. For example, a child 17 years changes their sex to female even though they have a penis, the child joins a female dance group, she is now declared to be a female so they cannot stop her, during costume changes she insists on using the female change rooms which because she has been declared a female she has every right to do this. How will the other 10 to 20 dances feel about having a female with a penis in the change rooms with them? Now reverse the situation but instead of dancing let's say they are playing football, do you think that if the other boys on the team discover this player has a vagina and not a penis that they will respect the rights of their team mates because they have a paper stating they are male? I don't think so. My personal view is that if you have a penis or a prostate then you are a male, if do not have either of these you are a female.