Legal Affairs and Safety Committee

Inquiry into Support for Victims of Crime

Responses to submissions

The Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions (ODPP) provide the following responses to key issues or matters raised in public submissions about the Inquiry into Support for Victims of Crime.

The ODPP considered the following submissions:

- 1. The Public Advocate
- 2. Name Withheld
- 3. Gwennyth English
- 4. Name Withheld
- 5. Darren O'Brien
- 6. *confidential
- 7. David Harris
- 8. Loretta George
- 9. Tanya Smith
- 10. Queensland Health Victim Suppot Service
- 11. *confidential
- 12. Susan and John Sanderman
- 13. *confidential
- 14. Kevin Richards
- 15. Glenn Robert Watson
- 16. Patricia Pendrey
- 17. *confidential
- 18. *confidential
- 19. *confidential
- 20. Queensland Sexual Assault Network (QSAN)

- 21. Name Withheld
- 22. Dr Robyn Holder
- 23. Name Withheld
- 24. Celina Batchelor
- 25. Tara Evans
- 26. Paige Mackie
- 27. *confidential
- 28. Knowmore Legal Service
- 29. Name Withheld
- 30. Voice of Victims Toowoomba Advocacy
- 31. *confidential
- 32. Women's Legal Service Queensland (WLS Qld)
- 33. Office of the Information Commissioner
- 34. LawRight
- 35. Gold Coast Centre Against Sexual Violence
- 36. Name Withheld
- 37. DVConnect

- 38. Queensland Human Rights
 Commission
- 39. Brisbane Rape and Incest Survivors
 Support Centre
- 40. Queensland Indigenous Family Violence Legal Service
- 41. Queensland Law Society
- 42. Bridge of Hope Innocence Initiative
- 43. Russell Field
- 44. Lili Greer
- 45. Sabrina Collins
- 46. Leanne Pullen
- 47. Queer & Trans Worker Against Violence
- 48. Cassandra Cross
- 49. Shane Bouel
- 50. Crime and Justice Action Group
- 51. Full Stop Australia
- 52. Tim Class-Auliff
- 53. Queensland Homicide Victims Support Group
- 54. Shane Cuthbert

^{*} Submission 6, 11, 13, 17, 18, 19 27 and 31 were confidential. 54 submissions were received in total.

Inquiry into Support for Victims of Crime – Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions response to submissions

Due to the short timeframe within which to consider the submissions and respond, a fulsome response to all submissions was not possible.

Domestic and Sexual Violence Advocacy Stakeholders		
Submitter	Issues raised	ODPP Response
		The ODPP is an independent prosecuting agency established by statute. We operate within the established legal framework for the criminal justice system in Queensland. The ODPP primarily works in the higher courts in Queensland: the District and Supreme Courts; the Mental Health Court; and the Court of Appeal. The ODPP also handles some matters at the Magistrates Court level in Brisbane, Ipswich, and Southport. All other criminal matters in Queensland before Magistrates Courts – including indictable charges prior to their committal to a higher court – and handled by the Police Prosecution Corps. We recognise that the complexity in the criminal justice system in Queensland means that victims may have contact with different prosecuting agencies at different stages of a matter. In relation to the timing of contact prior to trials in particular, it may be of
		assistance to highlight the manner in which the Courts in Queensland list trials. The Courts operate a running list for trials, in which there is usually a list of trials that may start in any given sittings. A sittings may run for one (1) week, a fortnight, or longer. There are usually trials number one (1) to three (3), and there may be up to seven (7) or more trials listed before a court sittings in regional areas. The expectation is that the trial number 1 will be able to start on the first day of a week. However, we are not able to predict if or when the trials numbered later in the list will start. It is possible that trials in the list may not be reached, in which case they will be relisted again for a later sittings. Some trials may start later in that week or fortnight, and the ODPP may only have confirmation of that 24 – 48 hours prior to the starting date. One of the

Submitter	Issues raised	ODPP Response
		consequences of this system is that the Prosecutor may only be able to conference a complainant a short time before a trial starts.
		Staff from the ODPP offices are also required to travel to circuit courts throughout the State. If a Prosecutor is travelling to a circuit court location the weekend prior to Court starting on a Monday, they may well have phone or video contact with a victim the week prior and then meet them in person after they have arrived at the circuit location.
		We note that all victims are provided with the direct phone number and email address for their assigned Victim Liaison Officer. Many victims are in touch with their Victim Liaison Officer, the assigned Legal Officer and/or Prosecutor, and/or their support person (if any) prior to a trial listing.
		We note Recommendation 69 of Women's Safety and Justice Taskforce (WSJT) Report 2, which recommends the consideration of the establishment of a special list for sexual violence cases in the District Court of Queensland. Recommendations 70 to 72 expand further on recommendations for case management.
		Standardisation in service delivery will be a key focus area of an independent review of the ODPP Victim Liaison Service (VLS), to be completed by the Women's Safety and Justice Taskforce (WSJT) Project team (recommendation 49). This standardisation may include the identification of minimum requirements for engagement with victim-survivors, recommended timeframes for delivery of information and preparation for a court event, delivered within a trauma informed framework. Learning and development in relation to trauma informed practice for ODPP will be central to the VLS review and will inform all other practice improvements to be delivered within the scope of the WSJT.

Victim Support Stakeholders				
Submitter	Issues raised	ODPP Response		
QSAN (20)	There is a lack of consistency across the State about how much or how little the ODPP communicate with victims, with some members reporting victims often do not have an interview with ODPP until an hour before or the day before a court event. Services across the sector should engage in traumainformed training from an external and accredited provider. A QSAN service recently said ODPP lawyers have not been trained in a trauma informed approach.			

Issue	Details in submissions:	ODPP Response
ODPP	(1) Better communication is needed for victims	(1) We acknowledge the submissions made by the Queensland Health Victim
	where defendants are referred to the	Support Service (QHVSS) in relation to the Mental Health Act 2016 and its
	Mental Health Court. Victims would benefit	current provisions regarding the conduct of the Mental Health Court and
	from the court process providing a more	patient (defendant) confidentiality.
	detailed explanation during the hearing	
	about its decision. (10)	The ODPP is part of a working group, with the Office of the Chief
		Psychiatrist and QHVSS, looking at ways in which the experience of victims can be bettered within the current legislative framework. Further changes
	(2) Victims experienced poor, limited	to legislation or regulation are a matter of policy for the Queensland
	communication from ODPP. (4, 8, 12, 14,	government.
	23, 25, 36)	
		Each Mental Health Court reference for a victim liaison matter is allocated to a
		Mental Health Court Victim Liaison Officer. ODPP Legal Officers and

Issue	Details in submissions:	ODPP Response
	Engagement from DPP decreased over time	Prosecutors are available to provide a more informative analysis of the process
	particularly after case commenced in court;	of referral to the Mental Health Court to victim-survivors within the limits of
	frequent changes to the Prosecutor appears to have	the current legislation.
	contributed to delays in progressing the matter. (23)	(2) Pefer chave managed to OCAN
	Submission noted mixed experiences with DDD	(2) Refer above – response to QSAN.
	Submission noted mixed experiences with DPP prosecutors praised support from victim liaison	Victim Liaison Officers at the ODPP provide an information and liaison service.
	officer. Submission also noted final prosecutor was	Updates are provided after all significant or milestone court events and
	extremely professional, empathetic and treated us	approximately every three (3) to four (4) months, or if the next court date is
	so respectfully and included our whole family in all	more than three (3) to four (4) months away. It is possible, and frequently does
	decision making. Victims should be informed throughout the legal process. (46)	occur, for victims to request updates after every court event.
		We note that there can often be a lengthy period of time (e.g., three (3) to nine
	(3) There should be increased accountability for	(9) months) between a matter receiving a trial listing and the date of the trial
	prosecution decisions through a Victims' right	listing.
	of review as in the UK and scope for judicial	The stable of th
	review of DPP decisions. (22)	In addition, we note that the victim-survivor and their family members are often witnesses in the criminal proceedings. The ODPP is an independent
		prosecuting agency and its staff have regard to their duties to the Courts, the
		need to maintain confidentiality, and the need not to take any action which
		may prejudice the criminal proceedings at all times.
		Where possible the ODPP maintains consistency of prosecution staff allocated
		to progress matters. The ODPP will explore options for continuity of
		engagement with Victim Liaison Officers.
		The forthcoming independent review of the VLS, the investment in trauma
		informed practice development and learning programs is anticipated to
		increase positive engagement and support between victims and the ODPP.
		(3) A right of review process will be established by the ODPP as recommended by the WSJT, <u>recommendation 50.</u>

Inquiry into Support for Victims of Crime – Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions response to submissions