

Committee Secretary  
Legal Affairs and Community Safety Committee  
Parliament House  
George Street  
Brisbane Qld 4000  
[lacsc@parliament.qld.gov.au](mailto:lacsc@parliament.qld.gov.au)

**Inquiry Submission : Summary Offences and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2019**

Inquiry page link : <https://www.parliament.qld.gov.au/work-of-committees/committees/LACSC/inquiries/current-inquiries/SummOff2019>

The Bill link:

<https://www.parliament.qld.gov.au/documents/tableOffice/TabledPapers/2019/5619T1563.pdf>

You are gonna do what you are gonna do . Always do, you're bent.

Your legislation is a knee jerk reaction to far right bleating and baying for lefty blood from the "Volkischer Boerbachters" of the Murdoch Media .

You already have every law needed to deal with any circumstance you lot say you need these amendments for. Protesters know it, yet this is why they call it civil disobedience.

The current provisions linked below.

All of this is to allow the cops to set up road blocks anywhere a protest may be happening and treat activists as enemies of the state - under s the Current Police Powers and Responsibilities Act QLD (PPRA) 26(1)(e) for allegedly of having something or doing something dangerous . There are grounds for setting it up to deal with KNOWN PEOPLE AND CIRCUMSTANCES. <https://www.legislation.qld.gov.au/view/html/inforce/current/act-2000-005#ch.2-pt.2-div.1>

I have personally had this done under old laws by a QLD Cop ata place of protest in the late 90's.

The Current PPRA sections 31 and 32 set out grounds where "*A police officer who reasonably suspects any of the prescribed circumstances for searching a vehicle without a warrant exist may, without warrant, do any of the following*"

<https://www.legislation.qld.gov.au/view/html/inforce/current/act-2000-005#ch.2-pt.2-div.3>

How does a cop determine whether they have a reasonable suspicion that there are "devices" in the vehicle of unknown persons who have never committed offences before ?

If you were allowing cops to pull people over suspected of being fascists and Nazis based on their appearance or stickers on vehicles I would have no problem. You could inquire into their friends and acquaintances and find potential nazi terrorists using the principles of six degrees of separation. Buts thats another story dealing with proportionality aint it??

For lefties-

They , cops , have to make up a reason based on stickers on a vehicle or the physical appearance of the persons in the vehicle, or indeed whether any of the persons are known to lawfully exercise their rights. Upon the well known reasonable belief and suspicion cases (for me to know and you to find out) there would be no justification for a roadblock to search for protesters and none to stop protesters or known activists per se simply because of who they are . In short , its not illegal to protest , therefore stopping and detaining people who have committed no offence is not reasonable . And if they are not free to leave that is an arrest under s365-366. <https://www.legislation.qld.gov.au/view/html/inforce/current/act-2000-005#ch.14-pt.1>

But they have committed no offence. Its also lawful to resist coppers demanding your name and address on the street if you have committed no offence under s40 and 41 of The PPRA . <https://www.legislation.qld.gov.au/view/html/inforce/current/act-2000-005#ch.2-pt.4-div.1>

In any normal circumstance you have to have a normal reason for pulling someone over and demanding their licence under ch3 of The PPRA .

But where its found they had no reasonable suspicion , or the reason they gave was false or not a circumstance where the court will allow it to be described as a reasonable suspicion on reasonable grounds, all evidence can be excluded and a person can be found not guilty and sue.

So , the situation is set up by which the cops get to make up discriminatory reasons unlawfully stop and search and detain people who have committed no offence . They can unlawfully disrupt a protest or series of protests to “win the day” so the dictators don’t have to take off in helicopters with our money to an island owned or country owned by their illegal foreign donors – till later on .

People can be found not guilty and sue but this process takes years and their lives are disrupted for opposing corruption.

Its gonna cost the state a lot of money in damages.

The people protesting in the streets about inaction on climate change, and those fighting environmental battle across the state and country are those fighting **YOUR CORRUPTION.**

I bet none of you are willing to get rid of the time limits for the prosecution of corruption, donations corruption and all other electoral offences eh? By the time we discover it and the CCC investigates it then time is up . That means you crooks get to keep your loot and make laws to prevent people protesting against you .

This has gotta change . I vote firing squads for corruption !

Because ALL of my submissions to the Belcarra laws and donations inquiries have been redacted when they have referred to publicly available donations information put out by the government on its own websites , I have come up with a way of getting around it and direction readers of this submission to it .

(1) Go to the Qld Electoral Act

<https://www.legislation.qld.gov.au/view/html/inforce/current/act-1992-028> and scroll the contents to ;

- (a) s205 as it relates to subsidiaries being the actual corporation ;
- (b) The bit about foreign donations being illegal
- (c) The bit about scheme to circumvent prohibited donations provisions
- (d) The bit about members of the electoral commission wilfully failing to perform their duties
- (e) The penalties for soliciting – giving or receiving prohibited donations

(2) Go the Qld Criminal Code

<https://www.legislation.qld.gov.au/view/html/inforce/current/act-1899-009> and scroll the contents to ;

- (a) The bit about Misconduct in office ;
- (b) The bit about public officers failing to perform duty under s200
- (c) The bit about disobedience to statute law that allows police to charge where public officers under another act refuse to perform a duty
- (d) Back to the bit in s200 about police refusing their duty to charge people refusing to do their duty under and another law

(3) Go to <http://democracyforsale.net/search-aec/> and

<https://disclosures.ecq.qld.gov.au/Map> and type in “ADANI” ;

(4) Go to [www.integrity.qld.gov.au](http://www.integrity.qld.gov.au) and click on Clients. Click on lobbyist companies. Find the companies that have or do represent Adani and type them into the donations disclosure websites above. Then, go back to the bit about schemes to circumvent the prohibitions above.

Its obvious to everyone you ALL are corrupt. That is why people are fighting you. You are acting like the dictators who wait for the last minute to flee in a helicopter with all our money

you have siphoned off through grants , tenders. Cushy overpaid board jobs for mates who can fund you later and through profits to donors and lobbyists who might hire you in house.

So we have most of the parliament being complicit. We have the ECQ not doing its job. We have the cops taking your side and arguably refusing to perform their duty . YET YOU ALL SIT HIGH AND MIGHTY PROFESSING TO HAVE THE MORAL AUTHORITY TO CRACK DOWN ON PEOPLE OPPOSING YOUR CORRUPTION.

TO MY MIND, WHAT WAS SAID IN THE PREAMBLE TO THE UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS, AND WHAT JUSTICE DEANE AND TOOHEY SAID IN NATIONWIDE NEWS APPLIES TO THE CIRCUMSTANCES HERE:

*“Whereas recognition of the inherent dignity and of the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family is the foundation of freedom, justice and peace in the world,*

*Whereas disregard and contempt for human rights have resulted in barbarous acts which have outraged the conscience of mankind, and the advent of a world in which human beings shall enjoy freedom of speech and belief and freedom from fear and want has been proclaimed as the highest aspiration of the common people,*

*Whereas it is essential, if man is not to be compelled to have recourse, as a last resort, to rebellion against tyranny and oppression, that human rights should be protected by the rule of law” ( extract from the Preamble of The Universal Declaration of Human Rights)*

*“Suppression of such criticism of government and government officials removes an important safeguard of the legitimate claims of individuals to live peacefully and with dignity in an ordered and democratic society. Indeed, if that suppression be institutionalized, it constitutes a threat to the very existence of such a society in that it reduces the possibility of peaceful change and removes an essential restraint upon excess or misuse of governmental power”*  
*Nationwide News(1992) 177 CLR 1 at par [25] Deane and Toohey JJ*

Pat Coleman

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]