

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Legal Affairs and Community Safety Committee](#)
Subject: Human Rights Bill 2018 Submission
Date: Friday, 23 November 2018 1:52:28 PM

Dear Committee,

While commendable, this bill provides little or no rights. It is too much involved with legalities while allowing all rights to be simply taken away in other legislation. In total it is not appropriate. The following are specific comments. (by clause number).

15 (1) This clause makes no sense "person" is not defined, and the clause suggests that some human beings are not always considered to be persons. This clause would still mean that they have no rights.

15(2) This clause is a similar non-sence. The act needs to define who is refers to.

16 What does the word arbitrarily mean. Is assisted suicide OK? Is voluntary euthanasia OK? I have watched doctors apply involuntary euthanasia in the guise of "palliative care". That was extremely traumatic. If the person involved had been asked he would have wanted the opportunity to put things in order in his life, then ask to go to sleep permanently. The law MUST allow this.

17 Many people enjoy BDSM sex. The heart of the enjoyment is the pretense of having freedom taken away. The law must allow for this type of sexual expression.

18 (1) Well meaning - but most of us spend most of our lives in servitude! Does this clause make banks and money illegal?

19 This needs better definition. Generally this only applies to public spaces.

20 We also need the freedom to not be subjected to unwanted and unasked for views.

21 Presumably this right is limited by many other laws.

22 This should not be taken away with "bikie" and similar laws.

23 There is no mention of serving on juries. Juries should have the right to know the impacts of their decisions (i.e. likely sentence).

24 (2) Should say "Not deprived of their property."

25 This is not a right at all. The word "unlawfully" simply undoes the right entirely.

26 (3) is this a right that can be claimed at any time during a person's life if not registered at the time?

27 The words "in community with other persons of that background" seems inappropriate.

29 (3) this seems to be 17 again. Should only be covered once.

31 (3) This should be at no cost.

34 This is all wrong. Innocent people convicted of a crime need to be able to prove their innocence if compelling new evidence is found. Similarly guilty people found not guilty need to be able to be prosecuted if compelling new evidence is found.

35 Also a person must not be found guilty of an offence that was a crime at the time and is no longer a crime.

36 (2) This implies that all tertiary institutions must be free. (I am not disagreeing, but this is the implication).

There is no right to privacy in places where it is reasonable to expect privacy.

There are no rights relating to clothing.

No right not to be confronted with offending material or speech.

No definitions of person, child or individual.