From:	Carl
To:	Legal Affairs and Community Safety Committee; Nanango Electorate Office; Minister for Police and
	Community Safety; Premier
Subject:	hoons vote
Date:	Saturday, 5 January 2013 10:53:07 AM

Dear commissioner .

I would like very much to have my say about the hoon law.

I have been a victim of this kind of discrimination all my life. Enough is Enough.

The original bill is unconstitutional because it discriminates on cultural grounds first and foremost. Secondly it is illegal as punishment is administered by a policeman.

The government believes that impoundment is not punishment . If you have to walk home or pay for

a taxi you have been punished.

Thirdly it lacks equality. If 1st offence for red light running you do not have your car impounded.!1st offence drink driving you do not have your car impounded.Both of the examples are in the highest categories for fatal accidents.

Burnouts(hoon)are in a 2% category with crossing accidents, collisions with live stock rock fall. There is no balance the punishment for all hoon charges do not fit the alleged crime.

This is the act of a desperate governments riding rough shod over the constitutional privileges of the Westminster system.

If I am denied a full question and answer session with the committee it will be a travesty.

As I live in kingaroy it is not as the bureaucrats the may only have to walk down the hall and are being paid.

Once again the system disables the people you really need to talk to ,THE PUBLIC.

Tony Mc Grady relied on our inability of us (we are not bureaucrats)the access the last committee that set up the flawed law.

His was advise that impoundment was not punishment was incorrect.

People have lost jobs because their cars have been impounded That's a punishment If my car was impounded I would considered myself punished because I own the car not the government.

Hopefully yours Carl Hillman.