


#157

**COMPLETE****Collector:** Embedded Survey 1 (Website Survey)**Started:** Friday, February 12, 2016 12:56:48 PM**Last Modified:** Friday, February 12, 2016 2:13:49 PM**Time Spent:** 01:17:01**IP Address:** [REDACTED]

PAGE 1

Q1: Name

Peter Fitz-Gibbon

Q2: Email address

[REDACTED]

Q3: Mailing address

[REDACTED]

Q4: Telephone number

[REDACTED]

PAGE 2

Q5: Do you think that human rights are properly protected in Queensland? Why/why not?

Yes as the parliaments elected member consider (or should consider) each piece of legislation as it impacts on citizens each time the Bill is presented.

Q6: Do you support the introduction of a Human Rights Act in Queensland? Why/why not?

No. for two reasons:

1. it further erodes the separation of powers doctrine by giving the Judiciary the ultimate power to decide if they think the Act is contrary to the existing Bill of Rights or not
a Bill of Rights or Human Rights Act is written in generalities and it has been my observation that situations never envisaged when the Act was drafted emerge and those opposed to the legislation attempt to fraud the government of the day legislative mandate or intention making governing effectively that much more difficult.

Q7: Can you think of a situation from your life or someone else's life that shows why Queensland needs a Human Rights Act?

Now we have anti discrimination Acts, etc no in cannot. Often people who advocate 'freedom of speech and freedom of assembly actually mean want an unbridled licence to ignore others rights while perusing there alleged 'rights' For example some people want the right to say anything about someone else whereas the laws of defamation and discrimination peopely regulate that freedom. The alleged right of assembly or association must always be limited by the rights of other citizens to go about their own affairs unhindered by an unruly demonstrations.

PAGE 3

Q8: Which rights should be protected?

Respondent skipped this question