

19 October 2012

Body Corporate & Community  
Management & Other  
Legislation Amendment Bill 2012  
Submission 234

The Research Director  
Legal Affairs and Community Safety Committee  
Parliament House  
George Street  
BRISBANE QLD 4000

Dear Sir/Madam

RE: Body Corporate and Community Management and  
Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2012

As a long term unit owner in one of the 41,000\* buildings in Qld I would like to oppose the proposed Bill.

### **Current Situation**

**41,000\*** Community Title Schemes in Qld – (Buildings)  
**130** CTS - Buildings have been affected by the original adjustment order  
**Unknown\*\*** CTS - Buildings have had a reversion to the original adjustment order to bring their fee schedule back in line with the other **40,870** buildings (**41,000 – 130**)

### **My Proposed Solution**

**40,870\*** CTS - Buildings remain as is for now  
**130\*\*** CTS – Buildings affected by the original adjustment disorder be permitted to re-apply for a reversion to bring in line with other 40,870 CTS - Buildings  
**130\*\*** CTS – Buildings who have applied and received a reversion to the adjustment order are permitted to have that remain in place for now  
**Priority** For government to work on a fair and reasonable solution, within an acceptable timeframe, to bring all unit owners within the 41,000\* CTS - Buildings under the same fee scheme.

As the current situation exists now, due to the reversal of the adjustment order we currently have 40,870 + an unknown amount (possibly 130\*) of CTS - Buildings working under the same scheme. The proposed Bill would reduce that number back to 40,870. It is my opinion that this is a backwards step in aligning the 41,000\* CTS - Buildings under the same fee scheme and an unnecessary cost to government.

As a priority, I believe that all 41,000\* buildings need to be aligned under the same fee scheme; not only for the protection of the wonderful communities that exist within some of these 41,000\* CTS - Buildings but for the growth of Qld Business and potential overseas/interstate/local investment. I consider that the current system and proposed Bill affects many people in many different ways and is not a fair and reasonable workable solution for anyone involved.

Yours sincerely

██████████

\*This figure an approximate. \*\*This figure was unknown at time of submission