

From: [John Webber](#)  
To: [Legal Affairs and Community Safety Committee](#)  
Subject: management bill submission  
Date: Wednesday, 17 October 2012 8:34:42 AM

---

Dear Committee

The changes proposed in 2011 were accepted as a reasonable outcome for the majority of owners within a Body Corporate .

The main points which should not be passed over are

1. No one buys a unit without knowing the current contributions required.
  - 2.No one has to buy the large units It is their choice.
  - 3.This contribution was set by the developer and should never have been allowed to change.
- Freehold title is certain and so should the levy entitlement numbers.

The purchasers know when they buy these large units how much space is within the unit they have and how many people they can potentially have stay there in their unit whether they be family, guests or visitors . These people will use the services provided by the Body Corporate especially lifts which the body Corporate maintains.

A lower unit is usually smaller and extra people can not usually reside there therefore services by the body corporate are restricted to just one or two people.

In addition to services these large units have large surface areas to paint or maintain and many have extra large balconies.

Who pays ? Surely it has to be the owner of the unit.

It was their choice to buy it .

Changing entitlements is a costly exercise and certainty within purchase is desirable.

I have lost count of the dollars wasted by body corporate owners fighting dissatisfied high end owners who have found out their choice of unit was poor especially now in these tougher times.

They need to grim and bear their commitments or sell That is their free choice not seek out political interference

Yours faithfully

John Webber

An owner of several high rise units who is also struggling to pay his body corporate fees.

