

## Health and Environment Committee

---

**From:** Hugh Dickson [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 13 January 2021 2:52 PM  
**To:** Health and Environment Committee  
**Subject:** Submission re: Public Health and Other Legislation (Extension of Expiring Provisions) Amendment Bill 2020

**Categories:** Submission

Submission by:

Hugh Dickson

email: [REDACTED]

mobile: [REDACTED]

To whom it may concern,

My first point is that the original declaration of a public health emergency was unwarranted. To declare a public health emergency due to the outbreak of COVID-19 in China at the start of 2020, the Australian government had to prove that human coronavirus with pandemic potential is an infectious disease that has:

- (a) entered Australian territory
- (b) that is fatal in some cases
- (c) that there was no vaccine against, or antiviral treatment for at the time of the declaration
- (d) that is posing a severe and immediate threat to human health on a nationally significantly scale.

Conditions (a) and (b) have been met but conditions (c) and (d) have not. Condition (c) implies that if there is any available antiviral treatment for the disease then there is no public emergency. In Australia we have access to and have been using many different types of antivirals, such as hydroxychloroquine to treat infectious diseases for many years. Condition (d) implies that the disease must be a severe threat to human health for an emergency to be declared. The statistics on hospitalisations and deaths due to coronavirus place it on par with a bad flu season. We would never have thought about declaring a public health emergency for a seasonal flu.

There was no justification in declaring a public health emergency in the first place, and there is no justification in extending the public health emergency to September 2021.

We are not having an emergency in Queensland due to coronavirus if there has only been a total of 6 deaths and 1,177 cases in QLD since the start of last year, but Queensland Health are trying to justify extending the declaration of a public health emergency based solely on the large worldwide case numbers and the possibility that we might experience a similar situation here.

Queensland Health states that as of 9 November 2020 the World Health Organization reported a total of 50,266,033 confirmed positive COVID-19 cases reported globally. However, the fact is that a positive PCR test result is not the same as a confirmed positive COVID-19 case. Queensland Health are fraudulently reporting all positive PCR test results as a confirmed positive COVID-19 case.

The Center for Disease Control (CDC) in the US defines "clinical disease" as "a disease that has been manifested by its symptoms and features." The CDC definition for "case" is "an instance of a particular disease, injury, or other health conditions that meets selected criteria." In other words, a coronavirus "case" should only refer to instances of positive COVID-19 test result with accompanying symptoms and features. How many of those daily reported case numbers had no signs of symptoms? Some estimates put this figure as high as 80%.

The amendments under the Public Health Act which authorise the Chief Health Officer and emergency officers to restrict the movement of any person or group of persons to limit, or respond, to the spread of COVID-19 in Queensland are not concurrent with the Biosecurity Act (2015). Section 60 (2) of the Biosecurity Act clearly states that a human biosecurity control order may be imposed on an individual only if the officer is satisfied that:

- (a) the individual has one or more signs or symptoms of a listed human disease; or
- (b) the individual has been exposed to:
  - (i) a listed human disease; or
  - (ii) another individual who has one or more signs or symptoms of a listed human disease; or
- (c) the individual has failed to comply with an entry requirement in subsection 44(6) in relation to a listed human disease

This does not allow the provision for imposing biosecurity control orders on people who are healthy and show no signs of any disease whatsoever. In other words, lockdowns, curfews, and mask mandates which are applied indiscriminately to groups of persons are not lawful actions.

Q. Why do the extensive QLD Health campaigns, which are designed to educate members of the public about the risks of COVID-19, never include basic health advice, such as boosting the immune system and getting enough vitamin D?

Q. What is the rationale behind pushing an experimental vaccine on the public - a vaccine with new technology and no long-term safety data that is being made by notoriously corrupt pharmaceutical companies?

Q. What is the rationale behind treating healthy people as spreaders of a virus when the CDC has emphatically stated that asymptomatic people rarely transmit a virus and are not the "drivers" of a pandemic?

Here is a link to a video showing Dr Anthony Fauci stating this point:

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JfWNg28UW2g&feature=youtu.be&ab\\_channel=CliveRichardson](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JfWNg28UW2g&feature=youtu.be&ab_channel=CliveRichardson)

Thank you for reading and considering my submission.

Hugh Dickson  
13/01/21