

From: [Adriano Regano](#)
To: [Health and Community Services Committee](#)
Subject: Submission on Public Health Amendment Bill 2013
Date: Thursday, 11 July 2013 10:38:28 PM

This proposed bill is discriminatory - but not in an ordinary way, since it seeks to discriminate against that part of the community which has invested enormous energy and time (a lifetime for some) researching the issue, and having done so, has decided that the practice of vaccination is highly dangerous. To my knowledge, this blatant disregard for a community view grounded in solid investigation is unique and surprising considering the fact that conscientious objection is gaining momentum both locally and globally - increasingly among members of the medical profession. Many of us began this journey after suffering through childhoods marred by our own vaccination complications. For others it was the anguish of seeing previously healthy children becoming sick (or worse) overnight and having to contend with a medical profession that simply refuses to acknowledge the problem.

The statistics on vaccination-related complications continue to mount (and this with only a fraction reported), but these don't tell the tale in any case. Even a casual glance around reveals what non-vaccinators have always known - that vaccinated children get sick constantly and regularly contract the diseases they are immunised against as a result of a compromised immune system, while children who are not vaccinated are relatively robust and healthy and virtually NEVER get whooping cough, mumps or any of the other cyclical diseases that go around. I have personal experience of this through my work.

Vaccines contain dangerous substances too numerous to mention here, although the information is freely available. It is hardly surprising that they create serious side effects.

NOBODY should be disadvantaged for choosing to avoid them.

Adriano Regano