

From: [REDACTED]
To: [abortionlawreform](#)
Subject: No!
Date: Saturday, 25 June 2016 8:22:51 PM

Not happy with this legislation at all. Once upon a time the reasoning for abortion was that it was not a 'being' before a certain age. But at 9 months and even sometimes well earlier than that, a fetus is fully developed and functioning. There is no difference between this baby (in health and formation) to a child that has just been born.

The freedom to terminate for any reason is also ludicrous. What about all the little baby girls that could be terminated just because their parents wanted a boy? (And there will be such cases with the strong differences between men and women in some ethnic backgrounds) That would contradict the very purpose of feminist ideas (of which this legislation suggests it is driven by). In some cases it will be the men pushing for abortion (which is the total opposite 'my body, my right').

I would like to know how a doctor will be abiding by his hypocratic oath when they are being asked to terminate a healthy and full functioning baby - why does this child not have the right to live? Just because it doesn't have a voice? Legislation is supposed to represent those who have no voice - where would people with disabilities be if there weren't people representing and lobbying for them? This legislation couldn't be more harmful

Sent from my iPhone