

From:

[REDACTED]

Subject:

I do not accept "reform" is needed of the existing abortion Law

Date:

Monday, 3 September 2018 3:53:50 PM

Re: I do not accept "reform" is needed of the existing abortion Law

Dear Communities Health,

I ask you to reject the abortion law reform Bill in the Queensland parliament. 21st Century Abortion is an issue 'outside time' – there is no difference between 1st Century or 21st Century abortion. In whatever century, abortion is terminating the life of a human in utero – the place that nature designed to be the safest place possible – no eggs in nests vulnerable to outside intrusion, but stored well and truly within a closed environment. Any invasion is a forced invasion; there is no 'easy access' aperture and even if forced there is a sealed sac. It is a human life in utero as nothing is added to or taken away during pregnancy that changes that life from something else to suddenly be a human at the point of birth. The being in utero is living because it is growing and developing. A dead thing, or a blob, or whatever term is used to hide the fact, is not a living entity, as the foetus is. Then, not only is it living and a human, it is a being completely 'its own entity' in genetics from the mother. It need not have the mother's hair or skin colouring; it need not have the mother's blood group; it need not have the same sex. It is its own self, just - simply - completely within the mother. **HEALTH** What must it do to the psyche of any person to violate that unit – including a doctor? As far as wanting to put the law into the area of Health, there is already the interpretation of the law that if the mother's life is in danger, an abortion is permitted. Otherwise, a doctor has sworn an oath to first, do no harm. In an abortion beyond what the law has already interpreted, a doctor cannot either perform an abortion or refer on, because referring on is also an act leading to a death, therefore 'doing harm', thereby impinging on the psyche. Anyone emotionally cold to his/her psyche should not have taken on that caring profession. Referring to Health again, after the initial 'relief' of termination of that life which was not wanted at the time for whatever reason, or even so unwanted that the mother might consider suicide, there can be no dream of a reunion. In fact, it is after an abortion that, at some future time, there is psychological disorder, debilitating flashbacks and or other manifestations of regret. Books have been written detailing case histories. Termination means the death of a human life; that is criminal. There is still angst about the "stolen generation". What was done, said to be for the good, has never left the psyche of the mother. Yet those taken were allowed to live, were able to find and re-join their mothers. Abortion is final. There is no reuniting. **HEALTH Vs CRIMINAL** No alteration to the current law is needed. Terminating a life at will is not a health issue; it is a criminal offence. **CONCLUSION** There are no grounds on which to change the existing law on abortion. **RECOMMENDATION and CONCLUDING STATEMENT** What IS needed is care for those women. There are organisations and even individuals who have this outreach, but they are not given exposure, recognition or funding. All is orientated to death. What kind of legacy does that leave for the history books? - Merle ROSS

Sincerely,
Merle Ross