

From: [REDACTED]
Subject: Termination of Pregnancy Bill
Date: Monday, 3 September 2018 9:56:02 PM

To: The Committee Secretary

The following comments/questions are submitted for the Committee's deliberation/response -

- * Based on professional polling information available to the public, it is clear most Australians do not support abortion post 22 weeks gestation or sex selection abortion at any time. Why does the proposed Bill include both these aspects?
- * Doctors who conscientiously objects to abortion are to be legally obligated under the Bill to refer their patients to a Doctor who does not conscientiously object to abortion. Does not legal compulsion violate both the consciences and human rights of objection Doctors?
- * Will foetuses be anesthetized prior to abortion? If not, why not?
- * How is the life of the foetus post 22 weeks terminated (killed) - in utero, euthanised or left to die post procedure?
- * If mental health issues are utilised to justify an abortion, how are these issues specifically dealt with post abortion?
- * It is noted that the Queensland Law Reform Commission (QLRC) adopts the principle that termination of pregnancy is to be considered a health issue nor a criminal one. On what basis did the Commission adopt this principle other than that of social engineering?
- * Are viable foetuses aborted post 20 weeks to be registered as births as would appear to be currently required? (Refer the Royal Womens Hospital site, Victoria.)
- * How can exclusion zones around abortion clinics be justified in a democracy where free speech and everyones human rights are to be upheld. Is this not a form of reverse discrimination when objectors are retrained from expressing their opinions or providing support?

Please note :_ The above dot points have been passed on to our local member of Parliament - Michael Crandon, Member for Coomera.

The above is submitted by -

Linda Harden
Ian Rankin
Kay Rankin