

Submission No. 5 - Form A or variation of Form A

ENERGY ROADMAP AMENDMENT BILL 2025

Lucy Easterman	Making the submission and your name public
Name Withheld	Making the submission public but withholding your name
Name Withheld	Making the submission public but withholding your name
Number of Submitters: 3	

ENERGY ROADMAP AMENDMENT BILL 2025

Submission No: 5
Submitted by: Name Withheld
Publication: Making the submission public but withholding your name
Attachments: No attachment

Submitter Comments:

I am a local resident and I do not support this type of high risk industrial operation being approved so close to homes and families. There is also a childcare centre just down the road from the proposed site, which makes the decision to place a chemical facility here even more concerning. The project has been described publicly as a battery factory or electrolyte facility, but in reality it is a chemical processing plant designed to produce vanadium electrolyte a compound created through chemical and mineral refining that generates radioactive by products, including uranium. This is not a battery assembly facility; it is a chemical plant. Location and Flood Risk The proposed site is mapped within a flood affected area. Instead of choosing a safer location, it appears that flood overlays were altered through modelling adjustments to make the site appear suitable. Those changes were not based on verifiable field data, which undermines the integrity of the city's flood planning process. Flood mapping exists to protect people and waterways not to be rewritten when a development proposal becomes inconvenient. Placing a chemical and radioactive by product facility in a flood prone area introduces unacceptable environmental and public safety risks. Misleading Language Referring to this as a "battery factory" gives the community a false sense of safety and environmental benefit. This is not about being against renewable energy it's about ensuring that the language used is accurate and transparent. A chemical processing facility in a flood zone is a public safety issue, not a clean energy milestone. Queenslanders deserve transparency, accountability, and proper consultation when industrial projects are proposed near residential areas. I urge Parliament and Logan City Council to:

1. Conduct an independent review of the flood mapping changes applied to this site.
2. Require a full environmental risk assessment including flood contamination modelling.
3. Suspend approval of any facility involving radioactive or chemical by products within mapped flood risk areas.

I feel misled and disappointed by how this project has been represented. Calling it a "battery factory" while knowing it involves chemical and radioactive processes on flood affected land is not transparency its spin. Removing information from the council website does not erase public records or community memory. Many of us have retained evidence of the original material. Logan residents deserve honesty, responsible planning, and leaders who put public safety before political image.