

Deborah Jeffrey

From: Queenslanders for Safe Water, Air and Food Inc. [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 8 November 2012 10:55 PM
To: Finance and Administration Committee
Subject: Resending previous submission email as an attachment
Attachments: Submission_1_FAC_Fluoridation amendments.pdf
Importance: High

Resending previous submission email as an attachment.

Full copy of NHMRC fluoridation resolutions available on request.

Kind Regards

() Marilyn Haines
on behalf of Queenslanders For Safe Water, Air and Food Inc
www.qawf.org info@qawf.org [REDACTED]

Queenslanders For Safe Water, Air Food Inc
PO Box 149 Archerfield 4108

The Research Director
Finance and Administration Committee
Parliament House
George Street
BRISBANE QLD 4000

Submission for consideration

This information is for all MPS, but for particular note of the Finance and Administration Committee looking at the proposed amendments to 2008 Fluoridation Act

In 1953 the NHMRC endorsed water fluoridation. Water fluoridation was then enabled – subject to the conditions set by the NHMRC.

NHMRC Resolution 6 (2) states ***"A large proportion of the community wishes Fluorine added to the water supply, or alternatively a substantial proportion of the population does not oppose the addition of Fluoride to the water."***

Health Minister Springborg, we would then like to ask you, what do you declare is a ***"substantial proportion of the population"***

The Tablelands Regional Council August 2012 survey of Mareeba found 69 % opposed fluoridation; hundreds of Kuranda and Malanda residents have submitted My Will letters advising that they do not want their water to be fluoridated.

The Hinchinbrook Council August 2008 survey found 52% of residents opposed fluoridation.

Bundaberg councillors, elected representatives representing their community have very clearly told you that they do not want their community to be forcibly fluoridated.

The few limited (push) polls done before Anna Bligh's decision found that 30 – 40% of people did not support fluoridation and the 2005 LGAQ survey (also a push poll) still found that the majority of people wanted a Referendum first.

Health Minister Springborg, how can you justify the LNP government forcing fluoridation on communities where it has been clearly established that a substantial proportion of the population is OPPOSING the fluoridation of their drinking water?

If you cite the NHMRC to enable fluoridation, then you should respect the NHMRC conditions and not force any community that has demonstrated opposition.

The Queensland Government in 2003 did acknowledge that forced fluoridation was unethical mass medication.

Merilyn Haines on behalf of Queenslanders For Safe Water, Air and Food Inc email
 info@gawf.org [REDACTED] PO Box 149 Archerfield 4108

NATIONAL HEALTH & MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL.
Thirty-Sixth Session - December, 1953.
Dental Research Advisory Committee.
REPORT.

I have to advise you that the Dental Research Advisory Committee, met in Melbourne on Thursday, 22nd and Friday 23rd October, 1953. There were present:

Professor A.J. Arnott (in the Chair)	Professor G.F. Lamb
Professor A. Amies	Professor K. Sutherland
Dr. T. Draper Campbell	Dr. C.E.A. Cook
Dr. N.E. Goldsworthy	Dr. R.H. Hipaley.

The Resolutions approved of by the Committee for submission to the National Health & Medical Research Council are attached.

I will forward to you a further report on the details concerning a suggested training course referred to in Resolution 8(a) when available.

Yours truly,

(Sgd) A. J. Arnott.
 DHAM.

RESOLUTION (6):

Any plan to fluoridate the domestic water supply must be subject to the following conditions:-

- (1) The need for increasing the concentration of Fluorine in the water supply must be established.
- (2) A large proportion of the community wishes Fluorine added to the water supply, or alternatively, a substantial proportion of the community does not oppose the addition of Fluorine to the water.
- (3) The water supply must be amenable and subject to strict supervision and control by qualified Engineers and Chemists.
- (4) The amount of Fluorine to be added must be carefully determined and adjusted to meet climatic and environmental changes.

RESOLUTION (7):

Deborah Jeffrey

From: Queenslanders for Safe Water, Air and Food Inc. <info@qawf.org>
Sent: Thursday, 8 November 2012 10:44 PM
To: Finance and Administration Committee
Subject: For consideration FAC - NHMRC - 1953 resolution 6 - ... " a substantial proportion of the community does not oppose the addition of fluoride"

Importance: High

This information is for all MPS, but for particular note of the Finance and Administration Committee looking at the proposed amendments to 2008 Fluoridation Act

In 1953 the NHMRC endorsed water fluoridation. Water fluoridation was then enabled – subject to the conditions set by the NHMRC.

NHMRC Resolution 6 (2) states **" A large proportion of the community wishes Fluorine added to the water supply, or alternatively a substantial proportion of the population does not oppose the addition of Fluoride to the water."**

Health Minister Springborg, we would then like to ask you, what do you declare is a ***" substantial proportion of the population "***

The Tablelands Regional Council August 2012 survey of Mareeba found 69 % opposed fluoridation; hundreds of Kuranda and Malanda residents have submitted My Will letters advising that they do not want their water to be fluoridated.

The Hinchinbrook Council August 2008 survey found 52% of residents opposed fluoridation.

Bundaberg councillors, elected representatives representing their community have very clearly told you that they do not want their community to be forcibly fluoridated.

The few limited (push) polls done before Anna Bligh's decision found that 30 – 40% of people did not support fluoridation and the 2005 LGAQ survey (also a push poll) still found that the majority of people wanted a Referendum first.

Health Minister Springborg, how can you justify the LNP government forcing fluoridation on communities where it has been clearly established that a substantial proportion of the population is OPPOSING the fluoridation of their drinking water?

If you cite the NHMRC to enable fluoridation, then you should respect the NHMRC conditions and not force any community that has demonstrated opposition.

The Queensland Government in 2003 did acknowledge that forced fluoridation was unethical mass medication.

Merilyn Haines on behalf of Queenslanders For Safe Water, Air and Food Inc email info@qawf.org Mob [REDACTED] PO Box 149 Archerfield 4108

NATIONAL HEALTH & MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL.
Thirty-Sixth Session - December, 1953.
Dental Research Advisory Committee.
REPORT.

I have to advise you that the Dental Research Advisory Committee, met in Melbourne on Thursday, 22nd and Friday 23rd October, 1953. There were present:

Professor A.J. Arnott (in the Chair)	Professor S.F. Lamb
Professor A. Amies	Professor K. Sutherland
Dr. T. Draper Campbell	Dr. C.E.A. Cook
Dr. N.E. Goldsworthy	Dr. R.H. Hipsley.

The Resolutions approved of by the Committee for submission to the National Health & Medical Research Council are attached.

I will forward to you a further report on the details concerning a suggested training course referred to in Resolution 6(a) when available.

Yours truly,

(Sgd) A. J. Arnott.
DEAN.

RESOLUTION (6):

Any plan to fluoridate the domestic water supply must be subject to the following conditions:-

- (1) The need for increasing the concentration of Fluorine in the water supply must be established.
- (2) A large proportion of the community wishes Fluorine added to the water supply, or alternatively, a substantial proportion of the community does not oppose the addition of Fluorine to the water.
- (3) The water supply must be amenable and subject to strict supervision and control by qualified Engineers and Chemists.
- (4) The amount of Fluorine to be added must be carefully determined and adjusted to meet climatic and environmental changes.

RESOLUTION (7):