

Deborah Jeffrey

From: [REDACTED]
 Sent: Thursday, 8 November 2012 8:59 AM
 To: Finance and Administration Committee
 Subject: SE Queensland Water (Restructuring) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2012 - SUBMISSION regarding the proposed amendments to the Qld "Water Fluoridation Act 2008"
 Attachments: submission - SE Queensland Water (Restructuring) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2012 8 Nov. 2012.pdf


The Research Director

Finance and Administration Committee

Parliament House

George Street

BRISBANE QLD 4000



E: fac@parliament.qld.gov.au F: 07 3406 7500

Reference: SE Queensland Water (Restructuring) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2012

Submission regarding the proposed amendments to the Queensland 'Water Fluoridation Act 2008'

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please find my Submission attached.

Kind regards,

Thursday, 8th November, 2012

ROYAL SOCIETY'S CHIEF CALLED TO RESIGN OVER FLUORIDATION REVIEW DEBACLE

<http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/GE1210/S00084/royal-societys-chief-called-to-resign-over-fluoridation.htm>

DENTAL DISEASE INCREASES 6-FOLD BY FLUORIDATION <http://www.i-sis.org.uk/NotaFluoridation.php> FLUORIDATION & HIP FRACTURES http://www.nofluoride.com/Hip_fractures_Lee.cfm
 Truth on "water fluoridation" & phosphate mining http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LEZ15m-D_n8&feature=share REVOLVING DOOR INDUSTRIES & GOVERNMENT <http://renew.com/general45/bll.htm>

The fertilizer, aluminium & steel industries produce massive quantities of fluoride that used to be industrial waste. To save large amounts of money, they came up with the idea of dumping this industrial waste into drinking water. Now, instead of having to pay to have the fluoride waste hauled away, they are paid to poison us. <http://www.just-think-it.com/no-f.htm>

In my position as Alderman, it is my duty to promote the health, safety and welfare of all our residents. Adding fluoride chemicals into our public water supply runs counter to this and therefore needs to end." <http://www.endfluoridemilwaukee.blogspot.com/>

Water fluoridation is not safe and not effective (as the dental crises in all long time fluoridated cities will attest). The population have been lab rats for decades and this must be stopped urgently, permanently and irrevocably for all time for the protection of the long term health and safety of the population and our environment. The drinking water supplies of the population are not hazardous waste disposal areas nor are the populations' kidneys meant to be hazardous waste disposal/filtration units. It must be stopped.

HUMAN TOXICITY, ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT & LEGAL IMPLICATIONS OF WATER FLUORIDATION here <http://www.enviro.ie/risk.htm>

FLUORIDE CAUSES CANCER - Dr John Yiamouyiannis <http://www.youtube.com/watch?NR=1&feature=endscreen&v=SYxLRtudyM4> "Our studies show that cancers of the gastrointestinal tract, kidney, bladder, breast and ovaries are those primarily associated with fluoride intake". John Yiamouyiannis PhD, Cancer Control Journal, Vol. 5, no's1 + 2, p. 75.

FLUORIDE CAUSES CANCER - Dr. Dean Burk - Former Chief of Cytochemistry at National Cancer Institute for 30 years <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ClaK7XvLq0>

FLUORIDE'S LINK TO CANCER - DR. DAVID KENNEDY <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=um4baHxsR4>

FLUORIDE AND CANCER <http://www.fluoridealert.org/health/cancer/index.aspx>

FLUORIDE HEALTH EFFECTS DATABASE <http://www.fluoridealert.org/health/>

FLUORIDE & CANCER <http://slweb.org/bibliography.html> click on CANCER link

<http://www.slweb.org/bibliography.html#cancer>

IRELAND - FLUORIDE IS CAUSING YOU HIGHER INCIDENCES OF PANCREATIC AND PROSTATE CANCER & MORE CASES OF LEUKAEMIA. Source:

<http://www.oneworldchronicle.com/?p=5402>

BRAIN DEAD IF YOU STILL THINK 'WATER FLUORIDATION' IS A GOOD IDEA http://www.g-tigerclaw.com/Fluoride/fluoride_strategy.htm

The Research Director Finance and Administration Committee Parliament House
George Street BRISBANE QLD 4000

E: fac@parliament.qld.gov.au F: 07 3406 7500

**Reference: SE Queensland Water (Restructuring) and Other Legislation
Amendment Bill 2012**

Submission regarding the proposed amendments to the Queensland 'Water Fluoridation Act 2008'

Dear Sir / Madam

Following is a submission relating to the, 'Amendment of *Water Fluoridation Act 2008* to extend the criteria under which an exemption may be sought from the requirement that a relevant water supply be fluoridated and to clarify that an exemption may only be sought for an eligible relevant public potable water supply' to be discussed in parliament in the near future.

This submission is a request that serious consideration be given by the Queensland Parliament to substantially amend the Water Fluoridation Act 2008, not just the amendments proposed, by providing a clause or section, being an exemption in the Act that will give any Queensland Regional Council (local government) the ability to withdraw/ opt out of the provisions of the said Act. I envisage that such exemption would be subject to the will of the applicant community and decided by local referendum or a similar democratic process. I also add that many of the population are still unaware of what and where 'water fluoridation chemicals' come from and the serious cumulative health effects which have been proven from many world experts.

While I understand that the current proposed amendments extend the community size from 1,000 to 10,000 people, it only relates to the cost burden of the community.

The financial imposition to a community is only one aspect of this issue. There are other much more important issues surrounding water fluoridation which concern, not just me, but a substantial number of informed people. The more significant issues relate to the health of the whole Queensland community.

I provide herein a small amount of information which you all as human beings, mothers, fathers, brothers, sisters, uncles, aunties, pet owners, should be as concerned about this as us informed members of the population are and you should all be willing to turn off those hazardous waste taps forever more, because it is proven not safe and not effective.

**'The Great Culling: Our Water' - Official Movie (FIRST 33 MIN)
Framing the World Productions**

ALCOA, Depopulation, fluorides, Georgia Guidestones, Government Corruption, hazardous industrial waste, Hydrofluosilicic Acid, phosphate mining

"FLUORIDATION IS A CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY"

ForbiddenKnowledgeTV
Alexandra Bruce
October 24, 2012

Here are the first 33 minutes of Part 1 of the long-awaited, ground-breaking film series, "The Great Culling."

It exposes how the phosphate industry has colluded with State governments to dispose of their poisonous industrial waste, hydrofluosilicic acid by forcing municipalities BUY this noxious compound to add to their water supplies - or face heavy fines from the State.

After decades of fighting the State of California, the City of San Diego recently relented and began fluoridating its water supply to avoid the heavy fines imposed for their refusing to add this pollutant to the City's water. (The toxin must be cheaper than the fines...)

===

Published by framingtheworldprod
October 23, 2012

The Georgia Guidestones is a large granite monument in Elbert County, Georgia. It has been referred to as an American Stonehenge. The person or group that paid for its construction is a mystery. Inscribed on its stones are ten commandments, written in twelve languages, for what it describes as an Age of Reason. Many believe it is an agenda for the New World Order.

The first commandment is: "Maintain humanity under 500 million in perpetual balance with nature." Since the world population now stands at over seven billion, that means the commandment calls for the elimination of 93% of the world's population.

THE CULLING ALREADY HAS BEGUN

The Great Culling of the human race already has begun. It is being done through chemicals added to our drinking water, food, medicines, and the air we breathe -- chemicals that have the known effect of reducing fertility and shortening lifespan. This message can no longer be dismissed as conspiracy theory. The facts are in plain view.

This documentary is the first of a three-part series. It shows how additives to our drinking water, such as fluoride, supposedly to combat tooth decay, in reality are covert doses of death. It also shows how you can protect yourself and your family.

Please support this film and order "The Great Culling: Our Water" DVD or BLURAY today!
<http://www.realityzone.com/cull1.html>

Find out more about movie at:
<http://www.thegreatculling.org>

Like us on Facebook:
<http://www.facebook.com/pages/The-Great-Culling/161325317240924>

Source:

<http://www.forbiddenknowledge.com/videos/independent-film/the-great-culling-our-water-official-movie-first-33-min.html>

WARNING TO OUR WATER SUPPLIERS

TAKE NOTICE: STOP FLUORIDE DISEASES BY ROBERT C. OLNEY, M.D.

REMOVE FLUORIDES FROM FOOD, WATER, AIR AND DRUGS

TO PROMOTERS OF FLUORIDATION:

Do you know the diseases caused by the "cumulative effect" of fluorine? If not, why not? Don't you think you should know these facts before you advocate forcing more of this most powerful and indestructible poison on innocent people? Don't you believe that anyone forcing helpless, innocent people to take in more fluorides should be held morally and legally liable for any damage to these people? Don't you believe that the medical profession and Public Health officials have a great responsibility in protecting the people from the serious, harmful effects of fluorides?

Have you read any of the many articles on the harmful effects of fluorides taken from the world's medical and biochemical literature (some of which have been abstracted by John J. Miller, Ph.D., Chicago, Illinois, abstractor for many years for "Chemical Abstracts," one of the most important publications in the chemical world)?

Do you know one of the most serious effects of fluorine is on the brain and nervous system? As a result of the work of so many authorities all over the world, it is shown that fluorine acts to tie up magnesium-forming magnesium fluoride - an insoluble compound which thus prevents the essential enzymes from using magnesium. As a result, mental processes are seriously interfered with and nerve reactions throughout the body are depressed.

Fluorine is known as a powerful enzyme inhibitor and a poison, thus gradually destroying enzymatic function. This is the same process by which all vital organs are slowly and seriously damaged. The more vital the organ, the more serious the damage. Fluorine is such a powerful, destructive force that it takes only a minute amount to do great damage. This damage is then permanent to the person, and becomes gradually worse due to the accumulation of fluorine in the enzymes. Fluorine appears to be the cause of many mental and physical defects in the newborn.

From the "Archives of Environmental Health" (published by A.M.A.), February 1961, there is an interesting article compiled from authorities all over the world showing the amount of fluorides in diseased tissues. This shows that in some diseased tissues, such as aorta, tumor, cataract, etc., there are fluorides from 39 to 158 PPM compared with adjoining tissues having from 0.0 to 6.9 PPM. This is evidence that fluorine is an important factor in the cause of these diseases.

Doctors Alfred and Nell Taylor, working in the University of Texas and reporting on the effect of fluorine as a cause of cancer, made the following statement: "The terrifying conclusion of the studies was that fluorine greatly induced cancer tumor growth. If doctors and the public can be made aware of this catastrophe, fluoridation shall quickly end. It will some day be recognized as the most lethal and stupid 'Health Program' ever conceived by the mind of man, with doctors and bloodletters not excepted."

Everyone must know that fluorides constitute the most serious pollution problem in air, food, water and drugs. From all forms of combustion - industrial, automobile, etc. - large amounts of fluoride enter the atmosphere. In some highly industrialized areas it is estimated that each person will absorb 1/2 mg of fluorine through his lungs from the air daily. Large amounts of fluoride, the amount of fluoride in food, has greatly increased. There is also a problem of increased use of fluorides in drugs.

It is important to know that when any fluoride is taken into the stomach in the presence of the normal hydrochloric acid, the fluorine, being a much more powerful element than chlorine, replaces the chlorine and we have hydrofluoric acid produced, which is one of the most caustic and destructive substances known. Hydrofluoric acid is absorbed into the body (stable fluorides are almost insoluble) and can also cause serious ulceration of the stomach and duodenum.

Extensive research has also shown that fluorides change the chemical structure of the living enamel of the tooth, making it a dead chalky substance. Fluorides also cause calcific plugs in the dentin, greatly disturbing the nutrition and circulation. Thus, fluorides are harmful to teeth and cause periodontal diseases from which people lose their teeth in mid-life. Public Health officials and Boards of Health should be protecting innocent people from this killer instead of promoting fluoride diseases.

For the U.S. Public Health Service to use hundreds of millions of dollars of tax money to promote fluoridation of the public water supply and deceive the American Dental Association, the American Medical Association and many millions of citizens, is an organized attack on the health of the people.

Reprinted from Cancer News Journal, Vol. 9, No. Sourced:

http://www.rethinkingcancer.org/resources/magazine-articles/12_11-12/stop-fluoride-diseases.php

FLUORIDATION WILL HAVE CATASTROPHIC EFFECTS ON OUR GENERAL HEALTH - SEE THE EFFECTS OF FLUORIDE ON THE THYROID GLAND

By Dr Barry Durrant-Peatfield MBBS LRCP MRCS **MEDICAL ADVISOR TO THYROID UK** 9-9-2004

Fluoridation of the nation's water supply will do little for our dental health; but will have catastrophic effects on our general health. We cannot, must not, dare not, subject our nation to this appalling risk.

Dr Barry Durrant-Peatfield obtained his Medical degrees in 1960 at Guy's Hospital London. He left the NHS in 1980 to specialise in thyroid illnesses drawing inspiration from the work of infamous Dr Broda Barnes, at the Foundation that bears his name, Connecticut, USA.

He has been a medical practitioner for over forty years specialising in metabolic disorders during which time he became a leading authority in the UK for thyroid and adrenal management. For over twenty years he also ran a successful private clinic and became a nation-wide leading authority on thyroid and adrenal dysfunction, but clashed with establishment medicine in the management of thyroid illness. He is the author of *The Great Thyroid Scandal* (see opposite page), he currently lectures at nutritional colleges in London as well as conducting his own teaching seminars. Barry will shortly be opening a diagnostic clinic in the UK for thyroid and adrenal disorders where he will provide advice on diagnosis and treatment with special interests in nutritional aspects. For further information: Dr B Durrant- Peatfield, Surrey.

Source: <http://www.rense.com/general57/FLUR.HTM>

And

<http://www.namastepublishing.co.uk/The%20Effects%20of%20Fluoride%20on%20the%20Thyroid%20Gland.htm>

HOW FLUORIDE HARMS RATHER THAN HELPS TEETH BARRY GROVES, PHD

Fluoride damages teeth Dated 2000

Much research from many parts of the world has suggested that, far from protecting teeth, fluoride actually damages them. One of the largest studies into fluoride levels and dental caries ever carried out comes from Japan. In this study, researchers at Tokyo Medical and Dental University examined the teeth of 20,000 students and showed clearly that they had been harmed by fluoride. The researchers compared students who came from areas with more than 0.4 parts per million fluoride in the drinking water with those whose water contained less than 0.4 ppm. Their results showed clearly that there was significantly more decay in the areas that had the higher levels of fluoride. Note that the 0.4 ppm that was harming teeth is less than half the "optimal" level. Similarly another study, conducted in Ottawa, Kansas, to assess the effects of adding fluoride to the town's water found that fluoridation was a disaster: in the first three years of drinking fluoridated water, the numbers of DMFT in 5- to 6-year-old children more than doubled, while the numbers free from decay nearly halved.

That fluorides have not been shown to benefit teeth should not come as a surprise to the dental profession. As long ago as 1940, it was suggested that seventy percent of the caries in children was in the form of pits and fissures. Recent reports indicate that today, eighty-three per cent of all caries in North American children is of this type. And there is no reason to suppose that children

in other Western countries are any different. Pit and fissure cavities are prevented with sealants, they aren't preventable with fluoride.....

..... Northern region is home to two flagships of fluoridation: Newcastle and Gateshead. Both fluoridated since 1968, this allows us to compare adult expenditure. Both have the most dentists and the highest expenditure per head of population anywhere in the region. The only other major industrial town is Sunderland, which is also the only genuine non-fluoridated part of the region. Sunderland wins on all counts: dentistry is less expensive and there are fewer dentists per head. Why is it that Newcastle and Gateshead have so many extra dentists if, as they claim, fluoridation reduces the need for them?

Conclusion

Given the strength of the evidence presented, the case for the fluoridation of tap water to prevent dental decay fails miserably. Nevertheless on both sides of the Atlantic, proponents, seemingly oblivious to the evidence that fluoride does more harm than good, are currently trying to get still more areas fluoridated. In 1992, when sixty percent of the US population was fluoridated, and based on what they say is "past progress and continuing evidence of effectiveness and safety of this public health measure" the American Public Health Service set a goal of having seventy-five percent of the population drinking fluoridated water by the year 2000.

And now, as I write this in 2000, the government-funded British Fluoridation Society is actively lobbying for a change in the law here to compel water companies to fluoridate tap water when Health Authorities demand it.

Further information: http://www.second-opinions.co.uk/fluoride_index.html

Barry Groves' CV <http://www.second-opinions.co.uk/aboutbg.html>

Document sourced: <http://www.second-opinions.co.uk/fluorideharm.html>

PAUL G. RUBIN, DDS, MIAOMT INTEGRATIVE DENTISTRY
March, 2008

FLUORIDE POSITION PAPER

Extracts: "This study did not find a statistically significant effect of water fluoridation." They did find a significant correlation between decay and economic status, which is a finding that should be pursued.

Featherstone and other prominent researchers on fluoride have found that ingested fluoride does not significantly reduce decay, and that fluoride's primary effect is topical. Their studies have found that the level of fluoride incorporated into dental mineral by systemic ingestion is insufficient to play a significant role in caries [decay] prevention.^{6,7}

So, if ingested fluoride doesn't do any good, why are we drinking it, and why are some physicians still prescribing fluoride supplements for infants and children?

One noted authority, Dr. Paul Connett, has stated that “ingesting fluoride for cavity prevention makes about as much sense as swallowing sun block to protect the skin from sunburn.”

“Fluoridation as a health policy] is against all principles of modern pharmacology. It’s really obsolete ... I think those nations that are using it should be ashamed of themselves. It’s against science.”

Dr. Arvid Carlsson, Nobel Laureate in Medicine/Physiology (2000)

“Over the past ten years, a large body of peer-reviewed science has raised concerns that fluoride may present unreasonable health risks particularly among children, at levels routinely added to tap water in American cities.”

Environmental Working Group, July, 2005

“The whole thing is politics. You’re not talking science at all.”

Dr. Robert Carton, President of the Union of EPA professional workers headquartered in Washington, DC. This Union has called for a moratorium on all water fluoridation due to safety concerns.

There have been studies linking fluoride exposure with a number of health concerns. Disruption of thyroid function, increased hip fracture in the elderly, early stages of skeletal fluorosis, decreased IQ level in children (in some recent studies in China), disfiguring dental fluorosis, suspicion of increased incidence of bone cancer in young males, all have found their way into the scientific literature.¹⁵ Can any of these health effects occur at levels of fluoride exposure one would get from drinking fluoridated water? This is a crucial question, and one that is at the center of a recent report from the National Research Council (NRC). Below is the story of how the highest authorities in science in this country cast serious doubt on the safety of water fluoridation.....

From a scientific point of view, water fluoridation makes no sense. There are other issues to look at as well, such as economic costs, sociological considerations (“mass medication” without informed consent), and health freedom issues. Fluoride cannot be easily removed from tap water with home filters. Elaborate and expensive filters including reverse osmosis are about the only effective means. The best way to get fluoride out of the water is to eliminate the source. The solution to the decay problem in this country doesn’t involve the use of a toxic, ineffective substance. Dental decay can be prevented in a safe and effective way by good oral hygiene and healthy diet choices. At the same time, these healthy habits are also effective at preventing gum disease (fluoride isn’t effective at that, either). This isn’t always easy to implement, but good health choices aren’t always easy. They do, however, work.

Full document: <http://www.mercuryfreedentistsseattle.com/fluoride-position-paper/>

MORE CAVITIES (in fluoridated cities)

Saturday March, 18, 2006

Before Crest, Procter & Gamble’s (P&G) experimental Teel toothpaste with sodium fluoride, actually caused cavities in 1940’s tests. (1) Teel was scrapped in favor of Crest, with stannous fluoride. In 1955, Crest received the American Dental Association’s (ADA) seal of approval

generating loads of money for P&G. Since then, even more evidence shows fluoride could cause instead of cure tooth decay.

IN FEBRUARY OF 1972 THE ADA REPORTED THAT, IN FLUORIDATED CITIES, DENTISTS REAPED A NET PROFIT 17% HIGHER THAN IN NON-FLUORIDATED CITIES. In fact, in their zeal to promote fluoridation as their gift to the poor, and maybe help sell more Crest, someone forgot to check tooth decay statistics against fluoridation rates.

Organized dentistry actually awarded the most toothless and cavity-prone states and cities in the name of water fluoridation in 2004. (1a)

Lots of evidence shows tooth decay crises in fluoridated cities and states: (1b)

The ongoing Iowa Fluoride Study reports in March 2006 that children in fluoridated communities have more fluorosis, but no less tooth decay, than children who live in sub-optimally fluoridated areas.(9) 1992 University of Arizona study found that "the more fluoride a child drinks, the more cavities appear in the teeth."

After 50 years of water fluoridation, Newburgh, New York, children have more cavities than kids from never-fluoridated Kingston, New York.(2)

After Kentucky required fluoride chemicals be dispensed into drinking water to reduce cavities, tooth decay rates almost doubled in pre-school children.(3) A majority of Asian-American children living in areas with fluoridated water suffer with the highest prevalence and the greatest amount of cavities, according to a California study.(4) IN FACT, MANY STUDIES SHOW THAT WHEN FLUORIDATION CEASES, CAVITY RATES GO DOWN.(5)

African children from Uganda, enjoy fewer cavities than American children even though fluoridated toothpaste and toothbrushes are virtually unknown to them. However, Ugandan children who drink high fluoride water have more tooth decay than their equals in low fluoride districts.(6) Based on thirty years of study on .4 million children, Teotia and Teotia report "Our findings indicate that dental caries is caused by high fluoride and low dietary calcium intakes, separately and through their interactions." (6a) IRELAND, 73% FLUORIDATED SINCE THE 1960'S, HAS A HIGHER TOOTH DECAY RATE THAN FIVE OTHER EUROPEAN COUNTRIES THAT DON'T ADD FLUORIDE CHEMICALS INTO THE WATER, according to the June 30, 2001, Irish Independent. Consistent with previous findings, Wondwossen and colleagues found a positive association between water fluoride levels and cavities. (7)

Tooth decay declined substantially in prevalence and severity when Hong Kong children consumed less fluoride, indicative of a world-wide scientific trend revealing, with fluoride, less is best; none is better.(7a)

DENTISTS ONCE PREDICTED THAT FLUORIDATION WOULD PUT THEM OUT OF BUSINESS. INSTEAD, AFTER 60 YEARS OF WATER FLUORIDATION AND 50 YEARS OF FLUORIDATED TOOTHPASTE, DENTISTS MAKE MUCH MORE MONEY THAN PHYSICIANS WHILE WORKING LESS HOURS, LESS DAYS AND WITH LESS RESPONSIBILITY. (7b)

Document sourced: <http://fluoridedangers.blogspot.com/2006/03/look-ma-more-cavities.html>

77% fluoridated Florida: Unaffordable dentistry causes 315 dental hospital Emergency Visits Daily @ \$88 million/year 1/3 paid by Medicaid

https://docs.google.com/viewer?a=v&pid=explorer&chrome=true&srcid=0B6G2Rcb1xdpzNDU4NTk5MDMtZTM1MS00NnM0LWIzYmEtZTZjYT12MGQzYTk0&hl=en_US

INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE IN SOCIETY Science Society Sustainability

NO TO FLUORIDATION

DENTAL DISEASE INCREASES SIX-FOLD BY FLUORIDATION

The American Journal of Diseases of Children states: "With few exceptions the biochemistry of fluorine (fluoride) emphasizes its toxic features. The production of endemic dental fluorosis in human beings by drinking water is an outstanding example of the toxic effect of the excessive intake of the element." Dental fluorosis is fluoride poisoning that causes hypomineralization (irregular calcification) and a disorder of ameloblasts (enamel forming cells) that mottle, weaken and discolour childrens' teeth. In 2000 , the Newcastle NHS Trust reported dental fluorosis in 54% of children aged 8-9 years compared to 24% of 8-9 year olds in non-fluoridated Northumberland.

The 1ppm (part per million) level of fluoride in UK water supplies deemed "safe" by government is 100 times higher than normally found in mothers milk. Prof Paul Connett, a leading authority on fluoride, spoke out at the Science, Medicine and The Law conference in London last week. He said, "There are no benefits only risks for infants ingesting heightened levels of fluoride at such an early age, [when] susceptibility to toxins are particularly high."

In 1999, Baroness Hayman responded in a Written Answer for the Government, "We accept that dental fluorosis is a manifestation of systemic toxicity." Despite this sanction, the Government have backtracked on the safety of fluoride , supported by the British Dental Association, which states that fluoride is a positive step towards narrowing the health inequalities that currently exist.

Source: <http://www.i-sis.org.uk/NotoFluoridation.php>

TUCSON STUDY FINDS FLUORIDE PROMOTES TOOTH DECAY - 1992

Those promoting the concept of water fluoridation maintain that the presence of fluoride in the human diet, whether in public water supplies, food, beverages or from other sources, assists in the prevention of dental caries (cavities). After conducting two years of research on the subject, contacting numerous organizations and pouring through mountains of scientific reports and documents, I could find no credible data supporting this conclusion. In fact, I found exactly the opposite was the case. It explains why the American Dental Association and the National Institute

for Dental Research have always supported fluoridation of the population. Aside from the issues of cancer, mutagenicity, osteoporosis and behavior control, one of the results of fluoridation is that it actually *creates more cavities in order to support the dental profession*. Where's the proof? According to Cornelius Steelink, professor emeritus at the Department of Chemistry at the University of Arizona, who was intimately involved in the debate to fluoridate the water of Tucson, when the incidence of tooth decay versus fluoride content in a child's drinking water was examined in Tucson, a city with discrete geographic areas of groundwater with both high fluoride content (0.8ppm) and low content (0.3ppm), *a positive correlation was revealed*. Dental screening was conducted of 26,000 elementary school children. When the incidence of tooth decay was plotted against the fluoride content of the water, it was discovered that *the more fluoride a child drank, the more cavities appeared in the teeth*.

The fluoride debate in Tucson started when the local county board of health, soon joined by state and federal "public health" organizations, requested that the city of Tucson add fluoride to the drinking water. The city referred the matter to the subcommittee chaired by Dr. Steelink. The subcommittee also discovered that a large population of poor children would get no benefit from optimum fluoride in the water, as the largest factors in tooth decay (besides processed foods and sugar) were lack of access to dental facilities, poverty and poor oral hygiene. In its final report, the subcommittee stated that "there was no obvious relation of fluoride content in municipal water to the prevention of tooth decay in Tucson" and "because there are multiple causes of tooth decay, a decision to fluoridate would still leave pockets of poor dental health." Furthermore, it was reported that "children, who lived in a fluoridated community, had 11 times the odds of developing fluorosis."

Studies of young males and fluoridated water have produced some interesting results. A February 1991 U.S. Public Health Service study linked fluoridated water to bone cancer in young males in Seattle, Washington and Iowa. A New Jersey Department of Health Study in November 1992 found bone cancer rates among young males to be six times higher in fluoridated than in non-fluoridated communities.

Source: <http://afgen.com/fluoridation.html>

AUSTRALIAN DENTAL ASSOCIATION INC. POLICY STATEMENT COMMUNITY ORAL HEALTH PROMOTION FLUORIDE USE

Extract: 2 Policy

- . 2.1 Water Fluoridation The Australian Dental Association recommends :
 - . 2.1.1 Water fluoridation as the most effective, equitable and efficient measure for achieving reduction in dental caries incidence across a community.
 - . 2.1.2 That the fluoridation of community water supplies is preferred as a safe and effective means of reducing the prevalence of dental caries in all age groups and should be implemented and maintained in those communities where there is an insufficient natural fluoride content for this purpose.
 - . 2.1.3 That the optimum level of fluoride to be achieved in a water supply should take into account climatic conditions.
 - . 2.1.4 That where fluoridation of water supplies is effected, there must be adequate control and supervision of the procedure.

2.1.5 That Governments must adopt water fluoridation as part of Health Policy and actively promote its introduction, where it is feasible, as a public health measure.

DENTAL CRISIS AFTER LONG TERM 'WATER FLUORIDATION'

One example of dental crisis:- From 11. August, 2009 Grand Rapids Michigan - **THIS WAS THE FIRST CITY 'FLUORIDATED' IN USA IN 1945:-**

<http://blog.fluoridefreeaustin.com/2009/07/05/grand-rapids-michigan--first-to-be-gulled-and-proud-of-it.aspx?results=1#SurveyResultsChart>

From 11. August, 2009 Grand Rapids Michigan WITH MICHIGAN'S MEDICAID CUTS, STATE FACES 'DEVASTATING' DENTAL CRISIS

GRAND RAPIDS — While thousands of Michigan Medicaid recipients suffer with toothaches or wait weeks for dental services, Democratic leaders warn the state's social pain may just be beginning. "Do we want to have more cuts or do we want more revenue?" state Rep. Mary Valentine, chairwoman of the House Families and Children's Services Committee, said in an interview. "If we want more cuts, this is what it looks like," the Democrat from Norton Shores said. "It is going to be devastating." On July 1, Michigan eliminated basic Medicaid dental benefits for adults 21 and older, a measure that will save the state about \$5 million in 2010. The state has 1.6 million people enrolled in Medicaid. Since then, clinics have been flooded with calls from patients desperate for help. They are not staffed to meet demand. Valentine said her office has been getting postcards "that are pictures of people's mouths and what they look like. We are down to where it really hurts."

The reports come as budget negotiations continue between Gov. Jennifer Granholm and Republican leaders over how to fill a projected \$1.8 billion deficit in the 2010 budget. The dental cuts are a fraction of that deficit, but they are a reminder of what could be at stake as Republicans vow to hold the line on taxes. In 2003, in the midst of a previous budget crisis, Michigan eliminated Medicaid dental services only to restore them in 2005. A study by Health Management Associates, in cooperation with the Michigan Health and Hospital Association, found the first six months following the 2003 cuts, oral health-related visits to the emergency room increased 11 percent when compared to the same six-month period the previous year. At a free dental clinic in Grand Rapids, clinic coordinator Doreen Sturgis expects more of the same this time around.

"You will see a lot more people at the emergency room with mouth problems. It's going to get horribly bogged down." Sturgis said the clinic run by Mel Trotter Ministries in downtown Grand Rapids has seen a "dramatic increase" in calls from Medicaid patients desperate for help. "They are saying, 'Where do I go now?'" Sturgis said. "What's out there for them?" Sturgis said the clinic, which relies on volunteer help, is unable to meet the demand.

"The person at the other end of the line is in pain. You can tell they are in pain." Another Grand Rapids dental clinic for the poor said the number of walk-in patients has doubled. Because Medicaid will pay for tooth extractions but not restorative work, Cathy Ortman, manager of Ferguson Dental Clinic, said many patients are opting to have the tooth pulled. "You are going to see a lot more people with no teeth. They are pulling a tooth they could have

saved. They are going to opt for what's free," Ortman said. Ortman noted that numerous studies confirm a link between periodontal disease and heart attacks and stroke. **"We do have a crisis,"** Ortman said.

Source: <http://michiganmessenger.com/24513/with-michigans-medicaid-cuts-state-faces-devastating-dental-crisis> (**link now dead**)

Reminder! 'fluoridated' since 1945 !!

Grand Rapids, Michigan children are showing high rates of tooth decay and dental fluorosis/ According to the Grand Rapids Press, one pediatric dentist said in 2007 "...we see children under the age of 2 with active decay...Rather than just a few cavities, we're seeing a lot of cavities. It's not unusual to see a child with 8 to 10 cavities."

America's children are fluoride-overdosed with almost half of all adolescents afflicted with dental fluorosis, white spotted, yellow, brown and/or pitted teeth. Tooth decay has increased in toddlers, untreated tooth decay is epidemic, more dental schools are opening and more dental professionals have been created. Emergency rooms are flooded with patients in dental pain because 80% of dentists refuse to treat Medicaid patients and half of all Americans don't have dental insurance. Those that have insurance can't afford the out-of-pocket expenses. And Americans have died from the consequences of untreated tooth decay.

More information here: <http://www.fluoridedangers.blogspot.com.au/>

New York State Coalition Opposed to Fluoridation Inc. (NYSCOF) advises:- "Dental Crisis in America": "AFTER 67 YEARS OF WATER FLUORIDATION AMERICA IS FACING A DENTAL HEALTH CRISIS. The American Dental Association does not support this comprehensive legislation introduced by Senator Sanders because it

includes funding for Dental Therapists which would infringe upon dentistry's lucrative monopoly."Dental Crisis in America <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3oaINr51MrM> Senator Sanders discusses the Dental crisis and explains the introduction of the most comprehensive Dental care reform legislation in the history of the Unit...

AUSTRALIA'S DISGRACE – Mirroring dental health crises in 'fluoridated' USA cities, Australia too is in Dental Crises after up to 50 + years of 'fluoridation'.

AUSTRALIAN children have terrible teeth and their oral health is getting worse, despite billions of dollars being spent on fixing the problem, Adelaide University researchers say.Full story: <http://www.news.com.au/national-old/aussie-children-have-terrible-teeth/story-e6frfkvr-1226039127551>

DENTAL HEALTH SYSTEM IN DECAY - Dr Deborah Cole is chief executive officer of Dental Health Services Victoria. <http://www.theage.com.au/opinion/a-system-in-decay-20120107-1ppd0.html>

REPORT WARNS \$10B NEEDED TO FIX DENTAL HEALTH SYSTEM - Updated February 28, 2012 Full story: <http://www.abc.net.au/news/2012-02-28/national-dental-health->

[opens-denticare-debate/3856134](https://www.abc.net.au/news/2012-02-26/poor-oral-health-is-placing-a-strain-on-tasmania-s-emergency-departments-and-general-practitioners-as-well-as-wasting-money/3856134)

POOR ORAL HEALTH IS PLACING A STRAIN ON TASMANIA'S EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS AND GENERAL PRACTITIONERS AS WELL AS WASTING MONEY, according to the state's peak dental body. Tasmania has long had the worst adult oral health in the country. 26.2.2012***NOTE Beaconsfield TASMANIA first in Australia to be 'fluoridated' in 1953 with Hobart etc., following from 1964). Despite this, they suffer Dental Crisis and in fact, the worst dental health. ***

This same appalling story in the USA 'widespread fluoridation and dental decay' - the only answer always has been to PROVIDE ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE DENTAL CARE SERVICES FOR ALL THE POPULATION NOT HAZARDOUS WASTE FLUOROSILICIC ACID/SILICOFLUORIDE POISONED WATER SUPPLIES (with co-contaminants of lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium et al) the evidence of cumulative harm from which is evidenced from experts worldwide. 'Water fluoridation' is not safe - is not effective - end of story - turn off the 'fluoridation taps' for all our sakes and our total environment.

Fluoride and the Phosphate Connection

by George C. Glasser

Cities all over the US purchase hundreds of thousands of gallons of fresh pollution concentrate from Florida - fluorosilicic acid (H_2SiF_6) - to fluoridate water.

Fluorosilicic acid is composed of tetrafluorosilicate gas and other species of fluorine gases captured in pollution scrubbers and concentrated into a 23% solution during wet process phosphate fertilizer manufacture. Generally, the acid is stored in outdoor cooling ponds before being shipped to US cities to artificially fluoridate drinking water.

Fluoridating drinking water with recovered pollution is a cost-effective means of disposing of toxic waste. The fluorosilicic acid would otherwise be classified as a hazardous toxic waste on the Superfund Priorities List of toxic substances that pose the most significant risk to human health and the greatest potential liability for manufacturers.

Phosphate fertilizer suppliers have more than \$10 billion invested in production and mining facilities in Florida. Phosphate fertilizer production accounts for \$800 million in wages per year. Florida's mines produce 30% of the world supply and 75% of the US supply of phosphate fertilizers. Much of the country's supply of fluoro-silicic acid for water fluoridation is also produced in Florida.

Phosphate fertilizer manufacturing and mining are not environment friendly operations. Fluorides and radionuclides are the primary toxic pollutants from the manufacture of phosphate fertilizer in Central Florida. People living near the fertilizer plants and mines, experience lung cancer and leukemia rates that are double the state average. Much of West Central Florida has become a toxic waste dump for phosphate fertilizer manufacturers. Federal and state pollution regulations have been modified to accommodate phosphate fertilizer production and use: These regulations have included using recovered pollution for water fluoridation.

Radium wastes from filtration systems at phosphate fertilizer facilities are among the most radioactive types of naturally occurring radioactive material (NORM) wastes. The radium wastes are so concentrated, they cannot be disposed of at the one US landfill licensed to accept NORM wastes, so manufacturers dump the radioactive wastes in acidic ponds atop 200-foot-high gypsum stacks. The federal government has no rules for its disposal.

During the late 1960s, fluorine emissions were damaging crops, killing fish and causing crippling skeletal fluorosis in livestock. The EPA became concerned and enforced regulations requiring manufacturers to install pollution scrubbers. At that time, the facilities were dumping the concentrated pollution directly into waterways leading into Tampa Bay.

A Phosphate Worse than Death

In the late 1960s, EPA chemist Ervin Bellack worked out the ideal solution to a monumental pollution problem. Because recovered phosphate fertilizer manufacturing waste contain about 19% fluorine, Bellack concluded that the concentrated "scrubber liquor" could be a perfect water fluoridation agent. It was a liquid and easily soluble in water, unlike sodium fluoride - a waste product from aluminum manufacturing. It was also inexpensive.

Fate also intervened. The aluminum industry, which previously supplied sodium fluoride for water fluoridation, was facing a shortage of fluorspar used in smelting aluminum. Consequently, there was a shortage of sodium fluoride to fluoridate drinking water.

For the phosphate fertilizer industry, the shortage of sodium fluoride was the key to turning red ink into black and an environmental liability into a perceived asset. With the help of the EPA, fluorosilicic acid was transformed from a concentrated toxic waste and a liability into a "proven cavity fighter."

The EPA and the US Public Health Service waived all testing procedures and - with the help of the American Dental Association (ADA) - encouraged cities to add the radioactive concentrate into America's drinking water as an "improved" form of fluoride.

The product is not "fluorine" or "fluoride" as proponents state: It is a pollution concentrate. Fluorine is only one captured pollutant comprising about 19% of the total product.

By 1983, the official EPA policy was expressed by EPA Office of Water

Deputy Administrator Rebecca Hanmer as follows: "In regard to the use of fluosilicic (fluorosilicic) acid as a source of fluoride for fluoridation, this agency regards such use as an ideal environmental solution to a long-standing problem. By recovering by-product fluosilicic acid from fertilizer manufacturing, water and air pollution are minimized, and water utilities have a low-cost source of fluoride available to them."

A Hot New Property

In promoting the use of the pollution concentrate as a fluoridation agent, the ADA, Federal agencies and manufacturers failed to mention that it was radioactive. Whenever uranium is found in nature as a component of a mineral, a host of other radionuclides are always found in the mineral in various stages of decay. Uranium and all of its decay-rate products are found in phosphate rock, fluosilicic acid and phosphate fertilizer.

During wet-process manufacturing, trace amounts of radium and uranium are captured in the pollution scrubber. This process was the subject of an article by H.F. Denzinger, H. J. König and G.E. Krüger in the fertilizer industry journal, Phosphorus & Potassium (No. 103, Sept./Oct. 1979) discussed how radionuclides are carried into the fluosilicic acid.

While the uranium and radium in fluosilicic acid are known carcinogens, two decay products of uranium are even more carcinogenic: radon-222 and polonium-210.

During the acidulation process that creates phosphoric acid, radon gas contained in the phosphate pebble can be released in greater proportions than other decay-rate products (radionuclides) and carried over into the fluosilicic acid. Polonium may also be captured in greater quantities during scrubbing operations because, like radon, it can readily combine with fluoride.

In written communications to the author, EPA Office of Drinking Water official Joseph A. Cotruvo and Public Health Service fluoridation engineer Thomas Reeves have acknowledged the presence of radionuclides in fluosilicic acid.

Radon-222 is not an immediate threat because it stops emitting alpha radiation and decays into lead-214 in 3.86 days. Lead-214 appears to be harmless but it eventually decays into bismuth-214 and then into polonium-214. Unless someone knew to look for specific isotopes, no one would know that a transmutation into the polonium isotope had occurred.

Polonium-210, a decay product of bismuth-210, has a half-life of 138 days and gives off intense alpha radiation as it decays into regular lead and becomes stable. Any polonium-210 that might be present in the phosphate concentrate could pose a significant health threat. A very small amount of polonium-210 can be very dangerous, giving off 5,000 times more alpha radiation than the same amount of radium. As little as 0.03 microcuries (6.8 trillionths of a gram) of polonium-210 can be carcinogenic to humans.

The lead isotope behaves like calcium in the body. It may be stored in the

bones for years before turning into polonium-210 and triggering a carcinogenic release of alpha radiation.

Drinking water fluoridated with fluorosilicic acid contains radon at every sequence of its decay to polonium. The fresher the pollution concentrate, the more polonium it will contain.

As long as the amount of contaminants added to the drinking water (including radionuclides in fluorosilicic acid) do not exceed the limits set forth in the Safe Drinking Water Act, the EPA has no regulatory problem with the use of any contaminated products for drinking water treatment.

Big Risks: No Tests

Despite the increased cancer risk from using phosphate waste to fluoridate drinking water, the EPA nor the Centers for Disease Control have never commissioned or required any clinical studies with the pollution concentrate - specifically, the hexafluorosilicate radical whose toxicokinetic properties are different than the lone, fluoride ion.

Section 104 (I) (5) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) directs the Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, the EPA, the Public Health Service and the National Toxicology Program to initiate a program of research on fluoride safety. However, after almost 30 years of using fluorosilicic acid and sodium fluorosilicate to fluoridate the drinking water, not one study has been commissioned.

The fluoride ion only hypothetically exists as an entity in an ideal solution of purified water - and tap water is far from pure H₂O. All clinical research with animal models is done using 99.97% pure sodium fluoride and double distilled or deionized water. Among the thousands of clinical studies about fluoride, not one has been done with the pollution concentrate or typical tap water containing fluorides.

Synergy Soup

The fluorosilicic acid is also contaminated with small traces of arsenic, cadmium, mercury, lead, sulfates, iron and phosphorous, not to mention radionuclides. Some contaminants have the potential to react with the hexafluorosilicate radical and may act as complex ionic compounds. The biological fates and toxicokinetic properties of these complex ions are unknown.

The reality of artificial water fluoridation is so complex that determining the safety of the practice may be impossible. Tap water is chemically treated with chlorine, soluble silicates, phosphate polymers and many other chemicals. In addition, the source water itself may contain a variety of contaminants.

The addition of a fluoridation agent can create synergized toxicants in a water supply that have unique toxico-kinetic properties found only in that particular water supply. Consequently, any maladies resulting from chronic ingestion of the product likely would be dismissed as a local or regional anomaly unrelated

to water fluoridation.

Technically, artificially fluoridating drinking water is a violation of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA). Under statutes of the SDWA, federal agencies are forbidden from endorsing, supporting, requiring or funding the practice of adding any chemicals to the water supply other than for purposes of water purification. However, the Public Health Service (PHS) applies semantics to circumvent Federal law in order to promote and fund the practice.

PHS states that they only recommend levels of fluorides in the drinking water, and it is the sole decision of a state or community to fluoridate drinking water.

Federal agencies are forbidden from directly funding or implementing water fluoridation but Federal Block Grants are given to States to use as they see fit. Through second and third parties (such as the American Dental Association, state health departments and state fluoridation coordinators), PHS encourages communities to apply for Federal Block Grant funds to implement fluoridation.

The legality of using of Federal Block Grant funds to fund water fluoridation, a practice prohibited by Federal law, has never been addressed in the courts.

Vendors selling the pollution concentrate as a fluoridation agent use a broad disclaimer found on the Material Data Safety Sheet that states: "no responsibility can be assumed by vendor for any damage or injury resulting from abnormal use, from any failure to adhere to recommended practices, or from any hazards inherent to the product." [Emphasis added.]

The next time you turn on the tap and water gushes out into a glass, reflect on the following disclaimer from the EPA's 1997 Fluoride: Regulatory Fact Sheet: "In the United States, there are no Federal safety standards which are applicable to additives, including those for use in fluoridating drinking water."

George Glasser is a Florida-based writer whose work has appeared in Newlife, Whole Life Times, the Sarasota ECO Report and the Tampa Tribune.

Fair Use Statement

<http://www.purewatergazette.net/fluorideandphosphate.htm>

ADA POLITICAL SPENDING HITS ALL-TIME HIGH

July 6, 2012 -- The ADA and its state chapters have become major political players by spending \$39 million since 1999 **TO INFLUENCE U.S. LAWMAKERS AND LEGISLATION**, according to the National Institute on Money in State Politics, a nonpartisan campaign-research group.

Among other things, the lobbying efforts aided the ADA's successful fight to twice defeat a component of the healthcare reform law that would have funded midlevel provider (MLP) demonstration projects.

The spike in ADA spending on lobbying efforts took a noticeable jump in 2008 when healthcare reform became a major topic of discussion in the presidential primary campaigns, according to the nonpartisan Center for Responsive Politics (CRP). From 1998 to 2007, the ADA never spent more than \$500,000 annually on lobbying.

In fact, the ADA's donations to candidates have earned it a ranking of 48 on a list of 100 Top All-Time Donors from 1989 to 2012, according to the CRP.

This year the ADA and its 157,000 members are on track to again dole out millions in federal and state contributions. The ADA and its affiliates have already spent nearly \$2 million in campaign contributions, according to the National Institute on Money in State Politics. Most of the money went to Republican candidates (61%), according to the CRP.

Mary Jennings, DDS, editor of the *Washington State Dental Association News*, described the rationale for ADA lobbying in a recent opinion piece. "Advocacy is how we keep well-intentioned fools from doing stupid things to, and with, our profession," she wrote. "We have our 'Dogs of War'-- our grassroots advocates. I am one and you should be, too."

To help advocacy efforts on the state level, in 2005 the ADA formed the State Public Affairs Program in 2005; last fall, the ADA's House of Delegates approved \$3.5 million in funding for the program, according to Dr. Jennings.

Largest single healthcare PAC

The ADA's political spending has even caught the attention of the mainstream media. On June 22, the *Wall Street Journal* (*WSJ*) ran a story and related video describing how the dental community has become a major political player in the U.S., primarily through the ADA's political action committee (ADPAC).

ADPAC was the largest single healthcare PAC in 2008, outspending even the American Medical Association, according to the CRP. The money was used in part to protect dentists' turf and eliminate competition on issues ranging from MLPs to keeping teeth whitening under their sole purview, according to the *WSJ*.

But in a statement released June 25 in response to the *WSJ* article, the ADA noted that it has long maintained an office in Washington, DC, "to better advocate on behalf of the nation's oral health and the dental profession's ability to serve the public."

With the support of professional staff, ADA volunteer leaders work to ensure that Congress and the federal agencies understand the importance of oral health to overall health and well-being,

and that the laws and rules they make and enforce help to improve the nation's oral health, the ADA noted.

"By contributing to ADPAC, ADA members work to elect and re-elect candidates who share the association's goal of breaking down the barriers that impede too many Americans from attaining good oral health," the ADA added.

According to the association, its lobbying and campaign activity helps support the following:

- Safety net programs to help underserved people get the care they need
- **Prevention measures such as community water fluoridation, oral health education and dental sealant programs**
- The National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research and other oral health research entities
- The military dental infrastructure that provides care to millions of service members and their families
- The dental components of the U.S. Public Health Service, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and the Indian Health Service
- Law- and rule-making that prevents unnecessary increases in the cost of dental care

<http://www.dr.bicuspid.com/index.aspx?sec=sup&sub=pmt&pag=dis&ItemID=310957&wf=1245>

Fluoride compounds - 3 of the 6 worst air pollutants

http://home.vicnet.net.au/~fluoride/2009/AFN_2009NI_JanMar_AquaPura.pdf

FLUORIDE-GATE cover-up

http://www.juneauempire.com/stories/011508/opi_20080115024.shtml

Dennis Stevenson is a former Parliamentarian and Member of the ACT Legislative Assembly 'Fluoridation Inquiry' (1989-91). The majority of inquiry members would not report the scientific, medical, dental and court evidence received in worldwide submissions proving that fluoridation causes disease, deaths, tooth decay and is useless and environmentally destructive. Dennis put this evidence in a 177 page Dissenting Report, part of this major government report, but longer than the 131 page section which attempted to suppress the evidence. <http://www.americanchronicle.com/articles/33574>

CORRUPTION & CONFLICTS OF INTERESTS <http://afgen.com/fluoridation.html>

Fluoridated Toothpaste:-

All S5 POISON LABELS on fluoride toothpaste were removed, not for your health reason, no, it was to help commercial SALES that superceded the health and rightful Government protection of Australian people.

Full document here:

http://home.vicnet.net.au/~fluoride/2005/scientific_foibles_of_fluoride.htm

It is appalling how any human being would willingly wish to consume these dangerously corrosive and cumulative hazardous waste pollutants and also even more appalling and brings into serious question, the integrity and agenda of any Government inflicting this on an entire population, particularly on a gullible and trusting population.

Raw Fluoridation Chemical Analyses - Freedom of Information - South Australia Water Corp.

Raw fluoridation chemical analyses of South Australia's drinking water, listed below. This data has been scanned from original documents provided to Sapphire Eyes Productions by Dr. Andrew Harms & Ann Bressington. These documents show the toxic, heavy metal contaminants contained in the chemicals used to fluoridate your drinking water. These include lead, arsenic, mercury, uranium & more. 'FIRE WATER' FILM SOURCE: <http://tiny.cc/9oj4g>

Source: <http://sapphireeyesproductions.blogspot.com/>

ROYAL SOCIETY'S CHIEF CALLED TO RESIGN OVER FLUORIDATION REVIEW DEBACLE <http://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/GE1210/S00084/royal-societys-chief-called-to-resign-over-fluoridation.htm>

DENTAL DISEASE INCREASES 6-FOLD BY FLUORIDATION <http://www.i-sis.org.uk/NotoFluoridation.php>

FLUORIDATION & HIP FRACTURES http://www.nofluoride.com/Hip_fractures_Lee.cfm

Truth on "water fluoridation" & phosphate mining http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LEZl5m-D_n8&feature=share

REVOLVING DOOR INDUSTRIES & GOVERNMENT <http://rense.com/general45/bll.htm>

The fertilizer, aluminium & steel industries produce massive quantities of fluoride that used to be industrial waste. To save large amounts of money, they came up with the idea of dumping this industrial waste into drinking water. Now, instead of having to pay to have the fluoride waste hauled away, they are paid to poison us. <http://www.just-think-it.com/no-f.htm>

In my position as Alderman, it is my duty to promote the health, safety and welfare of all our residents. Adding fluoride chemicals into our public water supply runs counter to this and therefore needs to end." <http://www.endfluoridemilwaukee.blogspot.com/>

Water fluoridation is not safe and not effective (as the dental crises in all long time fluoridated cities will attest). The population have been lab rats for decades and this must be stopped

urgently, permanently and irrevocably for all time for the protection of the long term health and safety of the population and our environment. The drinking water supplies of the population are not hazardous waste disposal areas nor are the populations' kidneys meant to be hazardous waste disposal/filtration units. It must be stopped immediately, permanently and irrevocably for all time.

HUMAN TOXICITY, ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT & LEGAL IMPLICATIONS OF WATER FLUORIDATION here <http://www.enviro.ie/risk.html>

FLUORIDE CAUSES CANCER – Dr John

Yiamouyiannis <http://www.youtube.com/watch?NR=1&feature=endscreen&v=SYxLRtudyM4> "Our studies show that cancers of the gastrointestinal tract, kidney, bladder, breast and ovaries are those primarily associated with fluoride intake". John Yiamouyiannis PhD, Cancer Control Journal, Vol. 5, no's 1 + 2, p. 75.

FLUORIDE CAUSES CANCER - Dr. Dean Burk - Former Chief of Cytochemistry at National Cancer Institute for 30 years <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ClqK7Xvflg0>

FLUORIDE'S LINK TO CANCER - DR. DAVID

KENNEDY <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=um4baHtxsR4>

FLUORIDE AND CANCER <http://www.fluoridealert.org/health/cancer/index.aspx>

FLUORIDE HEALTH EFFECTS DATABASE <http://www.fluoridealert.org/health/>

FLUORIDE & CANCER <http://slweb.org/bibliography.html> click on CANCER link <http://www.slweb.org/bibliography.html#cancer>

IRELAND – FLUORIDE IS CAUSING YOU HIGHER INCIDENCES OF PANCREATIC AND PROSTATE CANCER & MORE CASES OF LEUKAEMIA. Source: <http://www.oneworldchronicle.com/?p=5402>

BRAIN DEAD IF YOU STILL THINK 'WATER FLUORIDATION' IS A GOOD IDEA http://www.g-tigerclaw.com/Fluoride/fluoride_strategy.htm

FIREWATER FILM www.firewaterfilm.com

An Educational Website about the dangers of dental mercury, mercury free dentist practices, and fluoride fraud. <http://www.dentalconfessions.com/>

Submitted by: Diane Drayton Buckland Independent Chemical Researcher/Activist

Thursday 8 November, 2012