STRENGTHENING COMMUNITY SAFETY BILL 2023

Submission No:	59
Submitted by:	Queensland Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Child Protection
Publication:	Pe

Attachments:

Submitter Comments:



Queensland Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Child Protection Peak Limited

Submission: Strengthening Community Safety Bill 2023

February 2023



Table of Contents

Factors driving the criminalisation of our young people Our responses to Strengthening Community Safety Bill Other proposed changes under Stronger Laws reforms Our solutions Our Recommendations Contact details	Response to Strengthening Community Safety Bill 2023		3
Factors driving the criminalisation of our young peopleOur responses to Strengthening Community Safety BillOther proposed changes under Stronger Laws reformsOur solutionsOur RecommendationsContact details	Who we are		3
Our responses to Strengthening Community Safety Bill Other proposed changes under Stronger Laws reforms Our solutions Our Recommendations Contact details	Our commitment		3
Other proposed changes under Stronger Laws reforms 1 Our solutions 1 Our Recommendations 1 Contact details 2	Factors driving the criminalisation of our young people		3
Our solutions1Our Recommendations1Contact details2	Our responses to Strengthening Community Safety Bill		5
Our Recommendations 1 Contact details 2	Other proposed changes under Stronger Laws reforms		12
Contact details 2	Our solutions		13
	Our Recommendations	:	19
References 2	Contact details	:	20
	References	2	21





Response to Strengthening Community Safety Bill 2023

Who we are

The Queensland Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Child Protection Peak (QATSICPP) welcomes the opportunity to provide a response to the Strengthening Community Safety Bill (the Bill) on behalf of our members. Our membership includes 35 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Community-Controlled Organisations across Queensland. At the community level, our members provide services, advice and support relating to the safety and wellbeing of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children, young people and families. Our members are committed to our overarching purpose and vision that all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children and young people are physically, emotionally and spiritually strong; live in safe, caring and nurturing environments within their own families and communities; and are afforded the same life opportunities available to other children and young people to achieve their full potential.

Our commitment

We welcome working with the Queensland Government and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander leaders to strengthen youth justice responses that address underlying causes of offending and embed evidence-based, trauma informed and culturally safe responses. We are one of many Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander representative groups (inclusive of our legal, health, housing and education partners) that are ready and willing to co-create transformational change that empowers us to address the complex issues facing our families and impacting on the wellbeing and safety of the broader community.

To achieve this the Queensland Government and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander leadership need to come together to reform Queensland's youth justice system. The government has already made commitments, through the National Agreement on Closing the Gap (Priority Reform One: Formal partnerships and shared decision making) to transform their relationships with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities. In practical terms, this commitment means upholding and enacting the principle of self-determination and investing in First Nations solutions. Where governments have shown a genuine commitment to shared decision making and investment in First Nations communities, there is clear evidence that change is possible and progress can be made (for example, the impact of the Maranguka Justice Reinvestment Project in Bourke).¹

We want to strengthen responses to our young people and have governments recognise that trauma-based behaviours can lead to impulsive and unsafe responses impacting community safety. If the Queensland Government proceeds with the amendments detailing in the Strengthening Community Safety Bill 2023 (the Bill), along with the other measures outlined in the '*Tough laws made even tougher*' media statement released on 29th December 2022, without consideration of trauma-informed, culturally safe and evidence-based responses that take into account neurological science, we know that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children, families and communities will be significantly and disproportionately impacted in a negative way.

Factors driving the criminalisation of our young people

We know that the solution for addressing young people coming into the criminal justice system isn't to build a bigger system, it is to look further upstream and eliminate the need for increased punitive responses.

As outlined in the final report of the Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody (Vol. 1, p. 1.7.1):

QATSICPP SUBMISSION: STRENGTHENING COMMUNITY SAFETY BILL 2023

1 KPMG, 2018

3



"The more fundamental causes of over-representation of Aboriginal people in custody are not to be found in the criminal justice system but those factors which bring Aboriginal people into conflict with the criminal justice system in the first place ... [and] the most significant contributing factor is the **disadvantaged and unequal position** in which Aboriginal people find themselves in society - **socially, economically, and culturally**."²

Twenty years on from this report, the House of Representatives Standing Committee on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Affairs published the report *Doing Time – Time for Doing* which also concluded that the impact of intergenerational trauma, poverty and social and economic disadvantage was leading our children and young people into contact with the criminal justice system.³ These impacts are felt and experienced in the present by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children in a variety of ways, including;

- being impacted by intergenerational trauma, which has been proven to affect their neurological, psychological and even physical development.⁴
- high rates of exposure to domestic violence, sexual abuse and neglect.⁵
- high rates of intellectual disability and cognitive impairment, which research links to being more likely to have police contact, be charged, be imprisoned and receive longer sentences.⁶ A recent study with a group of young people in Western Australia's youth justice system found 89 percent had at least one domain of severe neurodevelopmental impairment, and 36 percent were diagnosed with Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder (FASD).⁷
- frequent out-of-home care placements which disrupts or prevents access to treatment and support, resulting in placement breakdown and homelessness (and consequently extended periods of time in youth detention)⁸. The latest data available from the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare suggests that nationally almost 30 percent of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people in detention had been in out-of-home care in the five years prior.⁹
- a high prevalence of diagnosable trauma related mental illness.¹⁰
- high rates of substance misuse with research suggesting drug use and crime can both develop in response to a range of other factors such as poverty, trauma, mental health issues and a lack of engagement with education and employment.¹¹

To heal trauma and overcome such significant challenges requires culturally safe, trauma informed, intensive and often ongoing support.¹² Yet recent inquiries in Queensland and Victoria found a significant lack of effective prevention, intervention and individualised support to meet the specific needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children and young people in terms of diversionary practices. The Healing Foundation found that across Australia there was *"little evidence of criminal justice diversions that recognise and seek to address the trauma associated with the behaviours that lead to Indigenous incarceration and a continued reliance on incarceration as a response"* (p.12)¹³

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander leadership has been calling for change to this system's approach for decades, but despite this advocacy little has shifted. The last three Family Matters report cards have shown no substantive increase in early intervention funds for family support and child wellbeing¹⁴.

9 AIHW, 2022

13 Healing Foundation, 2016

² Royal Commission on Aboriginal Deaths in Custody, 1991a

³ House of Representatives Standing Committee on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Affairs, 2011

⁴ van der Kolk, 2007

⁵ Atkinson, 2018; QFCC, 2022

⁶ Victorian Legal Aid 2011 in Baldry et al 2015

⁷ Bower et al, 2018

^a AIHW 2020; Sentencing Advisory Council, 2020

¹⁰ NT Commission, Healing Foundation, 2013; NSW Law Reform Commission, 2010

¹¹ Goldstein, 1985 as cited in Forsythe & Adams, 2009

¹² House of Representatives Standing Committee on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Affairs, 2011; Higgins & Davis, 2014; Baldry et al, 2015

¹⁴ SNAICC, 2022



In Queensland our children and young people are provided youth services primarily by non-Indigenous organisations, with a small number of community run Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander services providing support in the youth services sector. This is despite repeated calls from First Nations leadership for increased resourcing to see children and young people better supported. The rapidly increasing over-representation of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children aged 10-13 in detention in Queensland is one of the consequences of this limited investment in our children and young people.¹⁵

The 2021 amendments to the *Youth Justice Act 1992* (Qld), which QATSICPP and other Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander organisations opposed, led to a significant increase in the numbers of children and young people in detention on remand¹⁶. So much so, that in the review of the Youth Justice reforms published in March 2022, Bob Atkinson concluded that there had been low uptake of GPS monitoring technology in part because the *'Show Cause'* reforms meant that of the pool of young people the technology could apply to, many were on remand in detention instead of in the community.

Given that children on remand in detention do not have access to the same level of restorative and rehabilitative services as sentenced children, and the lack of evidence about the effectiveness of such services to reduce reoffending of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children, QATSICPP is deeply concerned that the 'Show Cause' amendments are doing very little to address children's' offending behaviour in the medium to long term, and in fact may be compounding their offending behaviour.

The expiration of the *Youth Justice Strategy 2019-2023* and subsequent development of a new strategy provides an opportunity for the Queensland Government to make a genuine commitment to partner with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples to develop and deliver trauma-informed and culturally safe responses to youth justice at a systemic level.

Our responses to Strengthening Community Safety Bill

There is a cohort of young people in Queensland who are behaving in a way that poses a threat to community safety. The community is rightly concerned and is seeking answers to how levels of offending can be reduced. Extensive research about the efficacy of approaches to youth offending, including scientific knowledge about brain development, provides compelling evidence that many of the Bill's proposed reforms will not meet the stated goal of increasing community safety.

Neurological science suggests that the frontal lobes of the brain, responsible for executive functions such as decision making, impulse control and emotional processing, are some of the last parts of the brain to develop, not reaching full maturity until a person reaches their mid-20s. This underdeveloped executive functioning is linked with high-risk behaviours and a lack of emotional regulation, which are key drivers for contact with the criminal justice system.¹⁷ This maturation of executive function is often more complex for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children, who are disproportionately impacted by intergenerational trauma and neurological conditions such as FASD.¹⁸ Research has found that trauma can impede children's healthy brain development, further impacting on their ability to self-regulate, and therefore heightening the likelihood and degree of their risky behaviours.¹⁹

The evidence and neuroscience surrounding child and adolescent development highlight the ineffectiveness of punitive punishments as a deterrent to youth offending and highlight the need for a different response. The Queensland Government has not incorporated this evidence into a whole of system response and instead has relied on increased punitive measures that have adversely impacted on First Nations young people. Most concerningly the proposed measures in the Bill are not only highly punitive and they breach children and young people's human rights. In the 'Statement Compatibility' accompanying the Bill the Queensland Government has

¹⁵ ROGS, 2023

¹⁶ Atkinson, 2022

¹⁷ McEwan, 2017; Prior et al, 2011; McGrath & Weatherburn, 2012

¹⁸ Menzies, 2019; Bower et al, 2018

¹⁹ Atkinson, 2013; Menzies, 2019



acknowledged that a range of the new measures, such as making breach of bail an offence, will make it more likely that children will be put detention (often on remand) and that this is incompatible with the right of children to protection in their best interests as per section 26(2) of the *Queensland Human Rights Act 2019* (Qld)²⁰. It is incomprehensible to us that the Queensland Government is introducing reforms which are, in its own words, *"inconsistent with international standards about the best interests of the child."*²¹

The Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Legal Service (ATSILS) analysis of youth justice data in 2022 identifies that at every decision-making point in the youth justice process, (charging and arrest, decision to charge a nearby child as a co-offender, consideration of diversionary options, consideration of police bail or exercises of power, consideration of court bail, length of time on remand waiting for police disclosure or completion of the brief), a decision maker will exercise their discretion that results in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children and young people being disproportionately disadvantaged at each stage, especially those aged 10 to 13 years old.²²

The Queensland Treasury (2022) Crime Report, Queensland 2020–21, provides evidence on the inconsistent response from the Queensland Police Service (QPS); when comparing data across the number of arrests, cautions and community conferencing orders of First Nations young people compared to non-Indigenous young people. The number of arrests represented **69.4 percent** of responses to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children and young people, but only 46.4 percent of responses to non-Indigenous children and young people.²³ The table below provides further detail about different QPS responses to children and young people aged 10-17.

Option	First Nations	Non-Indigenous
Arrest	10,911 occasions	8,423 occasions
	69.4 percent	46.4 percent
Caution	4,215 occasions	8,732 occasions
	26.8 percent	48.1 percent
Community	577 occasions	989 occasions
conference	3.6 percent	5.4 percent

Table 1: Comparison across three response types from QPS to children and young people aged 10-17

Source: https://www.ggso.gld.gov.au/issues/7856/crime-report-gld-2020-21.pdf

QATSICPP and our members tacitly understand that First Nations children, young people and families need far more comprehensive preventative and diversionary support earlier in life to prevent them becoming serious repeat offenders. Yet this Bill contains a range of new measures which effectively reduce the capacity of police and the courts to divert children and young people from the courts and detention and increase contact with the statutory system. This includes those amendments relating to conditional release orders, contravention of bail conditions and the new serious repeat offender classification.

In 2021-2022 the Queensland government spent \$218.6 million on youth detention and \$120.2 million on community supervision youth justice orders.²⁴ This far outweighs the investment in restorative justice and alternative diversion processes for the same period (\$7 million) ²⁵. A lack of publicly available data means it is not possible to state what proportion of Queensland government funding is put towards early intervention in youth justice. There is a need for significantly increased transparency to enable the community to meaningfully engage in discussions about how resources invested in preventing and responding to youth offending are allocated.



²⁰ Human Rights Act, 2019 (Qld)

²¹ Queensland Government, 2023 (p.2) ²² ATSILS, unpublished

²³ Queensland Treasury, 2022

²⁴ ROGS, 2022

²⁵ bid



The recent "tough on crime" measures have not been accompanied by a commitment for any detailed additional funding for diversionary responses across the youth justice continuum or detailed any investment in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander community led responses.

Serious repeat offenders need a more nuanced response than extended periods of detention and need considerably more support than they are currently receiving if we are to reduce the rate of recidivism. Whether they are in detention or in the community, serious young offenders need a restorative approach, characterised by trauma informed and culturally safe healing responses²⁶.

We are very concerned that the proposed amendments to the Bill were announced without consultation with First Nations leaders, including the Queensland First Children and Families Board, particularly given the high rate of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children, young people, and families in contact with the youth justice system. ,This seems directly at odds with the Queensland Government's intention to cultivate a new relationship with First Nations peoples as part of the Path to Treaty and its commitments to the National Agreement on Closing the Gap.²⁷

Where relevant, we have provided specific responses to aspects of the Bill below:

1. Increasing the maximum penalty for stealing a car from 7 years to 10 years' imprisonment

2. A more severe penalty of 14 years if the offence is committed at night, where the offender uses violence or threatens violence, is armed or pretends to be armed, is in company or damages or threatens to damage any property

3. Increased penalties for criminals who have boasted about these crimes on social media

4. Ensure certain child offenders serve their suspended term of detention if they breach their conditional release orders

We oppose these new responses as they unfairly/incorrectly treat children the same as adults, contain no restorative or rehabilitative justice elements and will mean First Nations children spending longer in non-therapeutic detention facilities.

The Bill's amendments to increase the maximum penalty for theft of a motor vehicle in certain circumstances to 14 years is extreme and not commensurate with maximum penalties for a variety of adult offences. For example, under the Queensland criminal code:

- The maximum penalty (if there are no aggravating circumstances) for possessing or distributing child exploitation material is 14 years.
- The maximum penalty for grievous bodily harm is 14 years.
- The maximum penalty for torture is 14 years.
- The maximum penalty (if there are no aggravating circumstances) for repeated high range drink driving carries a maximum penalty of four years imprisonment and/or 100 penalty units.
- An attempt to commit rape or other forms of sex without the other party's consent incurs a maximum punishment of 14 years imprisonment.²⁸

These proposed changes will almost certainly lead to further increases in over-representation of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children and young people in the statutory system. Research from Australia and overseas

²⁶ QATSICPP Youth Justice evidence review

²⁷ State of Queensland, 2022

²⁸ Criminal Code Act 1899 (Qld).



indicates that detention from a young age is likely to entrench children and young people further into the criminal justice system.²⁹ An evidence review conducted by the US based *The Sentencing Project* found that:

"New research also makes increasingly clear that young people's ability to desist from delinquent behavior is tied to their progress in developing "psychosocial maturity," including the abilities to control impulses, delay gratification, weigh the consequences of their actions, consider other people's perspectives, and resist peer pressure. Research finds that incarceration slows young people's psychological maturation – exactly the opposite of what's needed to foster positive behavior changes and promote desistance from delinquency."³⁰ (p.5

Furthermore, these proposed measures seem to be distinctively out of alignment with the Queensland Government's *Working Together Changing the Story: Youth Justice Strategy 2019-23*, where the key pillars are all about keeping kids out of custody and repeat youth offenders receiving *"responses, punishments and support that work to stop re-offending and enable successful reintegration with their families, culture and communities."*³¹

Our review of relevant research informs us that these measures will result in increased time in detention and will not reduce offending or recidivism rates, and they will not assist children and young people to reintegrate with their family, culture and community.³²

Changes to youth justice laws that focuses on detention as the primary solution to offending behaviour fail to understand child and adolescent brain development, as well as how trauma affects the brain, in that children are unlikely to take into consideration more severe penalties as part of their decision making about offending behaviour. There is considerable research to suggest the level of punishment applied in sentencing children and young people has had minimal influence on subsequent behaviour.³³

QATSICPP is deeply concerned about the unsuitability of Queensland's current detention centres for First Nations children and the potential harm these facilities pose to our youth. Recent media stories revealed a surge in prison numbers and chronic staff shortages at detention centres resulted in children being locked in their cells for extended periods and others held in adult watch houses for weeks. An Aboriginal boy spent almost 24 hours in solitary confinement on Christmas Eve 2022 because of staff shortages at a Queensland youth detention centre.³⁴ In another case, a 15-year-old Indigenous boy with an intellectual disability was only recently granted bail after he spent 15 nights locked in the Mount Isa watch house.³⁵

These stories and a range of others that have come to light over the past five years³⁶ continue to occur against a backdrop of considerable research that indicates that in detention, young people with trauma histories are at significant risk of this trauma compounding further, which has the potential to increase their distress and in turn their complex behaviours.³⁷ Twenty-two years on from the *Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody*, the findings regarding suicide and other health risks arising from long periods in detention provide significant insight into the negative outcomes that detention creates for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander young people. This ground-breaking report provided significant recommendations regarding the use of police watch houses for juveniles:

"Except in exceptional circumstances, juveniles should not be detained in police lockups. In order to avoid such an outcome in places where alternative juvenile detention facilities do not exist, the following administrative and, where necessary, legislative steps should be taken:

²⁹ The Sentencing Project, 2022, Hommel et al, 2015

³⁰ The Sentencing Project, 2022

³¹ Queensland Government, 2019

³² QATSICPP, 2022.

³³ Huizinga, Schumann, Ehret and Elliott, 2004; McGrath & Weatherburn, 2012

³⁴ Lynch, 2023

³⁵ Ibid

³⁶ABC News, 2021; Queensland Ombudsman, 2019

³⁷ Royal Commission into the Protection and Detention of Children in the Northern Territory, 2017; Baldry et al, 2015



- a. Police officers in charge of lockups should be instructed that consideration of bail in such cases be expedited as a matter of urgency;
- b. If the juvenile is not released as a result of a grant of bail by a police officer or Justice of the Peace then the question of bail should be immediately referred (telephone referral being permitted) to a magistrate, clerk of Court or such other person as shall be given appropriate jurisdiction so that bail can be reconsidered.
- c. Government should approve informal juvenile holding homes, particularly the homes of Aboriginal people, in which juveniles can lawfully be placed by police officers if bail is in fact not allowed; and
- *d.* If in the event a juvenile is detained overnight in a police lock-up every effort should be made to arrange for a parent or visitor to attend and remain with the juvenile whether pursuant to the terms of a formal cell visitor scheme or otherwise (Vol 5. 242)³⁸

Twenty years on, this recommendation is still not fully implemented. Instead, the Queensland criminal justice system continues to systemically breach children and young people's human rights, through detaining children in police watch houses and inadequate detention facilities.³⁹ This is extremely concerning given the short and long-term mental health impacts for children and young people experiencing this detention including significantly increasing their risk of self-harm and harm to others.

QATSICPP is also concerned that there has been no systematic review of effectiveness of programs that First Nations children and young people take part in whilst in detention in Queensland. In his report on the Queensland youth justice system, Commissioner Bob Atkinson found no mandatory training for the youth detention centre workforce on cultural competency or trauma informed approaches.⁴⁰

This lack of cultural competence is not acceptable given that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children aged 10 to 13 years represent 83 percent of all children in the same age range in detention or on a community supervision order in Queensland.⁴¹ Given current resourcing pressures experienced in youth detention facilities, QATSICPP is concerned about the capacity and capability to adequately resource new facilities, and of the fundamental human rights of children and young people entering these facilities.

It is QATSICPP's view that the current model for Queensland youth detention centres do not meet young people's rehabilitative needs. This includes a lack of adequate assessment and treatment for any intellectual disability or mental health concerns. Children and young people on remand are significantly disadvantaged as they are unable to participate in a range of programs that may be beneficial to improving their long term prospects of staying out of the criminal justice system.

Recently released Productivity Commission data reveals 56 percent of Queensland juveniles aged 10-17 who were sentenced either to detention, probation, bail or parole were back before a courtroom within a year. This percentage is higher than any other state and territory in Australia. This data, which most likely reflects underreporting, draws stark attention to the fact that the status quo is not addressing serious repeat offending and highlights both the need for more effective responses to divert children and young people from the youth justice system, as well as a new model for youth detention in Queensland.

These proposed reforms divert energy and attention away from more effective responses to youth offending, such as invest in healing intergenerational trauma and enable our children to have culturally safe healing programs to seek help from. In his review, Commissioner Atkinson found evidence to support cultural healing approaches from both Australia and Canada.⁴² Atkinson's report recommended cultural healing approaches be explored in conjunction with On Country programs as an alternative response for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children at a variety of points in the system, including for children sentenced to detention.

³⁸ Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody, 1991b

³⁹ ABC News, 2022; Amnesty International, 2021

⁴⁰ Atkinson, 2018

⁴¹ ROGS, 2023

⁴² Atkinson, 2018



5. Expanding the scope of prescribed indictable offences to provide that the presumption against bail applies to being a passenger in a vehicle the subject of an unlawful use offence and also to the offence of entering a premises with the intent to commit an indictable offence.

This is a concerning proposal that if passed, would widen the net cast by the punitive measures outlined elsewhere in the Bill to a range of our children and young people currently at the fringes of further entrenchment in the youth justice system. A range of criminologists have outlined how these new proposals are highly likely to lead to significant increases in both new and recidivist offending in our community and the numbers of children and young people in detention.⁴³

6. Provide that it is an offence for children to breach a condition of their bail undertaking.

The 'Statement of Compatibility' accompanying the Bill acknowledges the risk that this amendment will see more children and young people detained on remand.

Concerningly, the 'Statement of Compatibility' states that while the proposed amendment's intent is to ensure young people comply with their bail conditions, "because it appears that less restrictive options are available to achieve the same purpose, the proposal limits human rights in a way which is not justified."⁴⁴ One such 'less restrictive' option operating previously was the Supervised Community Accommodation (SCAs) program. The SCA program provided supervised bail accommodation, with the goal of reducing the rate at which young people are remanded in custody whilst providing them support to address the underlying causes of their offending⁴⁵. An independent evaluation of the SCA recommended the discontinuation of the program and repurposing its funding to alternative measures – QATSICPP is not aware of this occurring and the failure to implement any meaningful alternative approach is severely disadvantaging First Nations children and young people from being able to be bailed to places of safety. In addition to limiting children and young people's rights, there is a significant risk it will discourage courts from sentencing options which address the underlying causes of offending. According to the Youth Advocacy Centre, who have worked with young people involved with youth justice for over 40 years:

"The courts currently impose quite prescriptive conditions on young people designed to address the causes of their offending, such as curfews, having to attend to substance abuse issues, engage with counsellors and other such measures."These measures are quite easily breached, and to avoid making such a breach a criminal offence, there is likely to be reluctance in the courts to apply such conditions, resulting in the root causes going unaddressed, which continues the cycle of reoffending. The community is no safer."⁴⁶

This measure risks entrenching more of our children and young people in the criminal justice system and structurally removing them from their families and communities, creating disconnection from their culture and identity.

Our collective experiences, supported by research and evidence demonstrates that higher imprisonment rates only serve to reinforce dysfunction and disempowerment, perpetuating the cycle of offending and imprisonment. The longer high incarceration rates persist, the further entrenched the norms that cause offending become and the more difficult and expensive they will be to address. The Queensland Law Society highlighted recently the potential for making breach of bail an offence to lead to a substantial increase in the financial cost of remand and recidivist offending.⁴⁷

Data from the 2021-2022 Children's Court of Queensland Annual Report shows the trajectories created by punitive sentencing regimes such as the Show Cause change introduced in 2021, highlighting an increase in the numbers of children and young people reoffending after spending time in detention. The same report also

46 Guardian Australia, 2023b 47 Ibid

⁴³ Guardian Australia, 2023a

⁴⁴ State of Queensland, 2023, p.3

⁴⁵ Department of Youth Justice, 2020

^{*} Ibid



highlighted that 166 children and young people had spent between 8 and 14 days in a police watchhouse during the year.

The significant harm to our society's most vulnerable children and young people from staying in adult watchhouses are well documented. Amnesty's investigation into the Queensland youth justice system uncovered 2,655 breaches of domestic and international law, including keeping children in watch houses for illegal durations. When considering this Bill that will see the numbers of children and young people in watchhouses increase, we urge the Committee to consider the significant risks to the safety and wellbeing of children and young people.

7. Legislating of the role of multi-agency collaborative panels (MACPs)

QATSICPP suggests substantial revisions to the proposed enshrinement of multi-agency collaborative panels in the Youth Justice Act 1992 (Qld) in the Bill:

- The current framework for MACPs outlined the Bill does not enshrine a role for First Nations people to design and lead multi-agency responses to support young people break the cycle of offending, despite the significant overrepresentation of our children and young people in the youth justice system. This at odds with the United Nations Declaration of the Rights of Indigenous People (right of Indigenous families and communities to retain shared responsibility for their children) and the Queensland Government's commitments to self-determination.⁴⁸ Our people and organisations need to be determining how these MACPs work, need to be leading the process and need sufficient resourcing to do both.
- It is unclear in the amendments exactly how the MACPs will provide intensive case management, how the ability of different MACP members to provide such case management will be resourced and how panel members participation will be based on their expertise in relation to the causes of offending.

A key principle of the Youth Justice Act is to recognise the importance of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities, in the provision of services designed to rehabilitate and reintegrate children and young people who have committed offences. The current framework for MACPs as outlined in the Bill does not reflect such a principle and should be significantly amended to resource First Nations people with the legal authority and financial capacity to develop their own local mechanisms for responding to the needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children and young people in contact or at risk of contact with the youth justice system.

8. Provide that a child's bail history must be taken into account during sentencing

QATSICPP does not believe this amendment to the Act enhances the current provisions around sentencing. Currently the Youth Justice Act 1992 (Qld) requires the court to take into account the child's previous history of offending, with an aggravating factor including where the child has committed the offence while on bail or while the child was subject to a court order.⁴⁹

It is unclear whether the intent of this proposed change to the Act is to take into account a broader range of historical material so that sentences can be more severe, or if it is to ensure an expanded range of material is considered to help ensure sentencing that creates the best opportunities for rehabilitation, reintegration and healing.

QATSICPP is deeply concerned that changes that support in increase in the severity of sentencing will:

 result in a waste of government funding as resourcing is dedicated to detaining children instead of addressing the underlying causes of their offending behaviour;

⁴⁸ State of Queensland, 2022; United Nations, 2007

⁴⁹ Queensland Sentencing Council, 2021



- result in our children and young people spending longer periods of time in a youth detention system which evidence suggests has very limited effectiveness in achieving rehabilitation and reducing reoffending;⁵⁰
- disproportionately impact on Aboriginal and Torres children, young people and families, creating increased disconnection and compounding already existing high levels of trauma.

A comprehensive set of effective and appropriate early intervention programs for First Nations children and young people, as well as a youth detention model which is rehabilitative in focus is required to support best outcomes for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children and young people.

9. Create the ability of a sentencing court to declare that a child offender is a serious repeat offender in certain circumstances to enable considerations such as community safety to be paramount

This is a particularly concerning aspect of the Bill which seems to encourage courts to refer to a narrower set of principles than those defined under the Youth Justice Act (which include a focus on rehabilitation and detention as a last resort), when making decisions about sentencing of children and young people.

Whilst QATSICPP acknowledges that there are a small number of children and young people who need to be detained therapeutically for their and the community's safety, we are deeply concerned about how these proposed changes seem to condemn serious repeat offenders to extended periods in detention. The Bill asks the court to consider 'the extent of any disregard by the child in the commission of the offence for the interests of public safety' yet there is no focus on addressing the trauma which might lead to such a disregard in the first place; a link well documented in research.⁵¹

As an alternative to the proposed measures, QATSICPP calls on the Queensland government to review the principles of the Youth Justice Act more broadly, with a focus on supporting First Nations organisations and communities to develop responses that will enable young people deeply entrenched in the youth justice system to rehabilitate.

10. Extend and expand the trial of electronic monitoring as a condition of bail for a further two years and to include eligible 15-year-olds

It is QATSICPP's view that the proposed measures in the Bill regarding electronic monitoring of children and young people represents further breaches of their human rights and will fail to improve community safety and are largely redundant due to the 2021 '*Show Cause*' amendments to the Youth Justice Act.⁵² A meta-analysis of 18 studies found that electronic monitoring is shown to have little appreciative effect on recidivism rates.⁵³

Other proposed changes under Stronger Laws reforms

QATSICPP notes that the measures proposed in the Bill are part of a range of new 'Stronger Laws' changes announced by the Queensland Government in the last two months. In the context of the Bill we ask the Committee to consider the following responses to some of those announcements:

11. The construction of two new youth detention centres.

For reasons explained earlier in this submission we are opposed to the opening of two new detention centres and measures which increase the time children and young people spend in the current detention system. This proposal seems in direct contradiction with the Queensland government's commitment under the National

⁵⁰ QATSICPP,2022

⁵¹ Wyrick & Atkinson, 2021

⁵² Atkinson, 2022

⁵³ Belur et al, 2020



Agreement on Closing the Gap, which outlines a target to reduce the rate of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander young people (10-17 years old) in detention by 30 percent.

We call on the Queensland Government to work with QATSICPP and other Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander leaders and organisations to design and implement alternatives. Our recommendations are detailed below in the "Our Solutions" section of this submission.

12. A \$9.89 million fast-track sentencing program in Brisbane, Townsville, Southport and Cairns so children spend less time on remand and more time serving their sentences.

QATSICPP is cautiously supportive of this measure, given the majority of children and young people are currently in detention on remand, and our previously highlighted concerns about the impact detention is having on our children.

However, we are wary that 'fast tracking' should not result in rushed decision making, and lack of proper processes (including access to proper legal representation for our children and families), and therefore ultimately longer sentences for our children and young people. We are eager to see more detail on what resources this new program will be allocated and call for the Queensland Government to engage in a genuine partnership with First Nations communities and leaders to ensure this program is implemented in an effective and appropriate away for our children and young people.

We suggest a first step in reducing the amount of time children and young people are spending on remand is to conduct a thorough review of the systemic drivers for this issue, with a focus on achievable measures that could be implemented to expedite matters, particularly in cases where children and young people may have already served out potential sentences on remand or where charges are likely to be dropped. Reducing the numbers of children and young people on remand will be critical to reducing the numbers of children being detained in watch houses due to a lack of available space in youth detention centres.

13. Tackle the complex causes of youth crime: invest over \$100 million in additional funds into programs proven to make a difference.

QATSICPP is ready to partner with the Queensland Government to design this investment model. There is clear evidence that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people are well placed to design, develop and deliver approaches that we can make real and lasting change for our children and young people.

Our solutions

Critical areas of focus

It is QATSICPP's view that there are more effective solutions that improve community safety and that are less harmful to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children, young people, their families and communities. These alternative approaches involve enabling the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander community to lead youth justice interventions and create solutions, achieving better resourced and more effective ways of meeting our children's needs than through supervision and incarceration.

Grounding responses in culture

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples have been raising and nurturing their children in safe environments for over 60 thousand years, a tradition which survived to present day, despite the impacts of colonisation. At a recent forum involving QATSICPP member organisations working in the youth justice sector, one participant





talked about the need to ground youth justice responses in how Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities raise children into successful young adults:

"For our people, culturally when we took kids from young kids to young adults – we nurtured, we met their needs social, economic and spiritual needs, we educated them on how meet their own needs (both in terms of being independent economically and managing their relationships) and we disciplined, through lore and law –we do this through talking the kids through the consequences, it is not about dealing with the kids harshly."

This framing of responses to children and young people's behaviours in the context of their ongoing growth and development is well aligned with the principles of restorative and rehabilitative justice.

Restorative and Rehabilitative Justice

Restorative justice broadly refers to programs and approaches which aim to achieve reparation, resolve conflict and heal harms caused by crime through a collective process bringing together different people involved with the offence.⁵⁴ Restorative justice programs in Australia commonly involve victim–offender mediation, conferencing, and circle sentencing. Both Australian and international evidence has shown restorative justice can produce significant benefits to victims, offenders and their communities, through improved experiences of the justice system and reduced re-offending.⁵⁵

One example of successful restorative justice program is that of lwi Panels in New Zealand. Iwi panels use a restorative justice process integrated with Māori cultural practices and are focused on diverting people from further entrenchment in the court system. The program is widely supported by Māori leaders across New Zealand and involves a panel of community members, an offender, victim and their family to discuss the offence committed, address harm caused and develop a plan that addresses factors related to the offending and help get the young person on a more positive life trajectory. A more widely used program in youth justice in New Zealand is Family Group conferencing, which incorporates Māori traditions of extended family-centered meetings into the western justice system and has been widely used by the police and courts as a diversionary measure. Evaluations of both programs show both have had a positive impact on the intensity and frequency of reoffending. ⁵⁶

Research about the use of restorative justice conferencing in Queensland found that whilst it had a positive impact on re-offending rates, it remained substantially underutilised, mainly due to the discretionary gatekeeping role of police and a lack of thorough and ongoing systemic implementation⁵⁷. QATSICPP recommends that further work be undertaken to make restorative justice conferencing a more culturally safe and accessible option for Aboriginal and Torres Strait children and young people.

It should be noted that restorative justice conferencing is just one avenue for restorative justice in our youth justice system and there remains significant unexplored potential in terms of how else it might be implemented in Queensland. The Ngunnawal Bush Healing Farm in ACT is an example of a restorative approach which could be utilised in responding to offending behaviour. The farm use a therapeutic community approach, traditional healing concepts, cultural programs and life skills training to tackle underlying social and emotional issues⁵⁸. Similarly, Aboriginal leader Keelen Mailman has long been advocating for rehabilitation facilities for Queensland's low-risk Indigenous criminal offenders.⁵⁹ A cost benefit analysis conducted by the Healing



⁵⁴ Shapland et al, 2011, Marshall, 1996

⁵⁵ Price et al, 2022; Department of Child Safety, Youth and Women, 2018

⁵⁶ Akroyd, 2016; Spier & Wilkson, 2016

⁵⁷ Price et al, 2022

⁵⁸ ACT Health, 2023

⁵⁹ Brisbane times, 2020



Foundation indicates that healing centres and therapeutic communities typically return, on average, a benefit to cost ratio (BCR) of over 4 to 1, primarily from reduced rates of incarceration and recidivism.⁶⁰

Another promising culturally relevant restorative justice initiative is the NSW Youth Koori Court, which is an alternative case management process for Aboriginal young people charged with a criminal offence. It has the same powers as the Children's Court but uses culturally based process to better engage Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people in the court process. Evaluations show the NSW Youth Koori Court has been effective in reducing the incarceration and intensity of re-offending amongst Aboriginal youth.⁶¹

QATSICPP calls on the Queensland Government to partner with First Nations leaders and communities around the state in refocusing our youth justice system (in practice as well as policy) towards a restorative justice approach.

Self-determination: Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander led responses

Our leaders, organisations and communities have been calling for years for more decision-making responsibility and more resources to address the challenges communities face, and to heal our people from the intergenerational trauma that they have experienced.

Evidence supports this approach, with research suggesting that youth justice services better suited to the needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people can be shaped through ensuring Indigenous communities, leaders and young people are given sufficient decision-making input on the development of legislation, policies and services.⁶² Research shows that the importance of local solutions for local problems, co-design or led by the community, cannot be overstated; Butcher et al⁶³ highlight the importance of community engagement in uncovering new learnings about how to meet the needs of Aboriginal rural young people who offend, as well as highlighting why programs based upon universal explanations of human behaviour and are divorced from context and environment are not effective in addressing the over-representation of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children and young people in youth justice. Evaluations of effective community led response to justice issues have identified the following as critical elements of success:

- importance the community placed on government buy in,
- the partnership between the community and services, and;
- the transition of power and decision making to cultural authorities to enhance the ability of the community to take on the leadership role in areas where government initiatives had previously failed.⁶⁴

Investment in healing intergenerational trauma

There is a range of evidence that suggests there is a growing correlation between the increasing numbers of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children and young people involved in Queensland's youth justice system and the failure to adequately invest in dealing with the impacts of intergenerational trauma for our children and young people, and that this is at the root of their behaviour.

Recent research by QATSICPP has found the most important solution to increasing Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children and young people's access to healing support to overcome the impacts of Domestic and Family Violence (DFV) is to invest in culturally designed family and child-centric responses.⁶⁵

Yet whilst there has been some small growth in the number of community-controlled organisations providing early intervention and diversion youth services, these services still only represent a very small proportion of

⁶⁰ Healing Foundation, 2012.

⁶¹ Ooi and Rahmann, 2022

⁶² Atkinson 2019, ALRC, 2018, Higgins & Davis, 2014

 ⁶³ Butcher et al, 2020
⁶⁴ Riboldi & Hopkins, 2019

⁶⁵ Morgan et al. 2022



services operating in Queensland and there are currently very few involved in the delivery of intensive, traumainformed services for children and young people. This is despite the research and evidence making strong links between First Nations children and young people's experiences of DFV and their interaction with justice systems.

In Queensland, whilst there is funding provided by DCYJMA to community-controlled Family Wellbeing Service providers to employ a small number of DFV and Indigenous Youth Workers, there is a gross underinvestment in supporting families and children to heal and overcome the impacts of trauma that are often the basis of their pain-based behaviours. Instead, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children and young people are provided youth services primarily by non-Indigenous organisations, including government managed youth justice services (Youth Justice).

Our recent experience suggests that where Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander organisations are funded to provide responses to offending or at-risk youth, there is some reluctance on the part of Youth Justice to share information and collaborate. In consulting with our member organisations to inform this submission, one manager commented:

"We have limited number of referrals from YJ – this is a problem when the community is calling for the government to fund community organisations but then Youth Justice is a gate keeper to families and young people actually accessing the programs... younger kids is an area where we need to do more, community programs shouldn't be restricted by the age of criminality.

We do a lot of managing and monitoring instead of prevention and intervention ...they are getting referred to us when they are in detention – but really we need to be in there when they start disengaging from school, in that early intervention stage."

Another barrier to providing timely support is early intervention funding approaches which are tied to the age of criminality. For those children experiencing the highest level of intergenerational trauma and distress, intensive support before the age of 10 years is critical. The QFCC *Changing the Sentence* report identified a lack of dedicated case management or specialised therapeutic responses designed for children and young people with highly complex behaviours and highlighted an opportunity for the development of specialised, intensive intervention and support for this cohort, to be available when children are in primary school.⁶⁶

There is an urgent need to scale up and further resource promising community led initiatives where they have shown success. In contrast to initiatives rolled out by government, initiatives which are driven by First Nations communities are likely to have greater whole of community support and be more effective in achieving their aims. Promising initiatives include:

- The Indigenous Family Youth Worker positions embedded within Family Wellbeing Services (FWS) at community-controlled organisations across Queensland have provided critical support to First Nations young people at risk and provide a good example of service delivery coordinated across child protection and youth justice. A review of evidence shows strong support for the role of family support in reducing offending in childhood and adolescence.⁶⁷ Scaling up this support could involve residential programs for families in crisis.
- The Lighthouse model after hours diversionary service operated by the Townsville Aboriginal and Islander Health Service. Critical to the program's ability to success in engaging young people was the cultural and community knowledge of the youth workers themselves.⁶⁸
- Youth Justice Family Led Decision Making pilot. This program is currently in operation in four locations and is focused on bringing children and their families together to work through, solve challenges and lead discussions that affect their lives in the context of children living full and happy lives outside of contact with the youth justice system. An evaluation of this program by *Inside Policy* found the program's delivery by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander community controlled

⁶⁶ QFCC, 2021

⁶⁷ Homel et al, 2015

⁶⁸ O'Reilly et al, 2019



organisations were enabling factors for cultural safety and healing though access to cultural advisors, engagement with cultural programs and empowerment in decision.⁶⁹

- The Yapatjarrathati project, a partnership between Griffith University and Gidgee Healing in Mt Isa, has created a six-tiered diagnostic system to assess a child for FASD symptoms. This project has had considerable success reducing wait times for FASD diagnosis and offering timely support to children and families in need.⁷⁰
- The CRAICCHS After Hours Youth Hub in Cherbourg supports young people's positive mental health, wellbeing and resilience, in culturally sensitive ways. The Hub provides practical services, such as showers, places to rest, food, washing machines, and transport home at night as well as fun, entertainment, and positive social opportunities. Advice from the community in Cherbourg suggests that when the Hub is able to operate at full capacity, high risk and offending behaviour from the youth in the community has been reduced.

Unfortunately, our experience is that it is rare for promising youth justice initiatives to receive long term investment; creating a dearth of evidence needed for long term investment decisions and limiting the ability of services to reach their full potential and capacity. The practice of short term funding agreements should cease and the ongoing commitment and investment to build community based responses along the youth justice continuum should be realised.

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Community Controlled organisations have a strong track record in recent years of providing effective early intervention services that divert people from statutory systems. An evaluation of FWS delivered by community-controlled organisations across Queensland found that 91% of families stay out of the child protection system (within 6 months) if all of their needs are met after receiving support from a FWS.⁷¹

In terms of health outcomes, community-controlled health services in Queensland have been found to be integral to improving access to and meeting the health needs of First Nations communities⁷². The Queensland Government now needs to utilise the strong service infrastructure present in our community-controlled organisations to increase the capacity of our communities across multiple areas to address the drivers for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children and young people's overrepresentation in the state's youth justice system.

Listening to children and young people

In the current public policy debate about how to best respond to young people's offending behaviour one set of perspectives is largely absent: the voices of children and young people themselves. A recently released report by the Queensland Family and Child Commission (QFCC) contains some powerful insight from young people in Queensland's youth justice system. In compiling the Yarning for Change: Listen to my voice report, QFCC researchers spoke with over 70 Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander children and young people at risk of contact with, or with an experience of the youth justice system.

Almost half of the young people spoken with talked to the researchers about their early childhood experiences with the Child Safety system and how these experiences impacted on their functioning. They spoke about the impacts of family disconnection:

"Like once you're taken away from your family you just lose all that respect for everyone I guess. And so, I don't know, you just don't listen to anybody anymore." (p.8)⁷³

17

QATSICPP SUBMISSION: STRENGTHENING COMMUNITY SAFETY BILL 2023

⁶⁹ Inside Policy, 2020

⁷⁰ Griffith University, 2023

⁷¹ ABT and Associates, 2021 ⁷² Campbell et al, 2018; Baba et al, 2014

⁷³ QFCC, 2022



The young people also talked about their experiences of the education system and barriers to their continuing engagement with school, such as racism, mental health issues and their basic needs not being met.

"But I would go to school, again I'd be like oh yeah, look at all these kids and think oh yeah youse are lucky, what-not. And they'd have all this stuff, they would have all this nice stuff and I never got any of that, never got anything as a kid. I just got what I was given and put up with it. I just... I don't know. It just made me not wanna go to school too 'cause it just, you know I'd just look at other kids and think, fuck, you know, they have such a good life, I don't wanna be, you know goin' somewhere where everybody else is having a good time and I'm sitting here watching it. And so I just stopped going to school." (p11)⁷⁴

Those young people who had experienced court processes commonly felt uninformed and ignored throughout the process.

"They don't let me like, they don't let me explain what I want them to say to the Judge. They just say what they wanna say." (p.11)⁷⁵

When asked about what kept them strong and out of the youth justice system, much of what young people said was about relationships. Their connections to other people, such as family, friends and supportive adults, influenced their behaviours and their motivation to change (this included Youth Justice and other support workers). The report noted that young people's "connection to Elders, culture, family, kinship and community support was critical to keeping them out of the youth justice system." (p.22)⁷⁶ Listening to and understanding this message from young people is fundamental to effective youth justice responses at the individual and systemic level.

Changing models of youth detention

QATSICPP acknowledges that there a very small number of children and young people who need to be detained for their safety and that of the community. There is a growing body of evidence on how detention environments should and can be trauma-informed through an emphasis on structure, safety, consistency, and fair treatment.⁷⁷ A key focus for those operating detention environments needs to be on providing the stability that trauma survivors require to facilitate trauma recovery.78 There are some short term improvements which can and should be made to Queensland's detention centres, such as regular and ongoing trauma and cultural awareness training for all staff and the delivery of regularly evaluated programs that show benefit for First Nations children (for example, in the Yarning for Change report Young people expressed their desire to engage in more cultural programs but advised that this was not always available due to lack of community resources and staff). The Children's Court Annual Report 2021-2022 also highlighted a lack of access to detention centre facilities for its Queensland Education and Justice Initiative support services due to detention centre staffing shortages.⁷⁹In the medium to long term there needs to a significant redesign of how Queensland approaches the design of services and facilities for those children and young people who need to be detained for their own safety and that of the community. This includes investment in the development of alternative approaches such as secure assessment centres, which focus on the holistic assessment of children and young people's needs and rehabilitation activities based on these assessments. For early (and more effective) responses to prevent children from failing in youth justice systems, it is critical to identify preventable health issues through timely assessments in a range of areas. Examples from jurisdictions such as Hawaii suggest assessment centres can be used to dramatically reduce the demand on traditional detention facilities.80

76 Ibid

⁸⁰ Australian Broadcast Commission, 2022.



⁷⁴ QFCC, 2022

⁷⁵ Ibid

⁷⁷ Council of State Governments 2010 in Miller and Najavits 2012:3; Royal Commission into the Protection and Detention of Children in the Northern Territory, 2017

⁷⁸ Miller and Najavits 2012 in Healing Foundation, 2016

⁷⁹ Children's Court of Queensland, 2022



Raising the age

Continued early contact with the youth justice system is significantly disadvantaging many Aboriginal and Torres Strait young people, consigning them at young ages to a criminal identity, detaining them in youth detention facilities from 10 upwards for petty crimes and damaging their family and community ties⁸¹. This is contributing to the cycle of trauma and disadvantage for future generations. A significant change such as raising the minimum age of criminal responsibility gives us an opportunity to introduce a new way of approaching and addressing trauma-based behaviours that is more flexible and sustainable and can halt the impact of intergenerational distress.

Our Recommendations

QATSICPP calls on the Queensland Government to work with us and other First Nations leaders and organisations on the following measures to develop and implement stronger and more effective responses to youth crime:

1. The Queensland Government work in genuine partnership with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander leaders, elders and community to develop and implement youth justice responses in Queensland, starting with the co-design of a generational plan to reduce the over-representation of First Nations youth in the system, similar to *Our Way: A generational strategy for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children and families* (2017-2037). The Queensland First Children and Families' Board must be given a greater oversight role in shaping and assessing Youth Justice responses that reduce offending and recidivism.

2.Design and implement a more comprehensive set of responses to prevent and respond to youth offending that are trauma informed and address the core drivers for young people's behaviour. This includes supporting the First Nations development, design and where relevant, expansion of a range of healing, family wellbeing, youth leadership, and youth services as outlined in the 'Critical Elements' section above.

3.In partnership with First Nations people, develop and implement alternatives to the current model of youth detention in operation in Queensland that are effective in increasing community safety and reducing re-offending. In addition to this, on Country programs and healing centres should also be explored as alternative sentencing options.

4.Raise Queensland's Minimum Age of Criminal Responsibility to 14 years of age. As their mental health and trauma needs escalate due to limited culturally appropriate early interventions available to them, the trauma of First Nations children and young people becomes criminalised. QATSICPP supports raising the minimum age of criminal responsibility to 14 years of age as this would fundamentally shift the focus from addressing children's behaviour through judicial processes, supervision and detention to meeting the needs underlying their behaviour through community and culturally led care, support and healing.

5.Develop ongoing mechanisms for children and young people's voices in the development of systems, services and programs designed for their benefit. Without sufficient investment in traumainformed, culturally strong, community-based healing responses to their experiences of intergenerational trauma Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children and young people are coming into contact with the youth justice system. Their voices need to be central to our understanding of their experiences and ongoing healing and rehabilitative needs.

⁸¹ QFCC, 2022



20

Contact details

Please contact Mr Garth Morgan, Chief Executive Officer, on the second or via email

PO Box 8084, Woolloongabba Q 4102



References

ABC News.(2022). Queensland's youth justice system 'in crisis' amid human rights breaches, children's commissioner warms. <u>https://www.abc.net.au/news/2022-11-05/gld-commissioner-youth-justice-children-watch-house/101610300#:~:text=1%20%2F%204-</u>

<u>Queensland's%20youth%20justice%20system%20'in%20crisis'%20amid%20human,rights%20breaches%2C%2</u> <u>0children's%20commissioner%20warns&text=Queensland%20is%20systematically%20breaching%20human,Au</u> <u>stralia's%20National%20Children's%20Commissioner%20says</u>.

ABC News. (2021). Two Aboriginal men claim they were sexually abused during strip searches in youth detention.https://www.abc.net.au/news/2021-05-25/aboriginal-men-claim-sexual-abuse-youth-detention-strip-search/100143968>

ABT Associates. (2021). *Final Report Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Family Wellbeing Services Evaluation*. Department of Children, Youth Justice and Multicultural Affairs. Unpublished.

ACT Health. (2023). *Ngunnawal Bush Healing Farm*. <u>https://www.health.act.gov.au/services-and-</u>programs/aboriginal-and-torres-strait-islander-health/health-and-wellbeing-service-0

Akroyd, S., Paulin, J., Paipa, K., & Wehipeihana, N. (2016). Iwi panels: An evaluation of their implementation and operation at Hutt Valley, Gisborne and Manukau from 2014 to 2015. Retrieved from: https://www.justice.govt.nz/assets/Documents/Publications/iwi-panels-evaluation-report.pdf.

Amnesty International. (2021). Submission to the Inquiry into the Criminal Law (Raising the Age of Responsibility) Amendment Bill 2021 (QLD). <u>https://documents.parliament.qld.gov.au/com/CSSC-0A12/CLRARAB202-31E4/submissions/00000028.pdf</u>

Amnesty International. (2019). Kids in Watchouses: Exposing the Truth. https://www.amnesty.org.au/watch-houses/

Atkinson ,B AO, APM. (2022). Youth Justice Reforms Review. https://www.youthjustice.gld.gov.au/resources/youthjustice/reform/youth-justice-report.pdf

Atkinson, B AO, APM. (2018). Report on Youth Justice – version 2. https://www.youthjustice.gld.gov.au/resources/youthjustice/reform/youth-justice-report.pdf

Atkinson, J. (2013). *Trauma-informed services and trauma-specific care for Indigenous Australian children*. Canberra: AIHW. <u>https://www.aihw.gov.au/getmedia/e322914f-ac63-44f1-8c2f-4d84938fcd41/ctg-rs21.pdf.aspx?inline=true</u>

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (2022).Data tables: Young people under youth justice supervision and their interaction with the child protection system 2020–21 (s20a). https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/youth-justice/young-people-under-youth-justice-supervision/data

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW). (2020). Youth Justice in Australia: 2018-2019. 2018–19. https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/youth-justice/youth-justice-in-australia-2018-19/contents/summary

Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2017). 2016 Census Community Profiles. Retrieved from http://www.censusdata.abs.gov.au/census_services/getproduct/census/2016/communityprofile/3GBRI.

Australian Law Reform Commission (ALRC). (2018). Pathways to justice – Inquiry into the incarceration rate of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. [ONLINE] Available at: https://www.alrc.gov.au/publications/indigenous-incarceration-report133



Australian Institute of Criminology (AIC). (2012). National Crime Prevention Framework. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.aic.gov.au/publications/special/special</u>People with mental and cognitive disabilities in the criminal justice system.

Baba, J.T., Brolan, C.E. & Hill, P.S. (2014). Aboriginal medical services cure more than illness: a qualitative study of how Indigenous services address the health impacts of discrimination in Brisbane communities. *Int J Equity Health* 13, 56. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-9276-13-56</u>

Baldry, E., McCausland,R., Dowse,L., & McEntyre,E. (2015). A Predictable and Preventable Path: Aboriginal people with mental and cognitive disabilities in the criminal justice system. Mental Health Disorders and Cognitive Disability in the Criminal Justice System Project. Retrieved from: https://www.mhdcd.unsw.edu.au/sites/www.mhdcd.unsw.edu.au/files/ u18/pdf/a predictable and preventable path 2nov15.pdf

Belur, J. Thornton, A. Tompson, L. Manning, M., Sidebottom, A. Bowers, K. (2020). A systematic review of the effectiveness of the electronic monitoring of offenders, Journal of Criminal Justice, Volume 68, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2020.101686.

Bower, C., Watkins, R. E., Mutch, R. C., Marriott, R., Freeman, J., Kippin, N. R., Safe, B., Pestell, C., Cheung, C. S. C., Shield, H., Tarratt, L., Springall, A., Taylor, J., Walker, N., Argiro, E., Leitão, S., Hamilton, S., Condon, C., Passmore, H. M., & Giglia, R. (2018). Fetal alcohol spectrum disorder and youth justice: a prevalence study among young people sentenced to detention in Western Australia. BMJ open, 8(2), e019605. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-01960

Brisbane Times. (2020). First Aboriginal woman to manage cattle property pushes for change. https://www.brisbanetimes.com.au/national/queensland/first-aboriginal-woman-to-manage-cattle-propertypushes-for-change-20200130-p53wbi.html

Campbell, M.A. et al. (2017). Contribution of the Aboriginal Community-Controlled Health Services to Improving Aboriginal Health: an Evidence Review. Australian Health Review. http://www.publish.csiro.au/ahr

Commonwealth of Australia. (2016). Federal Senate Inquiry report into Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander experience of law enforcement and justice services. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Senate/Finance_and_Public_Administration/Legal</u> <u>assistanceservices/Report</u>.

Department of Child Safety, Youth and Women. (2018). *Twelve month program evaluation: Restorative Justice* Project.https://www.cyjma.qld.gov.au/resources/dcsyw/about-us/performance-evaluation/programeval/restorative-justice-evaluation-report.pdf

Department of Youth Justice. (2020). Supervised Community Accommodation: Evaluation, Multi-Criteria Analysis and Policy Options Report. Ernest and Young. Retrieved from: https://www.youthjustice.qld.gov.au/resources/youthjustice/program-eval/sca-december-2020-report.pdf

Dodson, M. (1996). Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Social Justice Commissioner. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Social Justice Commissioner, Fourth Report. Viewed 3rd March 2020: https://humanrights.gov.au/sites/default/files/content/pdf/social_justice/sj_report96.pdf

Forsythe, L., & Gaffney, A. (2012). Mental disorder prevalence at the gateway to the criminal justice system. *Trends and Issues in Crime and Criminal Justice*, 438. <u>https://www.aic.gov.au/sites/default/files/2020-</u>05/tandi438.pdf

Griffith University. (2023). A groundbreaking, no barriers new healthcare model: The Yapatjarrathati Project. https://www.griffith.edu.au/research/impact/yapatjarrathati-projects



Guardian Australian. (2023a). <u>https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2023/feb/22/recipe-for-disaster-gueensland-bail-law-that-overrides-childrens-human-rights-wont-work-experts-say</u>

Guardian Australia. (2023b). https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2023/feb/20/queensland-labor-backflips-to-make-breach-of-bail-an-offence-for-children

House of Representatives Standing Committee on Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Affairs. (2011), *Doing Time – Time for Doing*.

Homel, R., Freiberg, K., Branch, S.,& Le,H. (2015). Preventing the onset of youth offending: The impact of the Pathways to Prevention Project on child behaviour and wellbeing. Trends & issues in crime and criminal justice no. 481. Australian Institute of Criminology. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.aic.gov.au/publications/tandi/tandi481</u>

Higgins & Davis. (2014) Law and justice: prevention and early intervention programs for Indigenous youth Resource sheet no. 34. Closing the Gap Clearinghouse. <u>https://www.aihw.gov/getmedia/85dd676d-62ab-47cf-8a01-a1847a05a17a/ctg-rs34.pdf.aspx?inline=true</u>

Healing Foundation. (2012). *Prospective Cost Benefit Analysis of Healing Centres*. https://healingfoundation.org.au/app/uploads/2017/01/CBA-final-SINGLES-for-screen.pdf

Healing Foundation. (2016). Submission to the Royal Commission into the protection and detention of children in the Northern Territory. Canberra: Australia.

Human Rights Act 1999 (Qld) s26. https://www.legislation.gld.gov.au/view/pdf/asmade/act-2019-005

Prior, D., Farrow, K., Hughes, N., Kelly, G., Manders, G., White, S., & Wilkinson, B. (2011). *Maturity, young adults and criminal justice: a literature review*. University of Birmingham.https://t2a.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/Birmingham-University-Maturity-final-literature-review-report.pdf

Huizinga, D., Schumann, K., Ehret, B., & Elliott, A. (2004). The Effect of Juvenile Justice System Processing on Subsequent Delinquent and Criminal Behavior: A Cross-National Study (Final Report to the National Institute of Justice). Washington, DC.: U.S. Department of Justice

Inside Policy. (2020). Consultancy services to evaluate My Family, Our Decisions, Our Way: The Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Youth Justice Family Led Decision Making Trial. Brisbane: Australia.

O'Reilly, S., Braidwood, L., D'Emden, C., Gair, S., Savuro, N., & Zuchowski, I. (2019). *Working collaboratively to highlight the voices of young people in Townsville*. James Cook University Law Review, 25, 91–106. Retrieved 5th March 2021: <u>http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/journals/JCULawRw/2019/7.pdf</u>

Queensland Government. (2019). Working Together Changing the Story: Youth Justice Strategy 2019-2023. https://www.cyima.gld.gov.au/resources/dcsyw/youth-justice/reform/strategy.pdf

Queensland Treasury. (2022). *Crime report, Queensland, 2020–21* (Tables 51-56). https://www.qgso.qld.gov.au/issues/7856/crime-report-qld-2020-21.pdf

Lynch, L. (2022). Queensland jail staff crisis: teens locked in solitary. The Australian. https://www.theaustralian.com.au/nation/politics/queensland-jail-staff-crisis-teens-locked-in-solitary/newsstory/e979ec2a3b723e33f17e761e3d0566fc?btr=83a883fbd44cd083f91b642c4efce65d

KPMG. (2018). Maranguka Justice Reinvestment Project: Impact Assessment. Retrieved from: https://www.justreinvest.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Maranguka-Justice-Reinvestment-Project-KPMG-Impact-Assessment-FINAL-REPORT.pdf



QATSICPP SUBMISSION: STRENGTHENING COMMUNITY SAFETY BILL 2023



Marshall, T. (1996). The evolution of restorative justice in Britain. European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research, 4(4), 21–43. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02736712.

McGrath, A., & Weatherburn, D. (2012). The effect of custodial penalties on juvenile reoffending. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Criminology, 45*(1). Retrieved from: <u>https://www.bocsar.nsw.gov.au/Publications/affiliated/AP33-The-Effect-of-Custodial-Penalties-on-Juvenile-Re-offending.pdf</u>

Menzies, K. (2019). Understanding the Australian Aboriginal experience of collective, historical and intergenerational trauma. *International Social Work*, 62(6), 1522–1534. https://doi.org/10.1177/0020872819870585

McEwan, D. (2017). Understanding the Relationship Between Brain Development and Offending Behaviour. (67 ed.) University of Strathclyde. https://pureportal.strath.ac.uk/files/87911247/McEwan CYCJ 2017 understanding the relationship between b rain development and offending behaviour.pdf

Morgan, G., Butler, C., French, R., Creamer, T., Hillan, L., Ruggiero, E., Parsons, J., Prior, G., Idagi, L., Bruce, R., Gray, T., Jia, T., Hostalek, M., Gibson, J., Mitchell, B., Lea, T., Clancy, K., Barber, U., Higgins, D., ... Trew, S. (2022). *New Ways for Our Families: Designing an Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander cultural practice framework and system responses to address the impact of domestic and family violence on children and young people*. Australian National Research Organisation for Women's Safety [ANROWS]. https://20ian81kyngg38bl3l3eh8bf-wpengine.netdna-ssl.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Morgan-et-al-RR1 NewWaysOurFamilies.pdf.

Miller, N. & Najavits, L. (2012). Creating trauma-informed correctional care: A balance of goals and environment. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*. Retrieved from: https://www.researchgate.net/deref/http%3A%2F%2Fdx.doi.org%2F10.3402%2Fejpt.v3i0.17246

Ooi, E. J. & Rahman, S. (2022). *The impact of the NSW Youth Koori Court on sentencing and re-offending outcomes* (Crime and Justice Bulletin No. 248). Sydney: NSW Bureau of Crime Statistics and Research. Full report available at <u>www.bocsar.nsw.gov.au</u>

O'Reilly, S., Braidwood, L., D'Emden, C., Gair, S., Savuro, N., & Zuchowski, I. (2019). *Working collaboratively to highlight the voices of young people in Townsville*. James Cook University Law Review, 25, 91–106. Retrieved 5th March 2021: <u>http://www.austlij.edu.au/au/journals/JCULawRw/2019/7.pdf</u>

Stephanie Price, Tim Prenzler, Nadine McKillop & Susan Rayment-McHugh. (2022). The evolution of youth justice conferencing in Queensland, 1990–2021. *Current Issues in Criminal Justice*, 34:1, 77-94, DOI: 10.1080/10345329.2021.1988248

QATSICPP.(2022). Youth Justice: Evidence Review. https://coe.gatsicpp.com.au/wpcontent/uploads/2022/05/CoE-YJ-Evidence-Review -May2022.pdf

Queensland Parliament. (2023). *First Session of the Fifty-Seventh Parliament.* https://documents.parliament.qld.gov.au/events/han/2023/2023_02_21_DAILY.pdf#page=41

Criminal Code Act 1899 (Qld) s2. https://www.legislation.qld.gov.au/view/pdf/inforce/current/act-1899-009

Queensland Family and Child Commission. (2022). Yarning for Change: Listen to my voice. https://www.gfcc.gld.gov.au/sites/default/files/2022-11/Yarning%20for%20Change.pdf

Queensland Family and Child Commission. (2021). <u>Changing the Sentence: Overseeing Queensland's youth</u> justice reforms. https://apo.org.au/sites/default/files/resource-files/2021-07/apo-nid314129.pdf



Queensland Sentencing Advisory Council. (2021)._Guide to the sentencing of children in Queensland.https://www.sentencingcouncil.qld.gov.au/ data/assets/pdf_file/0018/700434/Guide-to-thesentencing-of-children-in-Queensland-updated-5-Dec-22.pdf

Riboldi, M., & Hopkins, S. (2019). Community-led justice reinvestment: Rethinking access to justice. *Precedent*, 154, 48–51

Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody.(1991a). Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody: National Report, Volume 2. <u>http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/other/IndigLRes/rciadic/national/vol2/</u>

Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody. (1991b). Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody: National Report, Volume 5. http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/other/IndigLRes/rciadic/national/vol5/

Royal Commission into the Protection and Detention of Children in the Northern Territory (2017). Retrieved from: <u>https://www.royalcommission.gov.au/sites/default/files/2019-01/rcnt-royal-commission-nt-findings-and-</u> recommendations.pdf

Shapland, J., Robinson, G., & Sorsby, A. (2011). *Restorative justice in practice: Evaluating what works for victims and offenders*. Oxford: Routledge

Spier, P., & Wilkinson, R. (2016). Reoffending patterns for participants of youth justice Family Group Conferences held in 2011 and 2012.

State of Queensland. (2023). Strengthening Community Safety Bill 2023: Statement of Compatability. Retrieved from: https://documents.parliament.qld.gov.au/tp/2023/5723T166-F46A.pdf

State of Queensland. (2022). Queensland Government Response to the Treaty Advancement Committee Report. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.dsdsatsip.qld.gov.au/resources/dsdsatsip/work/atsip/reform-tracks-treaty/path-treaty/ptt-response-tac-report.pdf</u>

SNAICC, (2022). The Family Matters 2022 Report. <u>https://www.familymatters.org.au/wp-</u>content/uploads/2022/11/20221123-Family-Matters-Report-2022-1.pdf

Sentencing Advisory Council. (2020) Crossover Kids': Vulnerable Children in the Youth Justice System Report 3: Sentencing Children Who Have Experienced Trauma. Melbourne: Australia

Wyrick, P., & Atkinson, K. (2021). Examining the relationship between childhood trauma and involvement in the justice system. *National Institute of Justice Journal*. U.S. Department of Justice, National Institute of Justice. Retrieved from https://nij. ojp. gov/topics/articles/examining-relationship-between-childhood-trauma-and-involvement-justice-system.

van der Kolk, B. A. (2007). The Developmental Impact of Childhood Trauma. In L. J. Kirmayer, R. Lemelson, & M. Barad (Eds.), Understanding trauma: Integrating biological, clinical, and cultural perspectives (p. 224–241). Cambridge University Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511500008.016</u>





Queensland Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Child Protection Peak Limited

www.qatsicpp.com.au