

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 7 July 2021 4:10 PM  
**To:** Economics and Governance Committee  
**Subject:** Submission: PUBLIC HEALTH AND OTHER LEGISLATION (FURTHER EXTENSION OF EXPIRING PROVISIONS) AMENDMENT BILL 2021

**Importance:** High

7/7/2021

Committee Secretary  
Economics and Governance Committee  
Parliament House  
George Street  
BRISBANE QLD 4000

**RE: PUBLIC HEALTH AND OTHER LEGISLATION (FURTHER EXTENSION OF EXPIRING PROVISIONS)  
AMENDMENT BILL 2021**

I object to the bill requesting and extension of emergency powers to April 2022.

At the beginning of the Pandemic the public voluntarily relinquished their freedoms for two weeks to flatten the curve. We were lured into giving in our rights based on the idea that it was going to be less deaths.

The goal posts have been moved and we are not basing restrictions on death but on cases. These cases are based on a PCR test that is an inadequate tool for testing for covid. It can not distinguish if the molecule it is searching for is dead or alive or if it is for a another type of coronavirus. The PCR test according to Australia's chief health officer is recommended to be performed on symptomatic people only. Though now we are using it on healthy people or what the media implies could be Asymptomatic. The maker of this test Kary Mullis stated it should never be used to diagnose disease. The WHO had come out in late 2020 to state Asymptomatic people do not spread the virus.

<https://www.bmj.com/content/371/bmj.m4695>

Furthermore the WHO has come out to advise if the test is cycled over 35 times you will get false positives. This government need to advise at what cycle the tests are being run. This PCR can be used by a dictatorial government to simulate the spread of illness solely by manipulating the PCR cycle.

The underlying premise of this extension is that Asymptomatic spread the illness and PCR is infallible in diagnosing is not based on scientific evidence and contradicts the WHO advice.

This leads to amendments that should be made to the existing state of emergency act to secure our rights and safety.

**Quarantining and Mandating of vaccines.**

Any law that purports to make it mandatory for a person to submit to a COVID-19 vaccination is invalid.

In 1945 in a High Court ruling, the then Chief Justice Latham held that quarantine laws "may be regarded in most, if not all, of its aspects as a form of public health legislation".

The Commonwealth has the power under section 51(ix) of the Constitution to make laws with respect to 'quarantine'. This is a power granted to the Commonwealth. **Not the States.**

At [257] of the decision, Latham CJ held that the Commonwealth "could not pass a law requiring citizens of the States... to submit to vaccination or immunization".

So there are several important things that flow from this High Court decision.

1. Vaccinations and immunizations are matters that fall within the category of 'quarantine'.

2. Only the Commonwealth has the power to make laws with respect to 'quarantine' under section 51(ix) of the Constitution.

3. The Commonwealth is prohibited from passing laws requiring citizens to submit to vaccination or immunization (which are quarantine matters).

**4. The States have no power to make laws with respect to quarantine, including matters dealing with vaccinations and immunizations (as Latham CJ held that these things are 'quarantine' matters).**

5. The States are unable to do something that the Commonwealth is prohibited from doing under the exercise of the quarantine power.

6. Therefore the States cannot pass any law that requires citizens to submit to vaccination or immunization.

**7. The objective of this act to keep the state in a state of emergency until a certain level of vaccination is reached is invalid.**

**All ministers and bureaucrats that vote for the continuation of the state of emergency for the purposes of vaccination are going against Federal laws and subject to any future class action from the people.**

**Amendments that should be made to the Act and information present to public.**

1. No pay rises to Ministers or staff of ministers under a State of Emergency. Whilst the constituents of the lockdowns are suffering financially, ministers and staff cannot not benefit from a State of Emergency.
2. A reduction pay of 10% for every extension.
3. Criminal offence for ministers and bureaucrats for present false or misleading information regarding reasons for lockdown, masking, quarantening, business shutdowns. A 10year prison sentence should be a minimum. This will prevent our elected members from attempting to dictate their own laws based on hear say, but on facts.
4. A risk benefit analysis should be presented to the opposition and made public of how a lockdown impacts society and human rights.
5. The science behind all decisions regarding mask mandates, lockdowns, per sqm restrictions, business shutdowns is required to be presented to the opposition and made available publicly. This will enable true scrutiny and checking of the science.
6. PCR cases should show who are Asymptomatic, who are in ICU. (Data to be broken down)
7. PCR cycling levels are to be reported. This will ensure if governments are running PCR cycles at the false positive level. Anything over 35 cycles is 97% false positive. Increasing the cycles can easily create a fake pandemic.
8. Report on how many vaccinated people have had positive PCR test.

**Removal of QR Codes**

The QR Codes contradict Federal Law under the Privacy Act.

No more masking, lockdowns, QR, business shutdowns, no more creating laws out of your jurisdiction.

We want evidence and transparency and accountability. You have let us down with all of this.

Regards

Maria Caranese

