


Allona Lahn



7th July, 2021

Committee Secretary
Economics and Governance Committee
Parliament House
George Street
Brisbane Qld 4000

Email: egc@parliament.qld.gov.au

ATT: THE COMMITTEE

RE: Public Health and Other Legislation (Further Extension of Expiring Provisions)
Amendment Bill 2021. I do NOT support the further extension.

The following compilation below defines my reasons for not supporting this Bill.

If the term pandemic is used by a Chief Medical Officer it MUST by law be demonstrably true; or they are guilty of the most serious criminal breaches of the Federal Criminal Code Act 1995 Division 137.1 — False or misleading information or documents: Penalty: Imprisonment for 12 months <https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2017C00235>

We are NOT dealing with immaterial external opinions, or declarations or assumptions of a pandemic, we are dealing with existence or non-existence of a pandemic that the Chief Health Officers are criminally not just implying; but ILLEGALLY stating exists when it does NOT exist.

Moreover, the Biosecurity (Human Biosecurity Emergency) (Human Coronavirus with Pandemic Potential) Declaration 2020; also unequivocally states: QUOTE: "with Pandemic Potential": END QUOTE. This is NOT a declaration of a pandemic; it merely states 'potential'; which on ALL evidence shown under on every measure; NOT arise! There is NO pandemic; Chief Medical Officers declaring this is a pandemic is a criminal act when there is no pandemic! MOREOVER, you have a lawful obligation to request the Chief Medical Officer demonstrates with evidence that a pandemic exists.

A pandemic virus is ONLY a global outbreak of a new virus very different from usual circulating seasonal viruses, this virus is identical to ALL coronaviruses of colds, pneumonia, SARS, MERS, Flu.

The World Health Organisation (WHO) still classifies 99.9% of ALL Covid-19 cases as identical to MILD FLU!

The acknowledged global Gold Standard science-test for 'ALL' disease pathogens is Koch's Postulates Test, and it CANNOT detect Covid at all! https://ruqqus.com/post/1zr2/covid-fails-kochs-postulatesfbclid=IwAR2WO2mvB1PtL_7weLZEjXOFd20PGFuEJlayt5mD2I_oXwys_Almck_tS2k. The SARS- CoV-2 virion IS detected by Koch's Postulates Test, however, Covid-19 CANNOT BE DETECTED BY KOCH'S POSTULATES TEST & THIS TEST SCIENTIFICALLY PROVES COVID-19 DOES NOT EXIST!

The PCR Test (Polymerase Chain Reaction) test is NOT licensed to be used for Infectious Diseases testing at all and it's Nobel Prize inventor Kary Mullis states it should NOT be used for testing for Infectious Diseases because it always shows 98% FALSE RESULTS!! <https://bpa-pathology.com/covid19-pcr-tests-are-scientifically-meaningless/?fbclid=IwAR0bcPrWkGQoKqVXY8eogk92r9LWJIn8NmjNdOEFDy3A-iQvchKZpY2G6lo>

Under 59 Freedom Of Information responses from Global Chief Health Officers, (including Tasmania attached); there is no global scientific- virology-medical-scientific paper produced 'WHATSOEVER', describing the Isolation and Purification and Characterisation of 'ANY' SARS- COV2 Virus from ANY human being anywhere in the world with an ALLEGED Covid-19 infection.

Your fellow Queenslanders and Australians know you are aware that 99.973% of ALL people 'alleged' to have contracted this 'alleged' virus suffer only mild Flu and fully recover; The deadly Delta variant, where there has been ZERO deaths in our 25,788,215 (now) million population has resulted in no deaths. Deadly means people are dying! None are!

According to The Public Health and Well-being Act, Division 1, Section 111 it states, 'The spread of an infectious disease should be prevented or minimised with the MINIMUM RESTRICTIONS on the RIGHTS of any person'.

Clearly this has not been and is not the case.

The Clause does not say; the restrictions on the rights of any person will be minimum to contain the spread of an infectious disease. If the clause's construction read this way, it would attempt to give the Chief Health Officer arbitrary power to decide what's minimum to get her/his job done as she/he sees fit and with no consideration or reference to what the person, who's rights are being restricted, or thinks. This is not how the law works or what the clause says.

The legal drafting and clause construction is very clear. It says; the Chief Health Officer can do her/his job to stop the spread of an infectious disease, BUT a person's rights and restrictions must be minimal. She/he has a qualifier and restraint. She/he doesn't decide what's minimum.

If a person, whose rights are being restricted, has no say in the matter, there would have been no need to include the clause in the legislation. The Parliament sought to preserve and protect civil liberties. Queenslanders feel this is a check on unfettered powers. That is the

purpose of the clause, otherwise, why have it in the legislation if the Chief Health Officer has unrestrained powers? It's a reminder and constraint on the Chief Health Officer.

The Westminster system constrains, separates, checks, and contains power, through a mechanism known as the Separation of Powers. This means that the legislature (who makes laws), the Executive (Premier and Ministry) who execute law, and the Judiciary who interpret laws, remain separate.

This is a check on power, so it is NOT concentrated.

The default 'Mentis' (mind) of Parliament, in a liberal democracy, is to preserve freedoms. Particularly the freedom of movement and association, which the High Court has protected over and over and Queenslanders feel this not to be the case.

The person who decides the minimum restraint on a person's rights, is the person who has the rights.

The Chief Health Officer is lawfully bound to implement:

- the use of existing systems and governance mechanisms, particularly those for seasonal influenza.
- evidence-based decision making.
- monitor the emergence of diseases with pandemic potential and investigating outbreaks if they occur.
- identify and characterise the nature of the disease.
- ensure a proportionate response.

ALL OF THESE LAWFUL OBLIGATIONS ARE VIOLATED; and no such scientific-epidemiological studies to PROVE a pandemic & catastrophic death-risk exists, have been conducted as lawfully required.

Furthermore, ANY epidemiological-health risk and/or pandemic now, and particularly truer in January-March 2020, when this 'alleged' risk and pandemic was merely inferred, THEN AND NOW; THERE WAS/IS:

- no 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale actual lethal pathogen existence, such as new/novel Ebola, Cholera, Bubonic Plague etc. OR lethal NEW/NOVEL alleged Corona Virus/Covid-19.
- no 'Hard-evidence' of Mass-scale exponential growth of pathogens
- no 'Hard-evidence' of exponential growth of mass-contagions,
- no 'Hard-evidence' of exponential growth of mass-virulence,
- no 'Hard-evidence' of exponential growth of mass-infections,
- no 'Hard-evidence' of exponential growth of mass-deaths,
- no 'Hard-evidence' of exponential growth of mass-decline in recoveries recorded at all.

There is only ONE definitive procedure that MUST be conducted in ANY determinant of a pandemic Pathogen existing, which is the internationally accredited Koch's Postulates Test. Koch's postulates are as follows:

- The bacteria must be present in every case of the disease.
- The bacteria must be isolated from the host with the disease and grown in pure culture.
- The specific disease must be reproduced when a pure culture of the bacteria is inoculated into a healthy susceptible host.
- The bacteria must be recoverable from the experimentally infected host.

The Chief Health Officer or the Chief Medical Officer not only DID NOT EVER conduct one test that was lawfully bound to test, a breach of obligations under the Australian Health Management Plan for Pandemic Influenza, but this is IGNORED and the FACT that SARS, MERS, Cold, Flu, viruses are 'ALL' proven to exist by the definitive Koch's Postulates Gold Standard; but COVID-19 DOES NOT EXIST under this test! This is criminal. Chief Health Officer Dr Jeanette Young has committed criminal acts of False and Misleading Reports and Information, Misfeasance In Public Office, Failed Scientific Guidance, and interference with the exercise or performance of an Australian democratic or political right or duty, and the Constitution or a law of the Commonwealth.

On the grounds of ALL agreed pandemic measures such as:

- NORMAL seasonal-virus outbreaks,
- Symptom similarity to Mild Flu for 99.9% of cases,
- Extremely low stand-alone and comparative harm-virulence,
- Failure of Covid-19 to exist on Koch's Postulates tests,
- Failure of PCR testing AND,
- False and misleading information.

These are CRIMINAL breaches of our Federal Criminal Code Act 1995 Division 137.1; by Kelly, Coatsworth and Kidd, for 'issuing this destructive false & misleading information of classifying this NORMAL virus a pandemic.

The catastrophic financial ruin, economic destruction, mass-deaths from suicides and elective surgery loss; destruction of businesses, jobs losses, collapse of tax-budgets and young people' careers and futures; CANNOT be measured and are directly caused by these CRIMINAL breaches of Kelly, Coatsworth and Kidd; issuing this destructive 'false & misleading information.

The Australian government DID NOT declare a PANDEMIC! It only declared a PANDEMIC 'POTENTIAL'

The Australian Health Management Plan for Pandemic Influenza DEMANDS Dr J Young devise responses where she is obliged to do scientific-epidemiological studies to PROVE a pandemic & catastrophic death-risk exists as follows:

- the use of existing systems and governance mechanisms, particularly those for seasonal influenza.
- evidence-based decision making.
- monitor the emergence of diseases with pandemic potential and investigating outbreaks if they occur.
- identify and characterise the nature of the disease.
- ensure a proportionate response.

The following world scientists' peer-reviewed and scientific papers unequivocally prove you have committed criminal acts of False and Misleading Reports and Information, Misfeasance In Public Office, Failed Scientific Guidance, and interference with the exercise or performance of an Australian democratic or political right or duty, and the Constitution or a law of the Commonwealth.

This is my submission and I do not support the above Bill 2021 as there is no real known risk for this extension.

Please investigate this matter immediately and advise results and refer this to the Crime and Corruption Commission.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Allona Lahn

Peer-reviewed and Scientific Papers:

1. Zuckerman DM. Emergency Use Authorizations (EUAs) Versus FDA Approval: Implications for COVID-19 and Public Health. *Am J Public Health* [Internet]. 2021 Jun;111(6):1065–9. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2021.306273>
2. Food and Drug Administration. Development and Licensure of Vaccines to Prevent COVID-19: Guidance for Industry [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 6]. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/media/139638/download>
3. Food and Drug Administration. FDA Briefing Document. Janssen Ad26.COV2.S Vaccine for the Prevention of COVID-19 [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 May 28]. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/media/146217/download>
4. CDC. Risk for COVID-19 infection, hospitalization, and death by age group [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 May 28]. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/covid-data/investigations-discovery/hospitalization-death-by-age.html>
5. CDC. COVID-19 Pandemic Planning Scenarios [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 May 28]. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/planning-scenarios.html>
6. CDC. Estimated disease burden of COVID-19 [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 May 28]. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-updates/burden.html>
7. Dan JM, Mateus J, Kato Y, Hastie KM, Yu ED, Faliti CE, et al. Immunological memory to SARS-CoV-2 assessed for up to 8 months after infection. *Science* [Internet]. 2021 Feb 5;371(6529). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.abf4063>
8. Turner JS, Kim W, Kalaidina E, Goss CW, Rauseo AM, Schmitz AJ, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection induces long-lived bone marrow plasma cells in humans. *Nature* [Internet]. 2021 May 24; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03647-4>
9. Breton G, Mendoza P, Hagglof T, Oliveira TY, Schaefer-Babajew D, Gaebler C, et al. Persistent Cellular Immunity to SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *bioRxiv* [Internet]. 2020 Dec 9; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.08.416636>
10. Hall VJ, Foulkes S, Charlett A, Atti A, Monk EJM, Simmons R, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection rates of antibody-positive compared with antibody-negative health-care workers in England: a large, multicentre, prospective cohort study (SIREN). *Lancet* [Internet]. 2021 Apr 17;397(10283):1459–69. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00675-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00675-9)
11. Krammer F, Srivastava K, Simon V, the PARIS team. Robust spike antibody responses and increased reactivity in seropositive individuals after a single dose of SARS-CoV-2

- mRNA vaccine [Internet]. bioRxiv. medRxiv; 2021. Available from: <http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.01.29.21250653>
12. Samanovic MI, Cornelius AR, Wilson JP, Karmacharya T, Gray-Gaillard SL, Allen JR, et al. Poor antigen-specific responses to the second BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine dose in SARS-CoV-2-experienced individuals, medRxiv [Internet]. 2021 Feb 9; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.07.21251311>
13. Camara C, Lozano-Ojalvo D, Lopez-Granados E, Paz-Artal E, Pion M, Correa-Rocha R, et al. Differential effects of the second SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine dose on T cell immunity in naïve and COVID-19 recovered individuals [Internet]. bioRxiv. 2021 [cited 2021 May 28]. p. 2021.03.22.436441. Available from: <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.03.22.436441v1>
14. Levi R, Azzolini E, Pozzi C, Ubaldi L, Lagioia M, Mantovani A, et al. A cautionary note on recall vaccination in ex-COVID-19 subjects [Internet]. bioRxiv. medRxiv; 2021. Available from: <http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.02.01.21250923>
15. Ogata AF, Cheng C-A, Desjardins M, Senussi Y, Sherman AC, Powell M, et al. Circulating SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine Antigen Detected in the Plasma of mRNA-1273 Vaccine Recipients. Clin Infect Dis [Internet]. 2021 May 20; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab465>
16. Kuba K, Imai Y, Rao S, Gao H, Guo F, Guan B, et al. A crucial role of angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) in SARS coronavirus-induced lung injury. Nat Med [Internet]. 2005 Aug;11(8):875–9. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nm1267>
17. Chen I-Y, Chang SC, Wu H-Y, Yu T-C, Wei W-C, Lin S, et al. Upregulation of the chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 via a severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike-ACE2 signaling pathway. J Virol [Internet]. 2010 Aug;84(15):7703–12. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02560-09>
18. Patra T, Meyer K, Geerling L, Isbell TS, Hoft DF, Brien J, et al. SARS-CoV-2 spike protein promotes IL-6 trans-signaling by activation of angiotensin II receptor signaling in epithelial cells. PLoS Pathog [Internet]. 2020 Dec;16(12):e1009128. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009128>
19. Zhang S, Liu Y, Wang X, Yang L, Li H, Wang Y, et al. SARS-CoV-2 binds platelet ACE2 to enhance thrombosis in COVID-19. J Hematol Oncol [Internet]. 2020 Sep 4;13(1):120. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13045-020-00954-7>
20. Suresh SJ, Suzuki YJ. SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein and Lung Vascular Cells. Journal of Respiration [Internet]. 2020 Dec 31 [cited 2021 May 25];1(1):40–8. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/2673-527X/1/1/4>
21. Angeli F, Spanevello A, Reboldi G, Visca D, Verdecchia P. SARS-CoV-2 vaccines: Lights and shadows. Eur J Intern Med [Internet]. 2021 Apr 30; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2021.04.019>
22. Han M, Pandey D. ZMPSTE24 Regulates SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein-enhanced Expression of Endothelial Plasminogen Activator Inhibitor-1. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol [Internet]. 2021 May 18; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1165/rcmb.2020-0544OC>
23. Rhea EM, Logsdon AF, Hansen KM, Williams LM, Reed MJ, Baumann KK, et al. The S1 protein of SARS-CoV-2 crosses the blood-brain barrier in mice. Nat Neurosci [Internet]. 2021 Mar;24(3):368–78. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41593-020-00771-8>
24. Idrees D, Kumar V. SARS-CoV-2 spike protein interactions with amyloidogenic proteins: Potential clues to neurodegeneration. Biochem Biophys Res Commun [Internet]. 2021 May 21;554:94–8. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2021.03.100>

25. Lei Y, Zhang J, Schiavon CR, He M, Chen L, Shen H, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein Impairs Endothelial Function via Downregulation of ACE 2. *Circ Res* [Internet]. 2021 Apr 30;128(9):1323–6. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.121.318902>
26. Zhang L, Richards A, Barrasa MI, Hughes SH, Young RA, Jaenisch R. Reverse-transcribed SARS-CoV-2 RNA can integrate into the genome of cultured human cells and can be expressed in patient- derived tissues. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* [Internet]. 2021 May 25;118(21). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2105968118>
27. Suzuki YJ, Nikolaienko SI, Dibrova VA, Dibrova YV, Vasylyk VM, Novikov MY, et al. SARS-CoV-2 spikeprotein-mediated cell signaling in lung vascular cells. *Vascul Pharmacol* [Internet]. 2021 Apr;137:106823. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vph.2020.106823>
28. Suzuki YJ, Gychka SG. SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein Elicits Cell Signaling in Human Host Cells: Implications for Possible Consequences of COVID-19 Vaccines. *Vaccines (Basel)* [Internet]. 2021 Jan 11;9(1). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9010036>
29. Ogata AF, Maley AM, Wu C, Gilboa T, Norman M, Lazarovits R, et al. Ultra-sensitive Serial Profiling of SARS-CoV-2 Antigens and Antibodies in Plasma to Understand Disease Progression in COVID-19 Patients with Severe Disease. *Clin Chem* [Internet]. 2020 Sep 8; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/clinchem/hvaa213>
30. Kloc M, Uosef A, Kubiak JZ, Ghobrial RM. Exaptation of Retroviral Syncytin for Development of Syncytialized Placenta, Its Limited Homology to the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein and Arguments against Disturbing Narrative in the Context of COVID-19 Vaccination. *Biology* [Internet]. 2021 Mar 19;10(3). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/biology10030238>
31. Khan I, Hatiboglu MA. Can COVID-19 induce glioma tumorigenesis through binding cell receptors? *Med Hypotheses* [Internet]. 2020 Nov;144:110009. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2020.110009>
32. Singh N, Bharara Singh A. S2 subunit of SARS-nCoV-2 interacts with tumor suppressor protein p53 and BRCA: an in silico study. *Transl Oncol* [Internet]. 2020 Oct;13(10):100814. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2020.100814>
33. Madla CM, Gavins FKH, Merchant H, Orlu M, Murdan S, Basit AW. Let's Talk About Sex: Differences in Drug Therapy in Males and Females. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* [Internet]. 2021 May 17; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2021.05.014>
34. European Medicines Agency. Assessment Report. Comirnaty (COVID-19 mRNA vaccine (nucleoside-modified)), EMA/707383/2020 Corr.1 [Internet]. 2021 Feb [cited 2021 Apr 13]. Available from: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/assessment-report/comirnaty-epar-public-assessment-report_en.pdf#page=45
35. European Medicines Agency. Assessment Report. COVID-19 Vaccine Moderna (COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine (nucleoside-modified)), EMA/15689/2021 Corr.1 [Internet]. 2021 Mar [cited 2021 Apr 13]. Available from: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/assessment-report/covid-19-vaccine-moderna-epar-public-assessment-report_en.pdf#page=47
36. European Medicines Agency. Assessment Report. COVID-19 Vaccine Janssen, EMA/158424/2021 [Internet]. 2021 Mar [cited 2021 Apr 13]. Available from: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/assessment-report/covid-19-vaccine-janssen-epar-public-assessment-report_en.pdf#page=50
37. Pfizer. SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccine (BNT162, PF-07302048) 2.6.4 Yakubutsu dōtai shiken no gaiyō bun [summary of pharmacokinetic studies] [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 May 28]. Available from: https://www.pmda.go.jp/drugs/2021/P20210212001/672212000_30300AMX00231_I100_1.pdf#page=16

38. CDC. Selected adverse events reported after COVID-19 vaccination [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 May 28]. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/safety/adverse-events.html>
39. Doshi P. FDA response to BMJ on reports of death after covid-19 vaccination [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 May 28]. Available from: <https://www.bmj.com/content/372/bmj.n149/rr-25>
40. Wyller TB, Kittang BR, Ranhoff AH, Harg P, Myrstad M. Nursing home deaths after COVID-19 vaccination. Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen [Internet]. 2021 May 20;141. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4045/tidsskr.21.0383>
41. Torjesen I. Covid-19: Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine is “likely” responsible for deaths of some elderly patients, Norwegian review finds. BMJ [Internet]. 2021 May 27 [cited 2021 May 28];373. Available from: <https://www.bmj.com/content/373/bmj.n1372>
42. Food and Drug Administration. Coronavirus (COVID-19) update: FDA Issues Policies to guide medical product developers addressing virus variants [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 May 28]. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/coronavirus-covid-19-update-fda-issues-policies-guide-medical-product-developers-addressing-virus>
43. Owens C. Vaccine boosters could be necessary as soon as September [Internet]. Axios. 2021 [cited 2021 May 28]. Available from: <https://www.axios.com/coronavirus-vaccines-boosters-pfizer-moderna-e8d6bed6-8238-4e52-9959-ca4c6a6e0d5a.html>
44. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. N Engl J Med [Internet]. 2020 Dec 31;383(27):2603–15. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2034577>
45. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, Kotloff K, Frey S, Novak R, et al. Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. N Engl J Med [Internet]. 2021 Feb 4;384(5):403–16. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2035389>
46. Thacker PD. Covid-19: How independent were the US and British vaccine advisory committees? BMJ [Internet]. 2021 May 26;373:n1283. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n1283>
47. Moderna. SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccine (Moderna) 2.6.4 Yakubutsu dōtai shiken no gaiyō bun [summary of pharmacokinetic studies] [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2021 May 29]. Available from: https://www.pmda.go.jp/drugs/2021/P20210519003/400256000_30300AMX00266_I100_1.pdf#page=7