Christine Walton



Committee Secretary Economics and Governance Committee Parliament House George Street Brisbane Qld 4000

Members of the Committee,

My name is Christine Walton, I am a citizen of Australia, residing in Gladstone, Queensland.

I write to you today to vehemently oppose the <u>Public Health and Other Legislation (Further Extension of</u> <u>Expiring Provisions) Amendment Bill 2021</u>.

By extending the 'State of Emergency' and making these amendments, you are effectively stripping your citizens of Queensland of their fundamental Human Rights.

As stated on the Australian Human Rights Commission Website:

- Any measures that limit people's human rights must be necessary.
- The measures chosen must be the least intrusive measures possible that will still be effective.
- They must be Prescribed by Law:
 - To be prescribed by law, limitations on people's human rights must be clearly set out in laws (or a comparable measure, for example, delegated legislation) that are public and made in advance.
 - The laws must disclose with sufficient certainty the extent of interference with people's human rights and the reasons for it.
 - The laws must be clear, specific, targeted and have defined limits. They must not give governments unchecked powers.
 - The law must be clearly communicated and accessible for people so it can be understood by everyone in the community

I do not believe that *all* of your actions to date and those outlined in the Bill Amendments are necessary or proportionate.

Especially given that:

• Australia had a total of **30,106** confirmed cases at the time of writing of the Explanatory Notes, with **910** of those cases resulting in death. This <u>equates to a total death rate of 3.025%</u>.

• Queensland (at the time of writing of Explanatory Notes) had **1618** confirmed cases with **7** of those cases resulting in death. *Equating to a death rate of 0.45%.*

You could argue, and I am sure you will, that the low cases of COVID-19 are a result of your actions placed upon your populace. However, I would argue (as would a vast number of scientists and doctors who are currently being silenced by our mainstream media), that the longer you enforce such measures to prevent the spread of COVID-19, a virus that has a 97% - 99.75% recovery rate, throughout the community, the longer this government leaves the populace of Queensland vulnerable to mutated strains.

It is scientific fact that the longer a virus is kept circulating around communities the more prominent COVID-19 variants will become. Further to that, the less effective any vaccine will be for those who choose to participate in such a program - as you have acknowledged in your Explanatory Notes of <u>Public</u> <u>Health and Other Legislation (Further Extension of Expiring Provisions) Amendment Bill 2021.</u>

At this point I would also like to address the 'vaccination' program.

I do not feel I need to relay statistics by the TGA, nor do I feel I need to address the direct effects caused by untested vaccines on your population. These should be well known by now. Instead, I would like to point out that when Doctors and Doctor Surgeries are being paid for the roll out of a vaccine and they are being paid by each 'jab' administered, there are not a great many of doctors that are willing to dissuade any persons from receiving the 'jab', even when it is not a good fit for the individual.

In fact many doctors have been reportedly telling their patients that any effect they are experiencing from the COVID-19 jab need not be reported; or is perhaps caused by something else. I had a similar experience when my son had an adverse reaction to a vaccine within 15 minutes of the injection. The administering doctor neglected to report the event and made me out to be an irrational, overconcerned mother.

By paying our doctors per 'jab' administered. The government is effectively buying their silence. Adverse events are being grossly under reported because of this and thus we do not have accurate data.

I would also like it to be noted that there are now studies coming out that affirm long term immunity to COVID after infection, immunity that is possibly lifelong.

On the flipside of this, there are studies out that state those of whom have received the COVID jab may be at higher risk of complication if they contract COVID-19 or variant of.

Further, I do not see, and have not seen whatsoever, *any* clear communication to those of us in the community of whom these amendments / legislations affect. This Government needs to be transparent with the actions you wish to take upon citizens of Queensland.

I am extremely disappointed in this governments conduct from the very beginning of this *falsely proclaimed 'pandemic'. You are an elected government, and as such, we, as your electorates expect you to protect our fundamental human rights when making decisions on behalf of the Queensland populace for our public health. You have not done this.

I urge you to listen to your citizens, we do not need to be treated as prisoners in our own state, under the guise of 'Public Health Protection'.

Please, protect our privacy, protect our right to move freely throughout our communities and, instead of locking us down and tracking us; I implore you to allow and encourage the use of medicines that have been deemed safe and effective against COVID-19 and provide a health response that is proportionate. You have now had well over a year to prepare for any possible outbreaks. If our medical community is not prepared now, that is a failure by this elected government and its health officials.

Sincerely,

Christine Walton Citizen of Queensland

*falsely proclaimed

The definition of 'pandemic' was changed by the World Health Organization's, Emergency Committee in June of 2009 to no longer include the requirement to show <u>how severe</u> the impact of a virus would be on the populace.

COVID would not have been proclaimed 'pandemic' when it was, under the original definition.