

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Economics and Governance Committee](#)
Subject: Opposing The Public Health and Other Legislation (Further Extension of Expiring Provisions) Amendment Bill 2021
Date: Tuesday, 6 July 2021 4:53:52 PM

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing in regard to some grave concerns I have about current impositions on the health and privacy of individuals in your electorate, and beyond. I would appreciate a response to the following questions:

1. Considering all research to this point proves the ineffectiveness of wearing masks to reduce the risk of viral infections, and even proves the detrimental impact to health they contribute to, why is it currently mandated for citizens to wear them?

I am sure you are aware that there is a long and broad list of sources to studies that make it clear beyond reasonable doubt that mask wearing is at best virtue signalling, and at worst a betrayal of confidence that our government is interested in the good health of the people. In respect of your time, I won't list those here, but am happy to send the list should you request that.

2. Why is the government moving against scientific studies to impose unhealthy mandates of mask wearing on its constituents, with the claim that it is for their better health? Worse still, why is the government claiming it is how communities can protect each other from 'the spread', when in reality it cannot?

3. Why is the government imposing itself on the health choices that are the inalienable right of an individual?

This question is ultimately in relation to the alarming rate at which the government is demanding an uptake of the injections that relate to the COVID-19 situation. All of these injections are in their experimental stages and therefore it is unlawful to demand and coerce people to be involved in their uptake. Considering the four stage plan the government has just released, this pressure is set to increase rather than be neutral. This should be an option amongst others where people are able to choose what is best for themselves, as I'm sure you would agree in your line of expertise, there is not a 'one size fits all' when it comes to peoples health.

It has also come to my attention that legislation was brought in, and amendments made quietly in December 2020 regarding the use of our Defence Force and foreign troops during times of emergency and national response. This is Act #146. I am horrified at the implications of this legislation as we are being held in constant states of emergency where this Act could be utilised to fulfil the current plans of the government to boost the injection uptake.

The WHO itself has said previously that there is no pandemic, and the TGA data points to the fact there is no real emergency, no pandemic. With all due respect, we are always hearing about 'cases', not deaths from this virus. Australians are not sick, and are generally asymptomatic even when 'testing positive'. The TGA data clearly points to more damage being done to peoples health since the rollout of the said injections, with only one covid-19 related death to this point this year. My question is this:

4. As the government commits itself to the unfolding of its four stage plan, the 'National COVID-19 Response', will the Australian Defence Force be used to achieve its goals?

I realise the government has said many times that the injections will not be mandatory, but this leaves room for them to be made compulsory at another time. This leads to my final question:

5. As part of the booster programme mentioned in the National Response, or at any time to your knowledge, at any stage of the National Response, will the injections become compulsory?

Kind Regards,

Shelley Darlington

[REDACTED]