

SUBMISSION *Public Health and Other Legislation (Further Extension of Expiring Provisions) Amendment Act 2021*

To: egc@parliament.qld.gov.au

Economics and Governance Committee, Parliament House,
George Street Brisbane Qld 4000

By : Armanda Martin

Date: 6th July 2021

I submit the following for your consideration.

NOTE: The author reserves all rights and submits this without prejudice in good faith. References to these points can be given if the reader wishes to seek the truth. The timeframe for submissions was inadequate to complete that task.

1. POLICY AIMS AND SOLUTIONS

Submission consideration:

On Page 16 of the *Explanatory Notes* to this Bill (future quotes are from these notes) it states that ***“There is no alternative method of achieving the policy objective.”*** Since this seems to be your first attempt at consultation with the general community (p38), it would seem that this statement would lead you to disregard and dismiss any comment from the ***“broader community”*** and any suggestions for an alternative solution set. If you do so, then this would show a total disregard for a voice of the people of Queensland who elected this government which claims to be their representatives. So I trust you will not censor and that you will seriously consider with **due unbiased diligence** any submissions to you by the ***“broader community”***.

Policy Aims:

To protect the health, safety and welfare of Queenslanders; mitigate the spread of COVID-19 in the community; and facilitate the continued functioning of Queensland's institutions and economy to the extent possible, protect the health of the public. The **emergency powers** to be legislated must be carried out such that "*the person giving the direction or order **must reasonably believe that it is necessary** to assist in containing or responding to the spread of COVID-19.must be **revoked** if the Chief Health Officer or emergency officer is satisfied the direction is **no longer necessary**.*"

Note: the Public Health Act that this Bill is modifying DOES NOT define EMERGENCY.

Under sect 475 of the Biosecurity Act 2015 the Governor-General has the power and authority to make a Declaration Order.

Commonwealth laws take precedence over state laws.

2. COVID-19 THREAT.

Firstly the existence of a virus called COVID-19 has yet to be proven by empirical science as opposed to a graphic computer theoretical construct or a registered patent. (first patented in the Pirbright Institute in Surrey 2016 & 2017 then later in the USA).

Neither the university of Western Australia https://www.drrobertyoung.com/post/university-of-western-australia-no-record-of-isolation-or-purification-of-cov-by-anyone-ever?utm_campaign=2e12101b-0039-4f09-93ab-4fdb897e301e&utm_source=so&utm_medium=mail&cid=798ddd86-a53a-45fc-b2a3-e41c786aca15

nor the CDC

<https://www.drrobertyoung.com/post/cdc-now-admits-no-gold-standard-for-the-isolation-for-any-virus>

can find anyone anywhere in the world who has isolated COVID-19 virus.

Since it has never been collected from patients, isolated, then used to prove causality as per Koch's protocol, it cannot be said to

cause the symptoms attributed to it. There are other explanations for the symptoms seen.

Hence also 'variants' have never been isolated and proved to cause disease. As I am not a scientist, I question how a 'virus' can be DNA sequenced as I understand that it cannot exist outside a host cell, in this case a human cell. Therefore COVID-19 virus is not an actual threat or the cause of the perceived threat.

Transmission can never be proved either as a positive PCR test does not prove transmission (see below under PCR TEST). Since transmission is not provable it cannot be scientifically proven that this 'new' or any other 'variant' can be more severe, or contagious than another. “Community acquired cases” cannot be proven either.

IMPORTANT NOTE: in the links above you can see what happens to healthy cells in the body when injected with the experimental RNA modifying drug. Every cell in the body almost, has been injured and turned into a “Covid like” looking molecule. A horrifying outcome. The spiked proteins DO NOT stay at the injection site. The whole blood system is damaged irreversibly! This is not theory. This is what is actually happening to the blood cells throughout the body ie all organs and systems affected including DNA. The body is then not equipped to handle further attack by pathogens.

3. PCR TESTS

The standard test for COVID-19 is the PCR test. The inventor of the PCR test said it was never designed to diagnose ANYTHING. The sample taken from a patient is first minuscule and from only one site in the body. Whatever is present at that point (usually the nose) cannot be said to be throughout the body like in a blood test. So first it does **not determine extent or quantity nor severity**.

The **procedure itself is dangerous** as it can penetrate the thin membrane and permit brain fluid to be released.

The “positive or negative” result of the 'test' is not a definite result as with a positive or negative battery charge or a litmus test result. It is complex and counts particles, not the virus, in the sample which is magnified many times. These particles can at times be just a part of a normal metabolism. Coca Cola, paw paw, diesel fuel and a goat have all tested positive. A magnification of up to 20-25 cycles may show some useful data (not COVID-19) but greater than that is virtually scientifically meaningless and currently cycles seem to be set to about 40 or above to create elevated 'positive' results. The packaging to some test kits say they do not test for COVID-19.

There are also problems with cross-contamination of the samples in the system of testing. So results are meaningless for many reasons.

It is upon these meaningless results that the government and Chief Health Officers make their decisions and declare emergencies, disasters and threats and instigate their restrictions and controls on the population suddenly taking away many human inalienable rights as well as destroying businesses and the social fabric of life. Currently there are cases being won all over the world by a team of thousands of lawyers, doctors, scientists, researchers, in class action law suits based on this fraudulent test. Eventually there will be a world court like Neuremberg to hear a worldwide class action for crimes against humanity for those who have relied on these tests to deprive people of their human rights, cause harm, and much more.

Conclusion: this PCR test cannot be relied on to create 'numbers of cases' in order to unjustly control the population and instil fear. If people are coerced for forced to take the test this is in violation of Sec.60 of the Biosecurity Act 2015.

4. EMERGENCY and DISASTER DECLARATIONS.

Firstly, 'emergency' has NOT BEEN DEFINED in the legislation. In other legal documents an 'emergency' must be DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED. That is it has to be obvious eg a hurricane, a flood, people dropping dead in the street, hospitals overflowing. We have not had any of that. **Hospitals have had very few patients supposedly with COVID-19** and unless you have a test, which doesn't diagnose COVID-19 anyway, you don't know you 'have it'! Symptoms are just a variation of the flu or hay-fever or the common cold or similar. Most of the 'cases' identified through PCR tests have NOT been clinically examined as per the requirements of the Biosecurity Act 2015 and normal medical procedure. So these 'cases' cannot be said with any certainty to be “infectious or a danger” to prompt an emergency. The death rate overall in Australia is no more than normal and in fact hardly anyone has died of the flu last year! So where on earth is the 'emergency'? It is not demonstrably justified. We don't create declare emergency or disaster for the flu or any other more deadly diseases. Fabricated fear generated by a handful of positive test results of a fraudulent test and the language of the government and media create the emergency. More have died of the “vaccine” than from the supposed COVID-19. *.”As at 31 May 2021.... seven deaths relating to COVID-19 being Queensland residents”*. Hardly a justifiable emergency.

The **EMERGENCY IS NOT DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED**. There is **NO REASON** to extend the period of regulation from 14 to 90 days due to COVID-19 and to *“set aside the entitlement to compensation for loss or damage suffered as a result of the exercise of powers under the Disaster Management Act (2003) related to the COVID-19 emergency.”*

5. INTERFERENCE IN THE DOCTOR PATIENT RELATIONSHIP and Public Health education.

The Queensland Government has made it a crime for doctors to prescribe hydroxychloroquine, a proven, safe, cheap, effective remedy for the symptoms people are experiencing that are believed to have COVID-19. The government has interfered in this relationship and denied the doctor's patients access to a safe effective solution that would bring an end to the symptoms that constitute the supposed 'emergency'. This situation causes personal harm to doctor and patient alike.

The Queensland Health Department has not given the public information and guidelines on how to actively boost their natural immune system with supplements, diet, exercise, lifestyle and so build resistance to any infectious disease. Had they supported this project and the natural spread of the virus so that natural and safe herd immunity was achieved there would be no need for 'emergency' declarations and restrictions and the like. The 'virus' would naturally die out as people would gain immunity with no cost to business, the economy and the social fabric of life. There would be vastly reduced load on the hospital system thus saving the government money.

6. VALIDITY OF ORDERS, DIRECTIVES, ETC.

Orders, directives, restrictions, etc. of all kinds are all invalid in view of above as they are based on scientifically meaningless PCR test results. Transmission is not proven. Cause is not proven.

Masks – wearing of masks is useless as viruses are nanoparticles that pass straight through. The fact that they are very dangerous to health is supported by hundreds of clinical research papers. They also have detrimental social and psychological effects especially

on the children. There is no valid science that proves they are of any value at all. Science proves harm.

Social distancing: This is also invalid as transmission has not been proven and social distancing causes emotional, psychological and relationship damage.

Restrictions on gathering numbers imposes destruction of the social fabric of life resulting in emotional, mental, physical and other problems including financial.

To deny people their inalienable rights such as singing, dancing, close contact and freedom of association is damaging in so many ways and denies some the ability to practice their own religion which is against the Constitution as well.

Border crossings and free travel is provided for under the Constitution and is an inalienable right. These rights have been deluged at the whim of the government often without much warning causing all sorts of harm, economic, social, personal, emotional, business disruption and losses, etc.

All these above are detrimental to the public health (physical, mental, spiritual, emotional, social) of people of age groups. They create fear, stress, and anxiety which all deplete the immune system.

Why is it necessary to *“increase the period for which a regulation may extend a declared public health emergency from seven to 90 days”* since there is no real threat and the emergency declaration under the Commonwealth Constitution can only be declared by the Governor-General?

Any of the above can only be decreed under the authority of a biosecurity control order formulated in accordance with the provisions of Sect. 60 of the Biosecurity Act 2015. All measures in that order must restrict the person's inalienable rights as little as possible and for the minimum amount of time and only apply to a

clinically examined and infected individual person etc. (see Sect. 61). This order can never be applied in a blanket form to groups of people.

7. QUARANTINE & BORDER RESTRICTIONS

Quarantine is the jurisdiction of the Commonwealth under the Commonwealth Constitution. Quarantine is only for sick people, animals and plant. Never do you quarantine the healthy. Thus the Biosecurity Act 2015 should be followed as in any case it takes precedence over any State law. The State laws, rules and orders are in violation of the Biosecurity Act section 61 in the way it dictates that an infectious disease should be handled.

Freedom of travel within and between states is a right under the Commonwealth Constitution. See also Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, CCPR General Comment No. 27 Article 12 (Freedom of Movement) which confirms the freedom of travel both in and out of the country and within and between states.

8. QR CODES & APPS & CONTACT TRACING

This Bill “authorise(s) the sharing of confidential information for contact tracing;”

QR Codes and contact tracing are in direct **violation of the Australian Privacy Act Sect 94H.**

Telecommunications are the jurisdiction of the Commonwealth therefore the States cannot rule what or how you must use your mobile phone. If transmission cannot be scientifically proven there is no need for contact tracing anyway.

As well, coercing businesses to provide contact tracing services with the threat of a penalty and closure, is in **violation of the CRIMINAL CODE ACT 1995** providing severe penalties as it effectively brings those business owners into servitude and

without any remuneration. It also coerces business owners to act unlawfully towards their customers, either by violating the Privacy Act or restricting their right to service. Any form of coercion is in violation of the Commonwealth competition and consumer act.

9. VACCINATION

“emergency powers will remain until a large number of the Queensland population has been vaccinated “

SEE END NOTE TO POINT 2. ABOVE. what actually happens to the blood system throughout the body after the shot.

The population will never be “vaccinated” against COVID-19 because these 'shots' are not vaccines by definition but are a part of a worldwide experiment to inject an artificial and toxic mix of substances and software technology into people to modify their RNA which in turn modifies their DNA permanently. This is a highly risky experimental treatment. The manufacturers do not say it will protect you from getting COVID-19 nor from transmitting it and warn of severe side effects and even death (see Pfizer insert). Moderna describes their product as 'software'. This type of treatment HAS NEVER BEEN USED BEFORE ON HUMANS and they stopped animal trials of this technology when most of the animals died. There have been voluminous reports of horrendous side effects and many deaths, often within 24hrs of receiving the experimental drug. If someone feels nauseous from taking a natural supplement on one occasion the whole company would get shut down. Experts claim that everyone receiving the experimental shot will die within 2-5 years.

What is the use of this experimental drug if you can still contract and spread the 'infection'? How does that benefit Queenslanders? And for those who die or are adversely affected, there is no compensation from anyone not even your own health and life insurance.

Detrimental effects on the world population are horrific and yet we are censored in talking about it and the government continues

to claim they are safe and effective when there have been NO SAFETY TRIALS and the current trials consisting of the compliant population are not due to be completed for several years and the manufacturers THEMSELVES say the shots are dangerous and yet are totally indemnified against any harm to the recipient.

This is a final warning to humanity from former Pfizer Chief Scientist Michael Yeadon:

<https://seed163.bitchute.com/Op9abj4pN3mq/9Ci2jK1yFoOd.mp4>

*“The ongoing circulation of the virus in countries with limited public health responses, overwhelmed public health systems and limited or inequitable access to vaccination continues to invite further and **potentially vaccine-resistant variants**. “ This statement has no scientific foundation or factual evidence and cannot be used to justify the measures in this Bill or the measures in the original Act.*

Coercion to get the experimental shot is in violation of Section 53 (xxiiiA) of the Commonwealth Constitution and the Nuremberg Code.

10. VIOLATING PUBLIC HEALTH DIRECTIONS

“The penalty provision is considered reasonable and appropriate to protect the community from the risk of transmission of COVID-19 by those who violate public health directions and, thereby, put the community at risk.” Explanatory Notes extract

The issuing of fines for violating state public health directions is in violation of Sect.8, sub-section 12 of the Imperial Acts Application Act which states that “All promises of fines and forfeitures of particular persons before conviction are illegal and void.”

As transmission cannot be scientifically proven (see above points) then a penalty cannot be given validly for “putting the community at risk” nor does it “protect the community from the risk of transmission”.

11. ACTING IN EXCESS OF POWER AND UNLAWFULLY
COVID-19 Emergency Response Act 2020, Justice and Other Legislation (COVID-19 Emergency Response) Amendment Act 2020, COVID-19 Emergency Response and Other Legislation Amendment Act 2020 and COVID-19 Emergency Response and Other Legislation Amendment Act 2021

These above laws have been said to have received 'assent'. Could you please then provide the transcripts of the three readings in Parliament, the vote counts, and the Certificate of Proclamation as required under the Commonwealth Constitution to verify that they are lawful laws? If not, then the amendments proposed in this Bill would have no affect in any case, and the Acts this Bill amends would be unlawful for that reason alone.

In addition Queensland is a corporation and not acting under the true crown of the Commonwealth.

Under Section 109 of the Commonwealth Constitution all of these aforesaid Acts, where inconsistent with Commonwealth laws, are invalid as the Biosecurity Act 2015 deals with biosecurity matters and the Constitution with emergency declarations.

The issuing of “*Electronic service of quarantine directions*” is contrary to the Biosecurity Act 2015 in its manner of issue and in its formulation according to Sect. 61.

If this Bill wishes to facilitate “*the implementation of the National Cabinet decisions*” by what authority under the Commonwealth Constitution is the National Cabinet convened and what power under the Constitution does it have?

If the intention of the extension of powers both in time and force is to “*minimise disruption to Queensland institutions and the economy, and to continue to support the public health response,*” how can that possibly be achieved as all the extensions, uncertainty, closures, restrictions, applied at will by the government on the basis of fraudulent PCR test results will absolutely do the reverse. Many people have lost their jobs and businesses as a result of lockdowns and restrictions already. It will not support the economy and will weaken the health of the public if this is continued on any longer. Is that the intention of this Bill after all? That method will fail to achieve the stated aims.

12. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT 2019 etc.

Please explain “the exceptional circumstances” that justify overriding the Human Rights Act (Qld) 2019? A few people supposedly dying of COVID-19, which has not been proven, is not an exceptional circumstance. Numbers of PCR positive test results DO NOT constitute “exceptional circumstances”. Very few people die of the “COVID-19” symptoms, less than the normal flu, and almost all make a full recovery except those who already have severely compromised immune systems.

Also there is NO justification for overriding The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, The Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights with all the various measures you have and plan to continue to impose on Queenslanders and visitors. These decisions have caused irreparable harm to many with cruel and harsh decisions made. Many claims of malfeasance could be justified.

The collecting, storage and future use of DNA records of tested people is not known nor is any privacy and protection ensured, especially considering the commercial involvement of foreign entities, posing serious security risks to individuals and the nation.

This in line with voting identity records being managed by foreign entities poses further national security concerns.

13. TAX WAIVER

...”Minister may make a gaming tax notice to defer or waive payment of a gaming tax payable.....if satisfied it is necessary to alleviate the financial burden caused by the COVID-19 emergency on gaming operators;”

This is discriminatory. What about all the financial burden you have place on other small businesses through lockdowns etc.??

14. DISREGARD OF SCIENTIFIC OPINION & EVIDENCE, & MainStreamMedia

There is so much suppression and censorship of any differing scientific opinions and research and no transparency and freedom of proper scientific enquiry into the matter of COVID-19 through the media, government and agencies.

Pressure to maintain the accepted narrative from governing, professional & commercial bodies such as NWO, WEF, UN, CDC, WHO, AMA, AHPRA, TGA, National Cabinet, The National COVID-19 Coordination Commission, CEPI, GPMB, Gates Foundation, etc. makes it virtually impossible for any real scientific truth to emerge as all these are supporting the same narrative for their own vested interests.

Therefore how can any doctor or health worker or public servant, especially Chief Health Officers, make informed decisions in the best interests of the general public? MainStreamMedia meanwhile reinforces this narrative and does not publish or permit any viable scientific discussion or enquiry. Social media and much of the internet is censored. How can the government be certain that their goal of protecting the health, safety and welfare of Queenslanders can be achieved when the truth is not permitted to be discovered by open enquiry and discussion because of censorship due to

vested interests and conflicts of interest??? Science and truth are founded on freedom of speech, discovery and empirical data. Without freedom of speech tyranny reigns.

15. ALTERNATIVE METHOD OF ACHIEVING POLICY OBJECTIVES.

1. Cease all PCR testing
2. Cease all restrictions, mandates, directives, lockdowns.
3. Allow doctors to freely prescribe what they think is best for their patients.
4. Decriminalise the prescription of Hydroxychloroquine and any other remedies that doctors and other health practitioners wish to prescribe for the health of their patients.
5. Cease all QR Code and App requirements
6. Allow businesses to operate as normal
7. Open all borders including international.
8. Encourage open scientific discussion without any censorship
9. Educate the public on how to build their health, immune systems and lead healthy lifestyles.
10. Do not declare Emergencies or Disasters for the symptoms of 'COVID-19' based on PCR test results.
11. Do not mandate the experimental RNA gene altering drugs. Warn the public of the manufacturers own labelling warnings and data and that there is no compensation for injury or death from anyone or any entity including insurance in most cases. Allow freedom of speech and information dissemination.
12. Take care of the vulnerable with adequate medical and natural treatment.
13. Isolate or quarantine only the sick in accordance with the Biosecurity Act 2015.
14. Cease to generate fear and anxiety by the language used and relying on unscientific data. Stop advertising these past control

measures and the experimental gene software modification shot as a vaccine or that it is necessary at all.

15. Use the Biosecurity Act 2015 to control any health outbreak provided that it is demonstrably justifiable and based on open and free scientific enquiry and evidence.

16. Allow free and uncensored discussion on all matters related to the COVID-19 situation and openly seek all available scientific research and data as well as community input.

17. Respect the rule of law in all matters and the lawful right of Governor-General to declare a state of Emergency under the Biosecurity Act 2015 Section 475. State laws must not be inconsistent with Commonwealth laws, Constitution section 109.