



From: Nicole McCloskey [REDACTED]
Subject: Submission related the 'Public Health and Other Legislation (Further Extension of Expiring Provisions) Amendment Bill 2021'.
Date: 6 July 2021 at 12:48 pm
To: egc@parliament.qld.gov.au

This submission relates to my objection towards the further extension of expiring provisions which are included in the 'Public Health and Other Legislation (Further Extension of Expiring provisions) Amendment Bill 2021'.

My name is Nicole McCloskey.

[REDACTED]

My objections are based on 1) that the State of Queensland Parliament has enacted laws which are in direct conflict with the Australian Constitutional Law :

Where State Law conflicts with Constitutional Law, Constitutional Law has legal precedence. Where Queensland Government State Law is in conflict with Australian Constitutional Law the Queensland Government Law is

invalid from the beginning. As an Australian Citizen I therefore call upon the Queensland State Government to comply with Constitutional Law in relation to the original Bill and the proposed Amendment Bill in compliance

with Constitutional Guarantee stated in Section 51, 23a so as not to impose any form of 'civil conscription' when enacting laws in relation to medical services.

Clause 5 of the Australian Constitution reminds you that the Australian Constitution is binding regardless of individual State Law.

The proposed Amendment Bill is an encroachment on the Political Liberties of the Queensland people in that it is forcing them to carry a mobile phone to enter places of business. It also and forcing upon them them in to

social isolation and loss of personal freedom.

The proposed Amendment Bill is in breach of the Privacy Act as it implies that individuals cannot enter places of business without scanning or signing in. This is incorrect and misleading.

The proposed Amendment Bill is also in conflict with Australian Consumer Laws in relation to discrimination against those who choose through their Constitutional Rights not to scan or sign in in order to enter a place of

business.

The examples that I have give regarding the conflict of Constitutional verses State Law are only a few. The proposed Amendment Bill is littered with conflict in regard to the Constitutional Guarantee as the actual proposed

Amendment Bill imposes actual 'civil conscription' in the provision of medical services and in so doing is immediately 'invalid'.

2) that the proposed Amendment Bill and the original Bill are based on medical falsehoods and deception :

* firstly the actual COVID-19 virus has never actually been isolated. Please prove me incorrect.

* secondly that the actual 'state of emergency' provisions are based on the misrepresentation that no alternative curative measures are available. The Queensland State Governments banning of the drug Ivermectin in April

2020 removed purposely a viable alternative treatment measure, which is in use world wide and has been so since this 'pandemic' started. The removal of a viable treatment alternative paved the way for the introduction and

use of the 'experimental' Covid vaccinations. In fact the use of an 'experimental' drug can only occur where no alternative is available. This is a blatant deception which may yet prove to be a fatal deception for those

Queenslanders who have died with Covid-19.

My concerns in regard to the 'Public Health and Other Legislation (Further Extension of Expiring Provisions) Amendment Bill 2021

Please accept my submission. Please respond to my concerns.
Nicole McCloskey.