

**Submission to the Queensland Parliament re: Public Health and Other Legislation (Further
Extension of Expiring Provisions) Amendment Bill 2021**

Author: Louisa Pearce

Address: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

July 7th 2021

I wish to submit factual information pertaining to the proposed bill (the Public Health and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2021), and to assert that this bill should not be passed in Parliament as it contravenes the founding laws of this country and is not in the best interests of the Queensland people.

The information in this submission pertains to any restrictions or violation of freedom of the people which can be potentially acted upon if this bill were to be passed; that includes restriction of movement, directives to wear masks, QR check-ins and mandatory, incentivised or coerced vaccination programs.

The information has been carefully vetted by a handful of preeminent constitutional lawyers, human rights barristers, and judges from around the globe. These people have been responsible for exposing crimes against humanity and winning history-making human rights cases both here in Australia and overseas. Thus everything I've stated in this document is factual.

May I begin by focusing on mandated medical interventions (masks and vaccines), and state that Australia has a unique Guarantee in its Constitution compared with every other country in the world. This is called **The Constitutional Guarantee**.

In 1946, Australia held a referendum and the people (our grandparents) voted for this Guarantee to be inserted into the Constitution. It provides a medical protection with regards to any medical procedures forced upon the people. Section 51.23a of the Constitution says that parliament has the power to make laws for peace, order and good government in respect to medical and dental services ***but not so as to authorise any form of civil conscription***. The High Court has spoken on this for over 60 years in settled case law. There have been 38 High Court judges involved and what these judges have interpreted 'civil conscription' to be (with regard to medical procedures) is that the doctor/patient relationship cannot be penetrated. It must be voluntary, it must be by your consent, and no third-party can get involved in this particular relationship. Not even the government can get involved with the doctor/patient relationship. Having said that, what the High Court has interpreted and defined is that the government cannot provide any legal or practicable compulsion for you or me to accept a medical procedure. It has to be totally voluntary and by your consent and if the consent is forced or the consent is withdrawn during the medical procedure; it is deemed assault.

This Guarantee is something that can be relied upon in respect to any encroachment of any government compulsion so as to force a medical procedure upon you. It's a long forgotten part of our constitutional history. Ever since 1946, there's been multiple cases calling for an interpretation of this particular provision of the Act and I feel the people of Australia need to know they can rely on Section 51.23a of the Australian Constitution. One case example was the Medical Practitioners case,

where the High Court said that the Commonwealth cannot write any laws so to impose immunisation or vaccination upon the people of Australia. This has been settled case law and we actually have some letters from the current Prime Minister Scott Morrison, which backs this up.

Many Australian states are attempting to usurp federal laws; for example, in Western Australia, the State Health Act claims to be able to impose a medical procedure upon you without your consent. This is in breach of the Constitutional Guarantee and this will be soon challenged in the courts with regards to the invalidity of that particular State Act, which is governed by Section 109 of the Constitution. Section 109 states, ***'when a law of a State is inconsistent with a law of the Commonwealth, the latter shall prevail, and the former shall, to the extent of the inconsistency, be invalid'***.

In other words this doesn't need to be decided upon because the State law is invalid from the beginning. These arguments are soon to be played out in the High Court once again. I'm aware of multiple people taking multiple challenges to the High Court with respect to the Constitutional Guarantee found at Section 51.23a. Furthermore, Clause 5 states, ***'the Constitution shall be binding on the courts, judges and people of every State and of every part of the Commonwealth, not withstanding anything in the laws of any State.'*** So not only is there the Constitutional Guarantee on medical procedures but it has a binding effect as well.

Regarding the COVID app and QR check-in requirements that The Queensland government is asking businesses to inform their customers that they cannot enter their premises without logging into the COVID app, through their SMART phone. This is a shocking encroachment of political liberties, as it's forcing people to carry a mobile phone with an internet connection in order to purchase something, including food, water and medicines, which is not law. Secondly, it is asking businesses to impose it on the customer which is not the business owners' role.

The check-in requirements breach the Privacy Act 1988 and once again the State law is inconsistent with the Commonwealth Privacy Act. **Section 94H of the Privacy Act 1988** *A person commits an offence if the person requires another person to: (a) download COVIDSafe to a communication device; or (b) have COVIDSafe in operation on a communication device; or (c) consent to uploading COVID app data from a communication device to the National COVIDSafe Data Store. Penalty: Imprisonment for 5 years or 300 penalty units, or both.*

Most people and businesses are unaware of Constitutional Law and the Guarantees. In short, we only need to look to the Commonwealth Law for remedy. There's also another Act named the Australian Consumer Law Act, which is the Competition and Consumer Act 2010. This also provides many protections within that Act for Australian consumers and any discrimination to the providing of services. The State laws are once again in direct conflict with our Human Rights. It's more than likely, since these overreaching powers have been enacted (illegally) it will cause some form of anxiety or conflict for me and the business owner when visiting services in the community.

Regarding ecclesiastical law; Jesus Christ himself said: "Render to Caesar the things that are Caesar's, and to God the things that are God's." Mark 12:17

Regarding the experimental vaccines; the Queensland Government appears to be using its emergency powers to coerce and in some cases (such as in the aged care sector) force people to have this vaccine.

Although there's little public debate about the mRNA vaccines, I've listened to some of the most open scientific discussions available on the subject. I've always aimed to obtain the source knowledge I'm researching before I reach an outcome or opinion on an issue of concern. This has led me to the FDA insert package list of ingredients and adverse effects and risks, which are shocking. It also led me to the Inventor of the messenger mRNA vaccine technology, Dr Robert Malone MD, LLC.

As a biomedical professional, Dr Malone was scientifically trained at UC Davis, UC San Diego, and at the Salk Institute Molecular Biology and Virology laboratories, and is an internationally recognised scientist (virology, immunology, molecular biology) and is known as one of the original inventors of mRNA vaccination and DNA Vaccination. He received his medical training at Northwestern University (MD) and Harvard University (Clinical Research Post Graduate) medical school, and in Pathology at UC Davis and has close to 100 peer-reviewed publications. His knowledge and thoughts as well as the evidence around the spike protein in the experimental vaccine is alarming to say the least and something that people who are very pro-vaccine should not ignore. Dr Malone is considered by his peers to be 'the single most qualified mRNA expert' to speak about mRNA vaccine risks. Here is a link to one of his discussions published by Discernable; this is the 10 minute summary of a larger 3 hour scientific discussion about how mRNA vaccines work.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xC7_pRidnZ0

After watching the 3 hour discussion and talking with doctors and scientists in their various fields, as well as researching all the data sets available from the TGA and VAERS regarding adverse reactions and deaths caused from these experimental vaccines, I began to question the risk versus benefit argument of taking the experimental vaccine. As the vaccine manufacturers are not liable for any injury, harm or death that the experimental vaccine may have on my body, who then will compensate me if I was to have any short or long-term injury as a result of the mRNA vaccines? I have spoken with my medical insurance company and they have informed me they will not pay any damages. Indeed, the government has a ***no-fault compensation scheme***. Health Minister, Greg Hunt said this in a press conference 13 May: *"All of the vaccine contracts, as I've said previously, include a **waiver** ... we haven't changed our broader position in relation to legislated environments." "We're not about to change that. You know, we continue to make sure that each contract protects Australians, **but** it's done in a way which **recognises the needs of individual companies.**"*

If the government mandates a vaccine for me to be able to work and live, then if something were to happen to me, who will pay-out any damages, including medical costs and lost wages for the rest of my working life?

While everyone has been distracted with lockdowns, a report published on 25th June 2021 by Public Health England (PHE) reveals 62% of alleged Covid deaths are people who have been vaccinated. Table 4, Page 13: Delta cases shows people over 50 years who are unvaccinated account for just 10% of the alleged confirmed Covid cases, whilst those who are fully vaccinated account for 37% of the alleged confirmed cases. A further 40% of alleged cases are people who have received one dose of the experimental Covid-19 vaccine at least 21 days prior to their alleged confirmed Covid-19

infection. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/997418/Variants_of_Concern_VOC_Technical_Briefing_17.pdf

The experimental vaccines are in direct violation of **The Nuremberg Code**. Forced, coerced, and mandated vaccination is in violation of these principals. Here is a link from the British Medical Journal... https://media.tghn.org/medialibrary/2011/04/BMJ_No_7070_Volume_313_The_Nuremberg_Code.pdf

You will also find the experimental vaccines are a violation of Article 6 of the **UNESCO Statement on the Universal Declaration of Bioethics and Human Rights 2005**. http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.phpURL_ID=31058&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html Article 6, Section 1: ***Any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage or prejudice.*** Article 6, Article 3: ***In no case should a collective community agreement or the consent of a community leader or other authority substitute for an individual's informed consent.***

While the vaccines are being pushed through government and media propaganda, why have multiple medical treatments and prophylactics, which scientifically prove to kill SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2, through multiple scientifically proven studies, been removed from the shelves and censored from any public debate? These options have been ignored. This is not about being anti-vax, this is about being pro-choice, and pro safety. Australian government officials state that vaccination is an important defence against the pandemic but I cannot find any scientific evidence of this. If indeed vaccinations work, then why has the United Kingdom, despite 85% of the population having the first shot and 65% being administered the second shot, now on-par with the highest infection rates the UK has had since the pandemic began? And let's remember; their lockdown was extended with their government saying the vaccine will get you out of lockdowns and life won't go back to normal unless you have had the shot.

Regarding masks, we were told 15 months ago that masks do not work according to decades of science, and a mask on a healthy person is a wasted mask. So why is the Queensland government forcing people to wear masks outdoors when there are zero scientific studies (according to the WHO and CDC) that show any *asymptomatic outdoor transmission* of the COVID-19 virus and their variants?

Even though the government website states that there are exceptions to mask-wearing, there are many businesses and services that are refusing entry without masks. Signs requiring 'Contact Tracing' or No Masks, No Entry' are a breach of sections 6 & 24 of the Commonwealth Disability Discrimination ACT 1992 (and other laws) and should either be removed from premises or state "except those with Lawful Medical Exemptions' or similar disclaimer.

As the absurd rules pile up, so do the questions: Why has the QLD government given a directive that there is to be no vertical consumption of food and drinks? Why are football teams in this country allowed to travel while brain surgeons cannot travel to save children's lives from brain cancers? Why is Australia now in debt to the fashion of 1 trillion dollars and we are still having lockdowns when the Delta variant infects only 0.1% of people? Why are politicians paying themselves a 2.1% pay rise

when the disadvantaged and homeless can't even get a bowl of soup or a burger because kitchens are closed? Why is there a vaccine needed for any human under 60 years of age when all the scientific data shows there's a minuscule chance (0.07%) you will be infected if under 60 years? This is not science; it's nonsense.

I've come to the only reasonable and logical conclusion: Governments are doing this to keep us in a perpetual state of emergency. Without lockdowns there is no state of emergency. Without a state of emergency the experimental vaccines are not allowed. The experimental vaccines have not been officially approved by the FDA. If the vaccines are not allowed, then governments will be unable to justify digital passports and impose a totalitarian control over the people.

When we read the memoirs of German citizens from the 1930's, we are told the story of how the tip-toe encroachment to remove the rights took effect in Germany to enable the Nazi regime. There are hundreds of books out there describing how new laws were implemented by the state which led to what we now know as the Holocaust. The comparisons of what's happening today is utterly chilling.

I trust this submission reveals both the lawful truths as well as the injustices occurring at this current time in human history, and I request that the Queensland Government reject this bill in the name of the Australian Constitution and our forefathers who fought for our freedoms.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'LD Pearce'.

Louisa D Pearce