## **Education, Employment and Small Business Committee**

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	Monday, 27 July 2020 12:50 PM Education, Employment and Small Business Committee Submission - Criminal Code and Other Legislation (Wage Theft) Amendment Bill 2020
Importance:	High
Categories:	Submission

Committee Secretary Education, Employment and Small Business Committee Parliament House George Street Brisbane Qld 4000

## Dear Committee Secretary

On behalf of our organisation I thank the committee for their efforts in setting in place an infrastructure to deal with identifying and penalising this illegal and immoral activity and its by-products.

As a founding Approved Employer under the Federal Governments Seasonal Worker Programme, being granted our licence in 2010, and as one of the programs larger employers, we have been confronted with this issue on a national basis since then. To be a promoter of a fully compliant labour source when your major alternative labour supply competitors are using wage theft as one of a series of tools to gain competitive advantage with minimal fear of prosecution has been/remains confronting. This has been the case for a generation or more.

We would ask the committee to please consider these key points in setting penalties:

- 1. Wage theft is a common and deliberately used tool.
- 2. Wage theft is generally accompanied by gross/total underpayment/declaration/non-compliance of state based statutory

compliance such as payroll tax and workers compensation in conjunction with the same underpayment of PAYG tax and GST.

- 3. Those who implement these tools at the workplace weigh up risk versus reward. To date the decision has been an easy one.
- 4. Upstream buyers of these goods and services often implement superficial socalled checks, knowing that there are minimal risk to themselves or their organisations. Again to gain competitive advantage with the knowledge that they are once or twice removed and can claim either ignorance or that they are doing their bit. An insurance policy of sorts.
- 5. Penalty structures need to be tiered with criminal prosecution obviously having the major burden of proof. But there should be other options available to authorities with lesser burden of proof and evidence required.
- 6. Cost (of investigation) recovery should be more commonly used tool.
- 7. These exploiters have had many years to perfect their craft of working in the shadows of industries with little scrutiny.
- 8. These exploiters are not part of the majority mainstream of reputable, compliant, transparent and highly principled labour providers who suffer because of the action of this cohort.

## Kind Regards

Michael Fryszer Managing Director PO Box 6256 Doncaster VIC 3108

W: www.theconnectgroup.com.au



**Confidentiality Notice:** the information contained in this email and any attachments may be legally privileged and confidential. If you are not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender and permanently delete the e-mail and any attachments immediately. You should not retain, copy or use this e-mail or any attachments for any purpose, nor disclose all or any part of the contents to any other person.