

Economic Development Committee

Inquiry into the road safety benefits of fixed  
speed cameras

Submission 17

**Alistair MacLennan**

---

**From:** webmaster@parliament.qld.gov.au  
**Sent:** Thursday, 18 March 2010 9:42 AM  
**To:** Economic Development Committee  
**Subject:** ONLINE SUBMISSION - Road safety benefits  
**ONLINE SUBMISSION - Road safety benefits**

**Name:** SCOTT DURSTON  
**Email:** [REDACTED]  
**Address:** [REDACTED]  
**City:** currimundi  
**State:** QLD  
**Postcode:** 4551

**Speed enforcement:**

1. They play no role 2. No

**Fixed speed cameras:**

3. Not effective at all 4. No new sites should be selected, sell all existing cameras

**New technology:**

6. Yes, if you are talking about cameras 7. Police on the road, pulling people over for speeding 8. They don't reduce road related risks.

**Comments:**

Eg: if a drink driver passes a speed camera speeding, they are allowed to go on their merry way. They could very well wipe some poor bugger out down the road. Now if that camera was a police officer with a radar gun, then he would pull them over, put em on the bag, and when they blew over would be off the road instantly, and the road would be safer for all. The copper would also fine them cause of a bald rear tyre with the belts sticking out thus removing a potential death trap from the road till it was fixed. Revenu raised would be 3 times that of the speed camera and the saftey benifit would be 100% over the speed camera. A POLICE OFFICER WHO PULLS OVER A SPEEDING DRIVER WILL RAISE AS MUCH REVENUE AS A SPEED CAMERA, AND SOMETIMES MORE REVENUE AS A SPEED CAMERA. A POLICE OFFICER WILL ALSO REMOVE RISK IMEDIATLY FROM OUR ROADS, WHERE A SPEED CAMERA WILL LET THE RISK CONTINUE. IF YOU ARE SERIDUS ABOUT ROAD SAFTEY AND RAISING REVNUUE, THEN MORE POLICE ON THE ROADS WILL DO THIS AT A GREATER RATE THAN NAY SPEED CAMERA WILL EVER DO .... PERIOD !!