

Perhaps one of the biggest changes for councils will be in the development assessment processes. Development assessment processes are enhanced by the clarity and certainty achieved by improved plan making through more applications progressing through simpler processes, such as the new compliance assessment track; greater certainty in making applications; and more reliable and compliant applications being made. Time and cost benefits with flow-on economic effects result in faster, better integrated development on the ground. This new framework allows councils to get on with the job and will allow for government to do business better and focus planning investment on major state-wide issues such as sustainability, housing affordability, climate change and population growth.

To reiterate the significance of this reform, this legislation is a key milestone in implementing significant reform in Queensland's land use planning and development framework—the most significant and comprehensive reform in over a decade since the integrated framework was first introduced in 1997. I commend the bill to the House.

Debate, on motion of Mr Gibson, adjourned.

## PRIVILEGE

### Members' Ethics and Parliamentary Privileges Committee Report No. 97

**Mr GIBSON** (Gympie—LNP) (10.46 am): I rise on a matter of privilege suddenly arising. I note the report tabled by the Members' Ethics and Parliamentary Privileges Committee—report No. 97—in referring to a matter that was placed on the record in a question without notice that I asked the Deputy Premier last year. I note that the committee has indicated that there is no evidence that in the question without notice asked on 11 September 2008 I intended to mislead the House; that there was no breach of privilege or contempt in this matter; and that the House take no further action. However, I do note that the committee has recommended that I clarify the statement in the preamble to the question without notice in that I relied upon additional sources to the supplementary EIS report. I am happy to table for the benefit of the House a draft briefing paper by Professor Stuart White from the Institute for Sustainable Futures titled 'A dam at Traveston Crossing presents a major risk of increased greenhouse emissions: worse than desalination'. I note that the table in this document shows that Traveston Crossing Dam has estimated greenhouse emissions up to 400,000 while desalination has estimated greenhouse emissions—

**Ms SPENCE:** Mr Deputy Speaker, I think this has gone beyond the matter of privilege and the member is now debating a case and this is not the government business before the House that we are meant to be debating.

**Mr DEPUTY SPEAKER** (Mr O'Brien): Order! Honourable member, you are tabling the document?

**Mr GIBSON:** I will table the document for the benefit of the House.

I appreciate the conclusion by the Members' Ethics and Parliamentary Privileges Committee that I did not mislead the House.

*Tabled paper:* Document titled 'A dam at Traveston Crossing presents a major risk of increased greenhouse emissions: worse than desalination' [\[455\]](#).

## APPROPRIATION (PARLIAMENT) BILL

### APPROPRIATION BILL

### INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT (ASSET RESTRUCTURING AND DISPOSAL) BILL

### FUEL SUBSIDY REPEAL AND REVENUE AND OTHER LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL

### Second Reading (Cognate Debate)

Resumed from 18 June (see p. 1134), on motion of Mr Fraser—  
That the bills be now read a second time.