

## Rhia Campillo

---

**From:** M&M BAKER  
**Sent:** Friday, 4 November 2011 1:58 PM  
**To:** Environment, Agriculture, Resources and Energy Committee  
**Subject:** SCL Submission  
**Att:** Environment, Agriculture, Resources and Energy Committee

Strategic Cropping Land Bill Submission

My submission wishes to address Clauses 282 and 283 of the bill.

These clauses relate to EPC 891 The Springsure Creek Coal Project and should be excluded from the bill. It frustrates me that they have even made it into the bill in the first place.

There are several arguments for the deletion of these clauses.

This project is not a project of state or public significance

The project is not in an advanced stage of development

The project final terms of reference were not completed by 31/05/2011

Bandanna Energy to my knowledge have not received a certificate of application for a Mining Development Licence under the Mineral Resources Act.

Governments and Bandanna reasoning for this arrangements being the changing of plans to make the project underground when in fact dated prior to this agreement the project was already underground.

No Community consultation has been undertaken by Bandanna Energy

Government itself has not taken the time to look into the area despite numerous invitations.

Bandanna assurances to rehabilitation are not reassuring since they have not rehabilitated hole from exploration in a timely manner displaying a disregard to legislation already in place.

Nowhere is there solid evidence that subsidence from going underground can be overcome.

The inclusion of these clauses gives an explicit benefit to a specific company.

The allowing of this project by inclusion of these clauses is exactly what this legislation is suppose to protect, hence making a mockery of the legislation.

In this issue timing has always been brought up and timing is responsible for a lot of these issues.

How long has it taken the government to bring in this legislation? Way to long in my opinion.

We have all known about this impending legislation for a couple of years - landholders, resource companies and communities alike but despite this resource companies have forged ahead at a rapid rate in an attempt to beat the government,

Landholder have been lobbying the government to take action sooner than later and yet in black and white a single company is being rewarded for blatantly forging ahead with a total disregard for this legislation. Development of this project through

Inclusion of these clauses is a joke, only landholders are not laughing. Landholders were relying and indeed depending on the government to stand strong to their word and protect our food bowl, instead we have this legislation with exceptions that are not acceptable.

Megan Baker

SPRINGSURE QLD 4722

4/11/2011 1:58:09 PM

7/11/2011

The inclusion of clauses 282 and 283 within the SCL legislation is wrong on so many levels, but perhaps the most erroneous of all is that the inclusion of these two clauses (282 & 283) provides a direct financial benefit to an individual company.