
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, 14 July 2021 8:42 AM
To: Community Support and Services Committee
Subject: Re: NO to amendments

Dear Sir / Madam

I hope it is not too late to discuss the amendment with you further, because the amendment you are proposing will potential cause harm to all parties, tenants, owners, agents

You want to allow tenants be able to do minor changes to the house. This is potentially extremely risky because as you know majority of our houses have ASBESTOS in them, even a drilling a tiny hole can potentially cause harm. The owner will know the house better than the tenants would. And often tenant will just do DIYs instead of engaging professionals. There may also be wiring, plumbing, poles beams, they will have no idea about. You start to allow them to do stuff to the house without consulting the owner first, this is very risky! It could even be plants that they grow that will be a problem, eg, trying to grow a tree right up next the house can block pipes, cause foundation problems. If they grown excessive flowering plants near the house, my house have had this problem where the bees built a bee hive behind the wall. You have to understand tenants often don't have the knowledge. They are renters, they have not had to worry about home maintenance so they will not have to educate themselves on it, and when it's your own home you will want to make sure you do it right, but tenants will not care about this. They HAVE to consult us, let us give consent and even when we consent they HAVE to engage qualified professionals the we approve to do even the most minor thing. This is not child play!

And you want to let them have pets without consulting owner
You have understand most of our houses are not built for pets, not the right fencing, not the right conditions. Also pets can attract rats if even slightest fact of hygiene and the rat will chew holes through our homes, chew up wiring resulting in damages and safety issues to everyone. I just had a tenant who have chickens and it has caused this problem. I have had another tenant we allowed them to have dogs and the dog dug holes everywhere and poo everywhere. When they left, they left the garden a mess, The grass grew over the holes, the agent twist her ankle as she fell into this hole she couldn't see! We had to spend more money trying fill up all the holes along with many other damages. This is just one example amongst many others. If let say my tenant is blind and needs a blind dog, sure definitely don't need to consult owner that's fair enough.

This is not child play we want this and we want that so we want to be able to have it, there's a reason why certain restrictions are put in place. The amendments needs to be TIGHTENED, not the other way round!

Yours sincerely
Johnson

On Mon, Jul 12, 2021 at 9:18 PM PM M <[REDACTED]>

Dear Sir/Madam

Please find attached letter.

Yours sincerely

Johnson

Committee Secretary
Community Support and Services Committee
Parliament House
George Street
Brisbane Qld 4000

Dear Committee,

Thank you for the opportunity to make this submission.

My name is Johnson Hong and I {am an Property Owner / Investor} OR {am working in the Property Industry} in Brisbane/Gold Coast/Logan/Ipswich QLD.

I write to the Committee regarding the [Residential Tenancies and Rooming Accommodation \(Tenants' Rights\) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2021](#) and the [Housing Legislation Amendment Bill 2021](#).

While I am not against that a reform to Queensland's rental laws is needed to ensure a minimum living standards for rental properties and provide a stable rental market, any changes to the current legislation must be fair not only to tenants but also to mum and dad property investors who are investing for their own retirement while providing accommodation for those who need to rent.

The property market is driven by supply and demand, private sector investments to provide more rental properties is crucial to add to the supply and stabilise rental market. Going too far with the changes will discourage investment and reduce supply while the demand for properties in Queensland continued to roar since the pandemic.

I am strongly opposing the following changes proposed by the [Residential Tenancies and Rooming Accommodation \(Tenants' Rights\) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2021](#):

- 1. Limit rent increases to once every 24 months and by no more than CPI per year, including if there is a period for which the property is not rented or if current tenants move out and new tenants enter on a new lease.***

Regulating rental increases is only going to push investors to invest in other free markets, the limiting of rental increases to once every 24 months and only by CPI is ridiculous. The Residential Tenancies and Rooming Accommodation Act (RTRA Act) already has provisions in place for rent increases during existing fixed term tenancy contracts, plus periodic tenancies. The tenant has ability to act and if need, apply to Tribunal (QCAT) to decide if a rent increase is excessive. (Sections 91 and 92). Section 94 allows for rent to be increased in a six month period only.

For fixed term tenancy agreement contracts that are renewed and effectively enter into another fixed term contract (known as lease renewals), section 71 adequately allows for tenants to seek assistance if they believe any rent increase is excessive.

There are already adequate provisions in place in the legislation without need for further regulation.

- 2. Remove the lessor or lessors' agent's ability to accept rent bids from prospective tenants.***

Rent bidding is an emotive, unjust, misused and unfair word. There is no evidence rent bidding occurs in the industry. The use of the word rent bidding alludes to the thought that there is a form of 'auction' occurring which is not the case.

The RTRA Act requires rent be advertised at a fixed amount (section 57). Words such as negotiable and price ranging are prohibited. Property managers cannot encourage or solicit offers from prospective tenants. Section 57 is already a penalty unit provision.

- 3. Allow tenants to make minor modifications to a rental property without first obtaining the landlord's consent.***

Based on our experience, any reasonable minor modifications to a rental property proposed by tenants will get landlord consent. However poor quality modifications would damage the landlord's property and tenants may not be able to rectify the damages and return the property to original state.

4. Give tenants the right to keep a pet unless the lessor applies successfully to the Queensland Civil and Administrative Tribunal ("the Tribunal") for an order refusing the pet on reasonable grounds.

The provisions in the [Housing Legislation Amendment Bill 2021](#) regarding pets are by far more practicable and reasonable than this Bill. So many additional factors must be considered for this matter such as what is included in the Housing Legislation Amendment Bill including relevant laws including local and body corporate laws.

5. Improve lease security by removing the ability for "no grounds" evictions or evictions for sale contract by the lessor, and replacing these provisions with two new grounds for a notice to leave, being:

- Occupation by the property owner or the owner's close relative - Major renovations to be made to the property - Vary minimum notice periods for a notice to leave, including: - 6 months' notice for owner/relative occupation and major renovations

An unintended consequence which appears to not have been considered is Landlord insurance and risk. Most landlord insurance policies provide no, or limited coverage for periodic tenancies. Common practice of industry since the notice to leave without grounds provision was increased to two months in 2009 is for lessors to be contacted by their agents around 2.5 to 3 months prior to a fixed term agreement contract expiring. The only reason this best practice procedure occurs is in the event the lessor wishes for the tenancy contract to end at the end of the fixed term agreement, and the two months' notice can be provided to the tenant.

The Regulatory Impact Statement (part of the 2018/209 review) notes Queensland has some of the highest fixed term tenancy contracts in Australia. The reason this would long be the case is due to security of all parties, best practice and particularly, landlord insurance. If a tenant is offered a new agreement contract (lease renewal), and refuses to enter into a lease renewal, the tenancy reverts to a periodic. This leaves the investor in a serious position of risk due to reasons noted above; most lessor insurance policies provide limited and no coverage in the event of loss if a tenancy contract is periodic. Due to insurance and management of risk and security, tenants may be given a notice to leave without grounds if they do not wish to enter into a new tenancy agreement (lease renewal).

I have concerns in the following areas of the [Housing Legislation Amendment Bill 2021](#):

1. Lessors will be prevented from terminating a tenancy without grounds and required to rely on an expanded suite of specific stated grounds in the legislation to end the tenancy.

While the inclusion of 'a fixed-term tenancy agreement is due to expire' as additional grounds to end a tenancy available to lessors is welcomed, it was always a contractual right that should be protected. Any calls to remove this basic right should be opposed by the committee.

My concern is about the ending of periodic leases that were automatically reverted from fixed term leases, rather than not allowing lessors to end periodic lease without grounds, and the tenant not willing to switch back to a fixed term lease as a result, the legislation should allow the lessor to end the periodic lease without grounds with say three months notice to encourage fixed term tenancy to give certainty for both parties.

Most tenants are good people, as are most investor lessors and agents. The proposed legislation is already restricting 'retaliatory and revenge eviction', giving an extended period of notice of three months to move should be allowed and not considered as 'retaliatory and revenge eviction'. There are also cases where tenants are abusive and aggressive towards property managers or property owners, the ability to end the lease peacefully with sufficient notice is better than continuous and elevated dispute through the RTA and QCAT processes.

Investors should always have the right to lawfully terminate a tenancy without reason. If investors comply with legislation, a lessor should have the right of possession without having to state a reason. Tenants are protected if lessors act outside the legislation. This is fair and balanced for all parties.

2. Ensure certain inclusions in regulations made regarding minimum standards for rental homes.

While it is agreed that we need to ensure properties continue to be safe and fit to live in, consideration must also be given to the financial status of investors, costs, availability of contractors if and as needed. There must

be more clear definition of minimum standards, for example, Section 17A (3) k, energy efficiency – will this require investors to pay thousands of dollars to make the property energy efficient?

3. Support parties to residential leases reach agreement about renting with pets.

Damages by pets are of great concerns to investors, pet odour can be very costly and difficult to remove. A pet bond in addition to the standard 4 weeks rental bond should be allowed to cover the potential damages and costs from pets as sometimes a complete carpet change may be required. Having a pet bond will make the pet owning tenants more responsible as well.

4. Tenant authorised emergency repairs

The cost of emergency repairs that can be authorised by the tenant will be increased from the equivalent of two weeks' rent to the equivalent of four weeks' rent, and property managers can arrange repairs to an amount agreed in writing with the owners. There should also be measures that allow the landlords to dispute any excessive expenditures that is more than market costs, the tenants should first attempt to use the repairers recommended by the landlords/agents rather than forcing the landlords to pay more than they have to.

As a conclusion, I would prefer the [Housing Legislation Amendment Bill 2021](#) over the ridiculous [Residential Tenancies and Rooming Accommodation \(Tenants' Rights\) and Other Legislation Amendment Bill 2021](#).

However, even the [Housing Legislation Amendment Bill 2021](#) should offer more protections and encouragements to the property investors and agents so that it is fair for both parties.

Yours sincerely

{Full Name}. Johnson Hong

[Redacted signature block]