

## **Public Health and Other Legislation (Extension of Expiring Provisions) Amendment Bill 2022**

### **To whom it may concern:**

I wish to make a submission to the committee inquiring into the above Amendment Bill.

I do not support the proposed Bill.

- Queenslanders have already been living under a State of Emergency for over 2 years.
- The pretext for the Emergency Powers was "to keep Queenslanders safe" from the Covid-19 pandemic.
- Since that time the number of cases has escalated.
- Under its Emergency Powers, the Queensland Government has introduced the mandatory wearing of face masks, even though the evidence shows that face masks have little or no effect on the transmission of the virus. A recent study found that: "For particles with a diameter of 1.5 micrometres – the typical size of Covid-19 bearing particles – the team estimated woven fabric is only 2.5 to 10 per cent efficient".
- Under its Emergency Powers, the Queensland Government has introduced mandatory vaccinations in order to prevent certain Queenslanders from accessing various services.
- This has created an effective "apartheid" situation between the Vaccinated and the Unvaccinated. Such divisiveness in society is unacceptable, but has been encouraged by the actions of the Queensland Government through its Emergency Powers. Statistics are showing clearly that the vaccinations do not prevent infections and do not prevent the spread of the virus, so that there is little if any advantage in being vaccinated to stop the spread of the virus.
- The Queensland Government used emergency Powers to lockdown Queenslanders to restrict their movements, ostensibly to prevent the spread of the virus. These measures have been shown to have had little or no effect on the spread of the virus.
- The Queensland Government seems to have blindly accepted the narrative that mRNA vaccines are "safe and effective" in preventing the spread and contagion of the virus. There is abundant evidence to prove that the mRNA vaccines are neither "safe" nor "effective".
- If mRNA vaccines are so safe, why do you have to be threatened, cajoled, bribed or mandated to take them for a disease so deadly you have to be tested to see if you have it, but, if you get it, you have a better than 99% chance of living through it?

- It has now become patently obvious that these vaccines do not prevent the spread of the virus and do not inhibit infection.
- As reported in The Courier Mail of January 13<sup>th</sup>, 2022 on page 10, the CEO of Pfizer admitted that the vaccines offer "very limited protection if any" against the Omicron variant (copy of article attached).
- In spite of this admission the Queensland Government has used its emergency powers to justify introducing a vaccine mandate.
- The Queensland Government has ascribed extraordinary importance to the use of PCR tests which have been proved to be most unreliable.
- The Queensland Government has now asserted that people should buy RAT kits to prove that they are clear of any Covid-19 infection. These RAT kits have been shown to be unreliable and to give "false positives".
- It has become abundantly clear that the Queensland Government's Emergency Powers have had little or no effect in preventing the spread of the Covid-19 virus. To extend those powers would be manifestly wrong and should not be granted.
- In the words of Boris Johnson, Prime Minister of the United Kingdom, "it's time to end this expensive, illiberal, intrusive and almost certainly useless measure" for good.
- It is time for Queenslanders to decide for themselves what is best for them – they do NOT need to give the Government any more power than it already has.

**I strenuously oppose the extension of these emergency powers.**

Page 10

THURSDAY JANUARY 13 2022 COURIERMAIL.COM.AU

## Pfizer CEO's admission

PFIZER'S chief executive has revealed that two doses of its current Covid-19 vaccine offer "very limited protection, if any" against the Omicron variant.

Albert Bourla made the comments after the company announced a new Omicron-specific version of the vaccine would be ready by March.

"We know that the two doses of the vaccine offer very limited protection, if any," Dr Bourla said. "The three doses with a booster, they offer reasonable protection against hospitalisation and deaths – against deaths, I think, very good, and less protection against infection."