

03/03/2022

Ryan James Cunnington

Dear Committee Secretary,

**RE: PUBLIC HEALTH AND OTHER LEGISLATION (EXTENSION OF EXPIRING PROVISIONS)**

**AMENDMENT BILL 2022 (BILL)**

On behalf of my family, I wish to formally advise that we do not support the Bill nor the extension of the state of emergency (SOE).

The SOE has resulted in the mandating of a novel medical treatment that has no medium- or long-term safety data, and for which multiple experts around the world have called for a halt to the rollout, regardless of its effectiveness or individual risk to the virus.

This is mind boggling and previously unheard of. Surely with so much unknown, the precautionary principles should apply.

Despite initial uncertainty about this virus, we are now better positioned to recognise the following:

- There is no longer a state of emergency
- The mandates have no scientific basis
- The vaccine is not safe nor effective
- The figures have been manipulated for whatever reason
- Lock downs did more harm than save lives
- Masks do not provide any protection from an airborne virus
- Safe and effective early treatments have been ignored and vilified

I could provide studies and references to support each of these statements, however anyone with a modicum of interest in public health, published science and the actual statistics would understand these facts are true. There is no longer any doubt.

It is nonsensical to base this extension on the unlikely scenario that a new variant will emerge that is more deadly. Therefore, is our only conclusion that an extension is being sought for nefarious purposes or more control?

There is no scientific justification for vaccine mandates and passports, except punishment for those who were unable to be coerced.

Why is it the unvaccinated can go to a crowded food court, but cannot enter a less crowded restaurant or café? How is it that Omnicom was initially introduced to Queensland by vaccinated travellers, yet they are not subject to quarantine?

The initial modelling undertaken by the Imperial College London was grossly inaccurate and exaggerated. Despite this, decisions are being made, despite all evidence to the contrary, as if these figures are still correct. Why?

“Power corrupts, absolute power corrupts absolutely,” – Lord Acton.

If it is truly about our health, there would be no extension to this bill.

It is time to step back and let us get on with our lives.

Regards

Ryan Cunnington

